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## ABSTRACT

In recent years several studies have been made concerning the effectiveness of the Agricultural Extension Service radio programs in several states and counties in the United States. These studies have considered various phases of evaluation, such as the radio programs, the listeners, and the results. The writer has been interested in constantly improving the Extension Service radio programs in Vigo County, Indiana, for nearly 10 years. Prior to 1946 , the time and length of these programs in Vigo County were changed several times by the radio station management. Since 1946, after a brief study was conducted for the radio station, the broadcasts have been at 12 noon to 12:05 P.M., Monday through Friday. The desire for the constant improvement of these programs prompted this stuãy.

## The problem

What recommendations might be made for the
improvement of the Extension Service Radio Programs for farm people in Vigo County, Indiana?

Problem analysis.--In order to aid in the answering of the problem question, the following broad sub-questions are presented:

1. To what extent do farm people listen to the present programs?
2. What types of programs do farm people prefer?
3. What benefits do farm people receive from the programs?

Delimitation. --This study has been limited to personal interviews of 110 farm men and 110 farm women, selected at random from the farms of Vigo County.

## Background

From 1933 to the present there has been a continuous daily County Extension Service radio program in the county. Since February, 1935, practically all of the programs have originated from the Vigo County Extension Office through the remote control facilities furnished by radio station WBOW. During the past 16 years over 4800 radio programs have been presented by the County Extension staff.

## Methods and Materials

In planning this study, the farm youth were omitted because they are in school eight and one half
months during the year and do not have the opportunity to listen to the County Extension Service radio programs regularly.

## Procedure

The personal interview method was used in this study. Farm and home visits were made by the interviewers who worked in teams of two. The teams were divided so that a woman worker could interview the farm woman and a man worker could interview the farm man.

## Samoling

This survey includes 110 men and 110 women. Because of the large urban population which covers practically all of Harrison township, that township was excluded from this study. A map of the county was secured and 22 sampling areas were selected. The Associate County Agent Leader of Indiana, selected the areas and marked the starting points on the map. Beginning at each of the starting points, the interviewers stopped at the first five farmsteads in each area. In cases where the farmer or wife, or both, were not at home the next nearest farmstead was used to complete the sample in a particular area.

Personal interview survey forms

Two forms were used in conducting the personal interviews, one for farm men and one for farm women.

In general these forms included: age, education, sex, amount of listening, program preference, and result measurement.

Summary and Concluding Statements

## Summary of findings as

shown by survey sample
In consideration of the inventory of radios on the farms, it was found that over 98 per cent of the farm homes had radios. There were, on an average, 1.8 radios per farm. The farm men 60 years old and older reported fewer radios than any other age group. More radios were located in the living room than in any other place.

It was found that 77.3 per cent of the farm men and 80.9 per cent of the farm women have listened to the programs at least once. Over half of the non-listeners were 50 years of age or older. On the basis of projected daily average listening, it was found that 35.8 per cent of the farm women and 16.5 per cent of the farm men listened daily. The noon hour was the most frequent choice for the time of the broadcasts. The average grade attained in school by the farm men was 9.4 and the farm women 10.0

In consideration of subject matter for the radio programs, both the farm women and farm men as a whole preferred recommended practices. However, the farm women under 30 years of age preferred programs on which meetings
were announced, while the farm women 60 years old and older preferred programs that told about the doings of other people.

County Extension Agents were preferred for the presentation of the broadcasts, except by the farm men under 40 years of age. Both farm men and farm women of all age groups preferred programs of at least 10 minutes duration. The farm men listeners preferred the one voice type of presentation, while the farm women listeners did not indicate a great deal of difference between one voice, interview, or forum programs.

Only a small percentage of the farm listeners indicated that they took some direct action as a result of the broadcast programs. However, approximately 25 per cent of the farm men and 18 per cent of the farm women stated that they had attended a meeting that was announced on the County Extension Office radio program.

## Concluding statements

This study has revealed that in the past the Extension Service radio programs in Vigo County, Indiana, have been rather effective. When only one program is given each day, the noon hour is preferred by the highest percentage of farm men and farm women. If additional programs were planned for early morning and evening, more farm people might be reached by the programs. It is pleasing to note that a fair percentage of farm people
listen daily when there are such competing programs as: the WLS Dinner Bell Program and Baukhage over WTHI.

It is belleved that the Extension Service radio programs could be improved by lengthening the noon program to 10 minutes. A higher percentage of the programs could be directed to the farm women because more of the women listen regularly than do the farm men. The programs should be planned for farm people under 60 years of age, keeping in mind the ninth or tenth grade educational level.

The Extension Service radio programs should frequently be evaluated by all possible and practical means in order to find ways of improving the programs in the county.

The following proposals are made for the improvement of the Extension Service radio programs in Vigo County, Indiana.

1. If it is possible, lengthen the daily programs to at least 10 minutes.
2. Plan programs for the farm people under 60 years of age.
3. Present a higher percentage of programs that are of interest to the farm women.
4. More farm people might be reached if additional programs were planned for the early morning and evening.
5. Present programs at about the ninth or tenth grade level.
6. Evaluate programs frequently so that they may be improved.

Suggestions for further study

Since it was impossible to make a complete study the following suggestions are made for future study:

1. Survey of Vigo County, Indiana, Extension Service radio programs in surrounding counties.
2. The extent that other radio programs compete with the Vigo County, Indiana, Extension Service radio programs.
3. Methods of measuring the effectiveness of Extension Service radio programs through the development of valid and reliable evaluation criteria.
4. The possibilities of the use of television for County Extension Service work.
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## Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

In recent years several studies have been made concerning the effectiveness of the Agricultural Extension Service radio programs in several states and counties in the United States. These studies have considered various phases of evaluation, such as the radio programs, the iisteners, and the results. The writer has been interested in constantly improving the Extension Service radio programs in Vigo County, Indiana, for nearly 10 years. Prior to 1946, the time and length of these programs in Vigo County were changed severel times by the radio station management. Since 1946, after a brief study was conductea for the radio station, the broadcasts have been at 12 noon to 12:05 P.M., Mondey through Friday. The desire for the constant improvement of these programs prompted this study.

The problem
What recommendations might be made for the improvement of the Extension Service Radio Programs for farm people in Vigo County, Indiana?

Problem analygis.--In order to aid in the
answering of the problem question, the following broad sub-questions are presented:

1. To what extent do farm people listen to the present programs?
2. What types of programs do farm people prefer?
3. What benefitg do farm people receive from the programs?

Delimitation. --This study has been limited to personal interviews of 110 farm men and 110 farm women selected at random from the ferms of Vigo County.

## Beckground

From 1933 to the present there has been a continuous daily County Extension Service radio program in the county. Since February, 1935, practically all of the programs have originated from the Vigo County Extension Office through the remote control facilities furnished by radio station WBOW. During the past 16 years over 4800 radio programs have been presented by the County Extension starf.

## Chapter II <br> REVIEN OF LITERATURE

## Extent of ferm listening

There is a wide variation in the methods of reporting the extent of farm radio listening in the various extension studies. In Allen county, Indiana, Johnston (2), 1942, found that 30 per cent of the farm folks heard the county extension program daily or every other day. In Ward county, North Dakota, 94 per cent of the farmers had heard the county agent and 81 per cent of the homemakers had heard the home demonstration agent, according to Crile (I), 1.945. The United States Bureau of Agricultural Economics (8), 1946, reports that approximately two thirds of the farm people who have radios listen to ferm prograns. During the period of a year nearly one half of the farmers and over one half of the homemakers in three Pennsylvania counties listened to the county extension broadcasts, Reist (5), 1948.

Several studies revealed that the noon hour was the best time of day for farm and homemaking programs, (5), (6), and (7), 1948. In the WABI Radio Study (10), 1948, the best Iistening time was between 7:00 and 8:00 o'clock in the evening and the second best listening time
was the noon hour.
In Pennsylvania, full time farmers and their Wives were the principal listeners to agricultural and home economics broadcasts as reported by Reist (5), 1948. Thile in Texas (7), 1948, more women than men reported they listened to extension broadcasts. A greater number of extension broadcast Iisteners than any other age group were between the ages of 30 and 39 years and the median grade attained in school for the listeners was the 8th grade for the men and the loth grade for the women in the WABI Radio Study (IO), 1948.
$\frac{\text { Program }}{\text { farm peoperence of }}$ farm Deodle

In general, according to several studies, farmers and farm women prefer the interview type or the informal type of farm broadcast. Johnston (2), 1942, found that 68.7 per cent of the farmers and 75.3 per cent of the homemakers in Allen county, Indiana, preferred the extemporaneous type of broadcast program. In Ward county, North Dakota, Crile (1), 1945, found that a large majority of the rural listeners preferred the interview style of presentation. According to the U.S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics (8:1x), 1946, "Most rural listeners seem to take radio programming for granted." It has been pointed out by Schmitz (6), 1048, that Tadiana farm homemakers are interested in hearing maricet reports on poultry
and eggs as well as home economics club news by the straight talk method. In contrast the farmers are interested in discussion topics in every field of agriculture. The most popular type of presentation in Maine was the interview, WABI Radio Study (10), 1948.

## Benefits received

There are many ways in which farm radio broadcasts have been evalueted as to results and benefits. As a direct means of influencing farmers and farm women to adopt recommended practices, radio does not seem to rank as high as other methodis. However, in any case, it would seem that a great many things would have influence in the adoption of new and recommended practices. Farm papers, meetings, bulletins, calls by salesmen, and visits with neighboring farm families would seem to have a great deal of influence, in addition to radio, in the adoption of recommended practices.

Among the direct sources, farmers ranked radio low in Allem county, Indiana, in the adoption of recommended practices, Johnston (2), 1942. Thirty eight per cent of the farmers and 45 per cent of the homemakers were reached by the Ward county, North Dakota, extension broadcasts, who otherwise had never participated in extension activities, Crile (1), 1945. About 25 per cent of the women and 10 per cent of the men reported they had taken notes, while one half of the men and one fourth of the
women phoned, wrote, or called the Ward county extension ofifce.

Radio owners felt that they would miss radio very muci if it were inaccessible to them, according to the U.S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics (8), 1946. Radio owners also valued radio highly as a source of news and other information and as a source of entertainment and company.

Lazersield end Field (3:71), 1946, states, "That the people who are more susceptible to radio education are those who need it less." They mentioned that 31 per cent of the people surveyed thought thet radio added to their practical information on agriculture and homemaking.

In Pennsylvania, Reist (5), 1948, pointed out that almost as many farmers were reached through radio as were reached through farm meetings and organizations and more than one half of the women were reached through radia "Taking Action" was considered as phoning the county agent, writing him, requesting a farm visit, calling at the office, or attending a farm meeting. In three counties included in the study, 48 per cent to 82 per cent of the farmers took some form of action. It was also pointed out that radio was a very important means of maintaining good will among farm people. According to the VABI Radio Study (IC), I948, only 22 per cent of the farm families
requested information as a result of the extension radio broadcast. In Texas (7), 1948, radio ranked third, next to farm journals, and neighbors and friends as a source of new ideas.

## Summary

1. The extent of farm listening to extension broadcasts seems to be fairly good, according to other extension radio studies.
2. In several studies the noon hour was given first choice by the listeners for form and home making programs.
3. One study indicated that the largest group of farmer listeners is from 30 to 39 years of age.
4. From the findings of others, the interview, informal and extemporaneous types of presentation seem to be some of the most popular types of extension radio programs ior rural listeners.
5. Radio is one of a number of means which aid in the adoption of new ideas and recommended practices.
6. "Taking Action" es a result of an extension broadcast seems to be one way of evaluating the effectiveness of the extension radio program.

## Chapter III

METHODS AND MATERIALS

In planning this study, the farm youth were omitted because they are in school eight and one half months during the year and do not have the opportunity to listen to the County Extension Service radio programs regularly. Another reason for eliminating them from this survey was because of a stuãy conducted by Murphy (4), in 1941. Her study dealt with the effectiveness of radio in 4-H club work and it pointed out that only a small percentage of the $4-\mathrm{H}$ club members listened regularly to the 4-H broadcasts.

## Procedure

The personal interview method was used in this study. The interviews were made auring April and May, 1949. Form and home visits were made by the interviewers Who worked in teams of two. Four teams of interviewers were used. They included: the Associate State County Agent Leader, Assistant State Leader or Home Demonstration Agents, Vigo County Home Demonstration Agent, Vigo County Assistant County Agent, County Extension Office Secretary, County Home Economics Club Council President, Vocational Agriculture Teacher, the County Agent and his wife. The
teams were divided so that a woman worker could interview the farm woman and a man worker could interview the farm man.

## Samoling

This survey includes 110 men and 110 women, or about five ver cent of the farm men and five per cent of the farm women of the county. According to the 1945 U.S. Farm Census (9:35), there were 2277 farms in Vigo county. The county is composed of 12 townships. Because of the large urban population which covers practically all of Harrison township, that township was excluded from this study. Personal interviews were conducted in each of the other townships.

A map of the county was secured and 22 sampling areas were selected. The Associate County Agent Leader of Indiana selected the areas and marked the starting points on the map, (see Figure I). The areas were then numbered so that the validity of the sample could be checked. after the survey work was completed. Beginning at each of the starting points, the interviewers stopped at the first five farmsteads in each area. In cases Where the fermer or wife, or both, were not at home the next nearest farmstead was used to complete the sample in a particular area.

Other sampling methods were considered, but


Figure 1. A township of Vigo County, Indiana, showing the numbered starting points for each sampling area.
because of the expense, time, and travel involved were discarded. When the survey work was completed the even numbered sampling areas were checked against the odd numbered sampling areas as to average acreage per farm. The average acreage checked within two acres.

## Persongl interview survey forms

Two forms were used in conducting the personal interviews, one for farm men and one for farm women. I/ In general these forms included: age, education, sex, amount of listening, program preference, and result measurement. In order to check the form before using them in the field, the County Agent interviewed several farmers and the Home Demonstration Agent did likewise with several farm women. A meeting of all the interviewers was held the evening prior to the day the first interviews were to be conducted. At this meeting the survey forms were discussed so that each of the interviewers understood the meaning of ail the items included on the forms. At the end of the ifrst day of interviewing all the interviewers met again and discussed their findings. They also compared survey forms to see if all were collecting the data in the same manner.

I/ A copy of both survey forms used may be found in Appendix: A.

## Chapter IV

## PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF DATA

## Inventory of redios <br> on farms

In consideration of the problems involved in improving the Extension Service radio programs in Vigo County, Indiana, one factor is the number of radios and their location on the various farms. According to the data obtained, Table 1 , less than two per cent of the farms had no radioa. The average number of radios per farm was 1.8. The lowest average number of radios per farm was found on the farras of the men 60 years old and over. It can be seen, therefore, that the potential listening audience is not limited due to lack of radios.

In all age groups of the farm men, as shown in Table 2, more radios were located in the living room than in any other place. Among those radios located outside the farm house the family car was the most frequent location reported. A higher percentage of the homes of the men under 30 years of age had radios in the kitchen than in any other age group. Since most of the rural radios are located in the farm house it 13 evident that farm radio programs should be given at a time when the greatest majority of the form I1steners are in the farm home.

Table I.--NUMBER OF RADIOS PER FARM HOME BY AGE GROUPS OF THE FARM MEN IN VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA, 1949


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Location } \\ \text { of } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } 30 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 40 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { to } 50 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \text { to } 60 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 60 years and over |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Re.dios | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Per} \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ | Number | Per cent | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ | ITumber | Per | Numbe | cer |
| Kıtehen | 6 | 22.2 | 5 | 11.1 | 8 | 16.0 | 7 | 15.6 | 5 | 15.2 | 33. | 28.2 |
| Dining room | 1 | 3.7 | 4 | 8.9 | 10 | 20.0 | 5 | 11.1 | 6 | 18.2 | 26 | 23.6 |
| Living room | 11 | 40.8 | 18 | 40.0 | 18 | 36.0 | 18 | 40.0 | 1.6 | 48.4 | 81 | 73.6 |
| Bedroom | 3 | 11.1 | 5 | 11.1 | 3 | 6.0 | 2 | 4.4 | 3 | 9.1 | 16 | 14.6 |
| Car | 5 | 18.5 | 7 | 15.6 | 10 | 20.0 | 10 | 22.3 | 3 | 9.1 | 35 | 31.8 |
| Truck | 1 | 3.7 | 2 | 4.4 | - | - | 2 | 4.4 | - | - | 5 | 4.5 |
| Barn | - | - | 3 | 6.7 | - | - | 1 | 2.2 | - | - | 4 | 3.6 |
| Shop | - | - | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1.8 |
| - - - - | - | - | - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - | - | - | - - | - - |
| TOTAL | 27 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 331 | 100.0 | 200 |  |

* Per cent of farm homes in sample.


## Extent of listening

According to this survey 22.7 per cent of the farm men and 29.1 per cent of the farm women have never heard the broadcast programs. It was necessary to show the extent of listening in terms of daily listening, for comparative purposes. Since there seems to be no standard method of reporting the extent of listening, the method that was used in Pennsylvania (5:5), 1948, was adapted for use in this study.

In order to predict the percentage of daily Iisteners, it was necessary to express the amounts of monthly and weekly listening in terms of daily listening. Since there were, on an average, 22 programs broadcast during a one month period, the number who reported isstening once a month was divided by 22. Therefore the following formula could be developed.

$$
Y=\frac{X}{N} . \text { Where } Y \text { equals per cent of daily }
$$

listening, $X$ equals number listening during a given period, and $N$ equals the number of programs broadcast during the some period.

In the projection, as shown in Table 3, more than twice the number of farm women listen daily to the Vigo County Extension Service radio programs than do the farm men.


One factor which may have an influence on the extent of iarm radio listening is the time of the broadcast program. In Table 4 , it is shown that the noon hour was preferred by a majority of both the farm men and farm women listeners surveyed. The inndings in this survey, as to time of broadcast, agreed with three out of four Extension Service studies conducted in 1948 , (5), (6), (7), and (10). Other suplemental programs could be considered for the evening and early morning, in order to meet the needs of those persons who prefer programs at some other time than the noon hour.

Inasmuch as the educational level of the listeners may have an influence in the organization and planning of a radio program, grade achieved in school should be considered. In Table 5, it is shown that the average grade in school attained by the farm men was 9.4 and farm somen 10.0. Therefore, it would seem that programs, in order to be effective, should be planned at the ninth or tenth grade level.

## Programs

Tyoes of programs.--In this study the types of Extension Service radio programs were divided into recommended practices, doings of others, answers to questions, announcements of meetings and markets. In Table 6 recommended practices are shown to have been

Table 4.--LISTENERS' TIME PREFERENCE OF FARM MEN AND FARM WOMEN FOR VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA, EXTENSION SERVICE RADIO PROGRAMS BY AGE GROUPS, 1949

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Listeners' } \\ & \text { time } \\ & \text { preference } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } 30 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 40 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 40 to 50 years |  | 50 to 60 years |  | 60 years and over |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ |  | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ |
| Farm men Noon hour | 12 | 85.7 | 12 | 66.7 | 12 | 54.5 | 7 | 41.2 |  | 13 | 92.9 | 56 | 65.9 |
| All other times | 2 | 14.3 | 6 | 33.3 | 10 | 45.5 | 10 | 58.8 |  | 1 | 7.1 | 29 | 34.3. |
| - - - - | - - | - | - | - - | - |  | - - | - | - | - - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 14 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0. | 22 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 |  | 14 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 |
| Farm women Noon hour | 11 | 91.7 | 23 | 95.8 | 23 | 82.1 | 16 | 94.1 |  | 6 | 75.0 | 79 | 88.8 |
| All other <br> times | 1 | 8.3 | 1 | 4.2 | 5 | 17.9 | 1 | 5.9 |  | 2 | 25.0 | 10 | 11.2 |
| - - - | - - | - - | - - - | - - - | - - | - | - - | - - |  | - - | - - | - - | - |
| TOTAL | 12 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 28 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |

Table 5...-AVERAGE GRADE IN SCHOOL, BY AGE GROUPS, OF VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA, FARM MEN AND FARM WOMEN, 1949

| Sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } 30 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 40 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { to } 50 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 50 to 60 years |  | 60 years end over |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ave. } \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Numbe | Ave. grade | Num | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ave. } \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Numbe |  | Numbe |  | Numbe | Ave. a.de |
| Parm men | 15 | 21.? | 22 | 10.7 | 26 | 10.1 | 23 | 8.0 | 24 | 7.2 | 110 | 9.4 |
| Farm women | 14 | 11.1 | 29 | 11.4 | 31 | 10.8 | 25 | 8.4 | 11 | 6.9 | 110 | 10.0 |

preferred by many more ferm men surveyed than were any of the other types of programs. In the case of the farm women, Table 7, recommended practices received the highest number of choices, but the doings of others ranked a very close second choice. Also the farm women under 30 years of age had a strong preference for announcements of meetings, while the Sarm women 60 years old and over decidedly preferred programs dealing with the doings of other people.

In 1946, the U.S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics, (8:ix), pointed out that the majority of rural listeners seern to take radio programming for granted. In the present study, when most of the rural listeners were asked about the aforementioned phase of programming, most of them indicated a preference as to the type of program they preferred. Due to the fact that market reports have been fncluded on the regular programs of Radio Station WBOW, there were not many persons who preferred market reports on the Extension Service radio programs.

| Table 6. --TYPE OF COUNTY EXTENSION SERVICE RADIO PROGRAMS PERFERRED BY VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA, FARM MEN, BY AGE GROUPS, 1949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type <br> Under 30 <br> of years <br> Progrom |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 40 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 40 to 50 years |  | $50 \text { to } 60$years |  | 60 years and over |  | Total |  |
| $\text { Program } \quad \bar{N}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { Number }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{gathered}$ | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ |
| Recommended practices | 7 | 46.6 | 11 | 50.0 | 13 | 50.0 | 8 | 34.8 | 9 | $37 \cdot 5$ | 48 | 43.6 |
| Doings of others | 2 | 13.3 | 3 | 13.7 | 1 | 3.8 | 3 | 13.0 | - | - | 9 | 8.2 |
| Answers to questions | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 4.5 | 1 | 3.8 | 1 | 4.3 | 1 | 4.2 | 5 | 4.5 |
| Announcements | s 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 4.5 | 2 | 7.8 | 2 | 8.7 | - | - | 6 | 5.5 |
| Markets and crops | 2 | 13.3 | 1 | 4.5 | 4 | 15.4 | - | - | 2 | 8.3 | 9 | 8.2 |
| No preference | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 4.5 | 1 | 3.8 | 3 | 3.3 .0 | 2 | 8.3 | 8 | 7.3 |
| Never Ifsten | 1 | 6.7 |  | 18.3 | 4 | 15.4 | 6 | 26.2 | 10 | 41.7 | 25 | 22.7 |
| - - - - | - - | - - | - | - | - |  | - - | - - | - | - - | - - | - - |
| TOTAL | 15 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 110 | 100.0 |

Table 7.--TYPE OF COUNTY EXTENSION SERVICE RADIO PROGRAMS PREFERRED BY VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA, FARM WOMEN, BY AGE GROUPS, 1949


People on programs.--Considering extension agents, farm people, college specialists, and $4-H$ club groups; the farm people surveyed selected the county extension agents, as shown in Tabies 8 and 9 , as their first choice. However, the men under 40 years of age preferred farm people as their first choice. The second choice of a.ll the age groups of both farm men and farm women was for a program on which farm people appeared. Approximately eight per cent of the ferm men and women preferred a varied orogram or did not state a preference. The data indicate that college specialists and $4-H$ club groups should be used on the County Extension Office radio programs only occasionally.

Lensth of programs.--During the past 10 years the Vigo County, Indiana, listeners have been accustomed to a daily five-minute program. When they were asied the question on length of program, 67 per cent of the farm men listeners and 74.2 per cent of the farm women listeners, as shown in Table 10, preferred a program of IG minutes or longer. All age groups preferred a longer program. The most common comment by those who preferred a longer program was: "If a program is worth listening to at all, it should be at least 10 minutes long."

Table 8.--PREFERENCE OF PEOPLE ON COUNTY EXTENSION SERVICE RADIO PROGRAMS BY VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA, FARM MEN BY AGE GROUPS, 1.949

| $\begin{gathered} \text { People } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Programs } \end{gathered}$ | Under 30 years |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 40 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { to } 50 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \text { to } 60 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 60 years and over |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\begin{aligned} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \end{array}$ | $\overline{\text { Number }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Extension agents | 4 | 26.6 | 5 | 22.7 | 12 | 46.2 | 10 | 43.4 | 10 | 41.7 | 41 | 37.3 |
| Farm people | 6 | 40.0 | 8 | 36.5 | 2 | 7.7 | 2 | 8.7 | 2 | 8.2 | 20 | 18.2 |
| College specialists | - 2 | 13.3 | 1 | 4.5 | 3 | 11.5 | 3 | 13.1 | 1 | 4.2 | 10 | 9.1 |
| 4-H groups | 1 | 6.7 | 2 | 9.0 | 2 | 7.7 | - | - | - | - | 5 | . 5 |
| No preference | 1 | 6.7 | 2 | 9.0 | 3 | 11.5 | 2 | 8.7 | 1 | 4.2 | 9 | 8.2 |
| Never listen | 1 | 6.7 | 4 | 18.3 | 4 | 15.4 | 6 | 26.1 | 10 | 41.7 | 25 | 22.7 |
| - - - - | - - |  | - - | - - - | - - | - - | - | - - | - - - | - - | - | - |
| TOTAL - | 15 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |

Table 9.-.PREFERENCE OF PEOPLE ON COUNTY EXIENSIOH SERVICE RADIO PROGRAMS BY VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA, FARM WOMEN, BY AGE GROUPS, 1949


Table 10. - LENGTH OF TIME OF EXTENSION SERVICE RADIO PROGRAMS PREPERRED BY VIGO COUTHY, INDIANA, LISTENERS, BY AGE GROUPS AND SEX, 1.949


Farm men

| 5 minutes | 1 | 7.1 | 3 | 16.? | 2 | 9.1 | 5 | 29.4 | 2 | 14.3 | 13 | 15.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 7 minutes | 1 | 7.1 | 4 | 22.2 | 3 | 13.6 | 3 | 17.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 13 | 15.3 |
| 10 minutes | 12 | 85.8 | 11 | 61.1 | 15 | 68.2 | 9 | 53.0 | 10 | 71.4 | 57 | 67.0 |
| No preference | - | - | - | - | 2 | 9.3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2.4 |
| arm women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 minutes | - | - | 5 | 20.8 | 3 | 10.7 | 5 | 29.4 | - | - | 13 | 14.6 |
| $7 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes | 1 | 8.3 | 1 | 4.2 | 4 | 14.3 | 2 | 11.8 | 2 | 25.0 | 10 | 11.2 |
| 10 minutes | 11 | 91.7 | 18 | 75.0 | 21 | 75.0 | 10 | 58.8 | 6 | 75.0 | 66 | 74.2 |

Tyoe of Voice presentation on programs.--As is shown in Table 1l, more of the farm men listeners preferred the one voice type of presentation. Their second choice was the interview type of program. The farm women listeners indicated the interview type of presentation as their first choice, but there was not a great deal of difference in their preference for one voice, interview, or forum. Two other studies revealed that the interview or the informel type of program was in demand by farm people in the areas where those studies were conaucted, (1) and (10).

TabIe II.--TYPE OF PRESENTATION PRRFFERRED BY VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA, EXTENSION SERVICE RADIO PROGRAM LISTENERS, 1949.

| Type of Presentation | Farm Men |  | Farm Homen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent |
| One voice | 36 | 42.4 | 29 | 32.6 |
| Interview | 28 | 32.9 | 31 | 34.9 |
| Forum | 1.4 | 16.5 | 24 | 27.0 |
| No preference | 7 | 8.2 | 5 | 5.5 |
| - - - - | - - | - - | - - | - - - |
| Y'OTAL | 85 | 100.0 | 89 | 100.0 |

## Results

Action taken by listeners.--In the attempt to measure the value of the radio programs very little
information was gained. Ten per cent of the farm men listeners, 2 s shown in Table l2, visited the County Extension Office for additional information about the subject matter given on the programs. The farm women Iisteners did not take much action as a result of the broadeast programs.

Indirect action taken by Iisteners.--As shown In Table 13, 25.4 per cent of the farm men listeners and 18.2 per cent of the farm women Iisteners stated that they attended a meeting as a result of a broadcast given by the County Extension Office.

From the foregoing analysis one might conclude that there is something wrong with radio as a means of stimulating farm people to take some form of action. In conducting the interviews on the survey it was noted that many of the people could not recall whether or not it was radio, newspaper, farm magazines, or comments from their neighbors that influenced them to take some sort of action. In several other studies, (1), (2), (5), (7), and (10), there was a wide variation in the results or benefits of farm radio broadcasting and the methods used in reporting these results.

| Action Taken | nder 30 years |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 40 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | 40 to 50 years |  | 50 to 60 years |  | 60 years and over |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pex } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ |
| Farm men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phoned office | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 4.5 | 2 | 7.7 | 1 | 4.3 | - | - | 5 | 4.6 |
| Wrote office | - | - | 1 | 4.5 | 1 | 3.8 | 1 | 4.3 | 2 | 8.3 | 5 | 4.6 |
| Requested visit | - | - | 1 | 4.5 | - | - | 1 | 4.3 | - | - | 2 | 1.8 |
| Visited office | - | - | 1 | 4.5 | 4 | 15.4 | 2 | 8.7 | 4 | 16.7 | 11 | 10.0 |
| Farm women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phoned office | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 3.4 | 3 | 9.6 | - | - | 1 | 9.1 | 6 | 5.4 |
| Wrote office | - | - | 1 | 3.4 | 1 | 3.2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1.8 |
| Requested visit | - | - | 1 | 3.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.9 |
| Visited office | - | - | 2 | 6.9 | - | - | 1 | 4.0 | 2 | 18.2 | 5 | 4.5 |



In the light of the findings reported in this chapter, several factors must be taken into consideration before proposals are made for the improvement of the Vigo County Extension Service radio prograns. Such factors are: age, sex, and education of the listeners, as well as the length, time, and type of program desired. The proposals for the improvements which are suggested by this study will be discussed in the following chapter.

Chapter V<br>\section*{SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING STATEIENTS}

There has been a continuous daily Extension Service radio program in Vigo County, Indiana, since 1933. The desire to improve these radio programs prompted this study. Personal interviews were conducted in 22 different areas in the county. The sample included 110 farm men and 110 farm women.

Summery of findings $\frac{\text { as }}{\text { shown by survey gemple }}$
In consideration of the inventory of radios on the farms, it was found that over 98 per cent of the farm homes had radios. There were, on an average, 1.8 radios per farm. The farm men 60 years old and older reported fewer radios than any other age group. More radios were located in the living room than in any other place.

It was found that 77.3 per cent of the farm men and 80.9 per cent of the farm women have listened to the programs at least once. Over half of the non-listeners were 50 years of age or older. On the basis of pro$j \in c t e d$ daily average Iistening, it was found that 35.8 per cent of the farm women and 16.5 per cent of the farm men Iistened daily. The noon hour was the most frequent
choice for the time of the broadcasts. The average grade attained in school by the form men was 9.4 and the farm women 10.0.

In consideration of subject matter for the radio programs, both the farm women and farm men as a whole preferred recommended practices. However, the farm women under 30 years of age preferred programs on which meetings were announced, while the farm women 60 years old and older preferred programs thot told about the doings of other people.

County Extension Agents were preferred for the presentation of the broadcests, except by the farm men under 40 years of age. Both farm men and farm women of all age groups prefered programs of at least 10 minutes duration. The farm men listeners oreferred the one voice type of presentation, while the farm women listeners did not indicate a.great deal of difference between one voice, interview, or forum programs.

Only a small percentage of the farm listeners indicated that they took some direct action as a result of the broadcast programs. However, approximately 25 per cent of the farm men and 18 per cent of the farm women stated that they had attended a meeting that was announced on the County Extension Office radio program.

Concluaing statements
This study has revealed that in the past the Extension Service radio programs in Vigo County, Indiana, have been rather effective. then only one program is given each day, the noon hour is preferred by the highest percentage of farm men and ferm women. If adoitional programs were planned for early morning and evening, more farm people might be reached by the programs. It is pleasing to note that $\varepsilon$ rair percentage of farm people Iisten daily when there are such competing progreme as: the WLS Dinner Bell Program and Baukhage over WTHI.

It is believed that the Extension Service radio programs could be improved by lengthening the noon program to 10 minutes. A higher percentage of the programs could be directed to the iarm women because more of the Women Ifsten regularly than do the farm men. The programs should be planned for farm people under 60 years of age, keeping in mind the ninth or tenth grade educational level.

The Extension Service radio programs should frequently be evaluated by all possible and practical means in order to find ways of improving the prograns in the county.

## Suggestions for further study

Since it was impossible to make a complete study the following suggestions are made for future study:
I. Survey of Vigo County, Indiana, Extension Service radio programs in surrounding counties.
2. The extent that other radio programs compete with the Vigo County, Indiana, Extension Service radio programs.
3. Methods of measuring the effectiveness of Extension Service radio programs through the development of valid and reliable evaluation criteria.
4. The possibilities of the use of television for County Extension Service work.
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NAME $\qquad$ AREA NO. $\qquad$ STOP NO. $\qquad$
ADDRESS TOUNSHIP

1. DO YOU HAVE A RADIO? HOW MANY? 012345 (circle)
2. WHERE IOCATED? $\qquad$ b. Dining room c. Iiving room d. Bedroom e. Car f. Truck - g. Barn h. Other_
3. IS RADIO IN WORKING ORDER? a. No b. Yes
4. IF NOT, HOW IONG OUT OF ORDER? Number of weeks $1,2,3,4,5$, more.
5. DO YOU IISTEN TO RADIO?
a. No $\qquad$ b. Yes_
6. HAVE YOU PRRSONAILY CONTACTED A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE DURING THE PAST YEAR? a. No_ b. Yes
7. HAVE YOU GEARD THE BROADCASTS OF YOUR COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE OVER WEOW?

8. DURING WHAT HOUR OF THE DAY DO YOU PREFER THE COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE BROADCAST?
a. First Choice A.M. 67891011 Noon P.M. 123456789 b. Second Choice AM 67891011 Noon P.M. 123456789
9. WHAT DO YOU WANT TO HPAR? (Indicate preference - lst, and 3rd)
a. Announcements of coming
e. Recommended practices
W. Answers to questions
e.
10. WHO DO YOU WANT TO HEAR ON PROGRAMS?

| a. County Extension Agents |
| :--- |
| $\square$ b. Farm people |
| 4-H Club groups |

11. HOW DO YOU IIKE PRESENTATION OF EROADCAST?
a. Once voice
$\square$ b. Interview
$\square$ a. Forum (several poeple)
12. AS A RESULT OF THE BROADCASTS OF THE COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE HAVE YOU DURING THE PAST YEAR?
a. Phoned that office?
(1) No_ (2) Yes
b. Written that office?
(1) NO -
c. Requested a farm or home visit?
(1) No
(2) Yes
d. Visited the office for additional information on a particular broadcast?.
(1) No
(2) Yes
13. AS A RESULTOF THE BROADCASTS OF THE COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE DURING THE PAST YEAR?
a. Attended a meeting announced?
(1) No
(2) Yes
b. Visited a demonstration announced?
(1) No - (2) Yes -
c. Visited another farm or home to observe a practice described? ........................(1) No_ (2) Yes
d. Heard any comments by neighbors relative
$\qquad$ (2) Yes What?
(1) No
Where were comments made?
14. SCHOOL ATTENDANCE
a. How many years did you attend grade school?
b. How many years did you attend high shcool?
c. How many years did you attend college?
—

- 

15. WHAT IENGTH OF BROADCAST DO YOU IIKE?
a. 5 minutes_b. $71 / 2$ minutes__ c. 10 minutes___
16. AGE: a. 29 and under_ b. 30-39__ c. $40-49$ d. 50-59__ e. 60 or over_.
17. SIZE OF FARM (ACRES)
18. ARE YOU A MEMBER OF A FARM ORGANIZATION? a. No_b. Yes
19. WHAT SUCGESTIONS CAN YOU MAKE FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THESE BROADCASTS?
20. COMMENYS EY INTERVIEWERS $\qquad$

NAME AREA NO. $\qquad$ STOP NO.

ADDRESS TOWNSEIP $\qquad$
O. DO YOU HAVE CHILDREN IN 4-H? a. No. of girls b. No. of boys $\qquad$
5. DO YOU IISTEN TO RADIO? a. NO b. Yes $\qquad$
6. EAVE YOU PERSONAIIY CONTACTED A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE DURING THE PAST YEAR? a. No b. Yes $\qquad$
7. HAVE YOU HEARD THE BROALCASSS OF YOUR COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE OVER WEOW?

8. DURING WHAT HOUR OF THE DAY DO YOU PREFER THE COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE BROADCAST?
a. First Choice A.M. $6789 \ddagger 0 \mathrm{ll}$ Noon P.M. 123456789 b. Second Choice A.M. 67891011 Noon P.M. I 23456789
9. WHAT DO YOU WANT TO HEAR? (Indicate preference - lst, and 3rd)

10. WHO DO YOU WANT TO HEAR ON PROGRAMS?

| $\quad$ a. County Extension Agents |
| :--- |
| b. Farm people |
| c. College Specialists |
| e.4-H Club groups |

11. HOW DO YOU IIKE PRESENTATION OF EROADCAST?

| e. One voice |
| :--- |
| b. Interview |

## 12. AS A RESUIT OF TAE BROADCASTS OF THE COUNTY EXTEVSION OFFICE HAVE

 YOU DURING THE PAST YEAR?a. Phoned that office?
(1) No
(2) Yos
b. Written that office?
(1) No
(2) Yes —
c. Requested a farm or home visit
(1) $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$
(2) Yes
d. Visited the office for additional infor mation on a particular broadcast? ........
(I) No
(2) Yes_
13. AS A RESUIT OF THE BROADCASTS OF THE COUNTY EXTENSION OFFICE DURING THE PAST YEAR?

14. SCHOOL ATTENDANCE
a. How many years did you attend grade school?
b. How many years did you attend high school?
c. How many years did you attend college?
15. What Imgath of broadcast do you IIke?
a. 5 minutes_ b. $71 / 2$ minutes__ c. 10 minutes___
16. AGE: a. 29 and under_ b. 30-39_ c. 40-49 _ d. 50-59_
8. 60 or over..
18. ARE YOU A MEMGER OF A HOME ECONOMICS CIUE? a. No
b. Yes $\qquad$
19. What sugcestions cin you mine for tie improvenent of these BROADCASTS?
20. COMMENTS BY INTERVIENERS?
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