# THE ECONOMY OF NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS

Ву

S.L. Gray J.R. McKean J.C. Weber

January 1981



Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado

#### THE ECONOMY OF NORTHWESTERN COLORADO

#### DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS

Ву

S. L. Gray

J. R. McKean

J. C. Weber

Department of Economics Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

Submitted to

U. S. Department of Interior Bureau of Land Management Colorado State Office Denver, Colorado 80202

January 1981

The work upon which this report is based was supported by funds provided by the U. S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management, under Contract No. 52500-CT5-1019 and supported by funding from the Colorado State University Experiment Station.

Contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Bureau of Land Management, U. S. Department of Interior, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute their endorsement or recommendation for use by the U. S. Government.

COLORADO WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado

Norman A. Evans, Director

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapte	<u>r</u>	Page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	Introduction	1-1
	The Region Under Study	1-1
	Statement of the Problem	1-3
	The Model Used	1-4
	Outline of the Report	1-4
2.	THE METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY	2-1
	Introduction	2-1
	Procedures Followed	2-2
	The Definition of the Region	2-2
	The Sector Delineations	2-4
	Questionnaire Design and Use	2-16
	Selection of the Base Year	2-17
	Conduct of the Survey	2-18
	Processing the Data	2-18
3.	ANALYSIS OF THE NORTHWESTERN COLORADO ECONOMY	3-1
	Introduction	3-1
	The Transactions Table	3-1
	Direct Production Requirements	3-5
	Direct Plus Indirect Impacts	3-6
	Business Multipliers	3-8
	Income Multipliers	3-10
	Employment Analysis	3-13
4.	EXTENSIONS OF THE BASIC ANALYSIS: REGIONAL WATER REQUIREMENTS	S
	AND ANALYSIS OF A GROWTH SCENARIO	4-1
	Introduction	4-1
	Water Use Analysis	4-2
	Coal Growth Scenarios	4-9

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		Page
2-1	Brief Sector Identification, Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study	2-6
3-1	Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study Business Activity Multipliers, By Sector, 1974	3-9
3-2	Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study, Type I and Type II Income Multipliers, By Sector, 1974	3-11
3-3	Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study, Total Employment and Employment Coefficients, By Sector, 1974	3-15
3-4	Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study, Direct Plus Indirect Labor Requirements Per Dollar Delivered to Final Demand, By Sector, 1974	3-16
4-1	Estimated Withdrawal and Consumptive Use Requirements, By Sector, Northwestern Colorado, 1974	4-4
4-2	Total Water Use, By Sector, Processing Sectors of Northwestern Colorado, 1974	4-5
4-3	Direct Plus Indirect Water Requirements, Northwestern Colorado, 1974	4-8
4-4	Projected Value of Underground and Surface Coal Deliveries to Export Markets, Northwest Colorado Economy	4-10
4-5	Estimated Changes in Selected Variables 1974-1980, 1974-1985, 1974-1990, Associated With Projected Coal Sector Deliveries to Export Markets	4-11
4-6	Estimated Changes in Selected Variables 1974-1980, Associated With Projected Coal and Electric Power Sector Deliveries to Final Demand	4-13
B-1	Northwestern Colorado Gross Flows Table, Livestock Sector Normalized, 1974, Dollars	B-2
8-2	Northwestern Colorado Direct Requirements Per Dollar of Output, Livestock Sector Normalized	B-7
B-3	Northwestern Colorado Direct and Indirect Requirements Per Dollar Delivered to Final Demand, Livestock Sector Normalized	B-12
B-4	Northwestern Colorado Direct and Indirect Requirements Per Dollar Delivered to Final Demand, Livestock Sector Normalized	B-16

# LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table		Page
C-1	Interview Rates, Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study	C-1
D-1	Components of Transfer Account Row	D-2
D-2	Components of Transfer Account Column	D-3
D-3	Components of Depreciation Account Row	D-4
D-4	Components of Investment Account Column	D-5

#### **APPENDICES**

# Appendix

- A. Sector Identification, Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study
- B. Input-Output Tables for the Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study:

Northwestern Colorado Gross Flows Table
Northwestern Colorado Direct Requirements Per Dollar of Output
Northwestern Colorado Direct and Indirect Requirements Per Dollar
of Output Delivered to Final Demand (Households in Processing Sector)
Northwestern Colorado Direct and Indirect Requirements Per Dollar of
Output Delivered to Final Demand (Households in Final Demands).

- C. Critique of Data Sources
- D. Components of Transfer, Depreciation, and Investment Accounts

Components of Transfer Account Row Components of Transfer Account Column Components of Depreciation Account Row Components of Investment Account Column

- E. Survey Form Used for the Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Model
- F. Bibliography

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

#### INTRODUCTION

Recent concern with the adequacy of existing energy supplies to satisfy rapidly expanding requirements has led to plans for increasing production from existing sources and for developing new sources. Two resources, coal and oilbearing shale, are available for rather extensive development in Colorado. The perceived impacts of coal and oil extraction will likely vary depending on the accounting stance or perspective taken, i.e., national, state, or regional. They may include both economic and non-economic effects. While it would be a worthwhile endeavor to consider the wide range of perspectives and impacts resulting from rapid energy resource developments, time and financial constraints, as well as a concern with the impacts on a particular regional economy, limit the scope of this inquiry to the regional perspective and to an assessment of the economic impacts.

Thus, the thrust of this report is to provide a description and analysis of a regional economy within the state of Colorado. The intent of the researchers is to provide policy makers with specific information contributing to the decision-making and planning processes and to provide a planning tool having the capability of analyzing a number of alternative development scenarios in the study region.

# The Region Under Study

Nine counties in northwestern Colorado, plus the Somerset coal area of Gunnison County make up the study area. These counties comprise all of Colorado State Planning Region II and parts of regions X and XII. The region consists of a varied geography and climate and is bounded by mountain ranges to the east and south and the states of Utah and Wyoming to the west and north.

The land area contained in the region consists of approximately 22,752 square miles and is some 22 percent of the state total. The Federal Government owns 14,674 square miles or 64.5 percent of the region's total land area. Federal ownership is represented in three national monuments, four national forests, and holdings of the Bureau of Land Management and the Bureau of Reclamation. Land holdings of the U. S. Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management generated Federal income of \$20.4 million in fiscal 1974. In addition, Bureau of Reclamation power activities contributed \$4.6 million for interest and repayment transfers to the Federal Government.

The region's 1974 population is estimated at 152,680 inhabitants with an adjusted gross income of some \$590 million. As a percentage of the state totals in these categories, the region's population is approximately six percent of the total while personal adjusted gross income is some 5.5 percent.

On balance, the region is a net exporting region (where exports are defined in terms of deliveries of goods and services outside the region's boundaries). Net exports are estimated at \$43.6 million. The major exporting activities are the extractive industries and recreation-oriented activities. A significant percentage of the state's total production of extractive goods comes from the Northwest Colorado region. Some 7.4 percent of the value of agricultural output in the state is produced in the region; 19.1 percent of the value of lead and zinc ores; 100 percent of the state's iron ore; 41 percent of the uranium and vanadium ores; 60.3 percent of the state's crude oil; and 37.5 percent of the state's natural gas production. The region's coal output is 85.5 percent of the total Colorado tonnage. Colorado's oil shale deposits are all in the study area.

The relative abundance of amenity resources has encouraged outdoor recreation activities of all kinds. Major ski areas are found in Vail, Steamboat

Springs and in Pitkin County. Big game hunting is am important activity with 59.8 percent and 53.9 percent, respectively, of the state's 1974 total deer and elk harvest occurring in the region. Water based recreation is also a major outlet for outdoor recreation.

The regional economy is also characterized by a continually expanding base in the "light" industries sector. Firms in this segment of the economy produce largely for export markets. Significant export activity also occurs in the transportation, electric power, trade and a number of service sectors. However, except for a limited number of food products and certain petroleum products, the economy imports nearly all finished consumer products, heavy industry products, and ingredient materials such as cement and lumber.

# STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The natural resource base in the region, while relatively abundant in terms of the capability to satisfy local demands, is nonetheless the focal point for regional and extra-regional economic conflict. Ownership of the large deposits of exploitable resources is vested largely with the Federal Government and corporations headquartered out of state. Water use is governed by state water law, interstate compacts, and international treaty. Thus, from a regional perspective, policies affecting the disposition of the regional resource base are largely determined outside of the region. From this same perspective, there is a need to develop a detailed description of the economy as it presently exists and an analytical framework which is capable of assessing the direct and indirect consequences of alternative scenarios for resource exploitation proposed by the public and private sectors of the economy. This description and analysis constitutes the major thrust of the research reported here.

#### The Model Used

A tool particularly adapted to these questions is the comprehensive interindustry production model developed by W. W. Leontief. The strength of this model (often termed the input-output model) lies in its capability not only to describe the interdependence existing among sectors of an economy but also in the capacity to demonstrate, sector by sector, the total consequences of any number of development scenarios. The model is thus both descriptive and analytical. The descriptive components are accommodated through the collection of extensive primary data, from firms within the region, and subsequent tabulation of the data in a form consistent with the interindustry framework. The analytical phase consists of the impact analysis, development of the various multipliers, and consistent forecasting under alternative resource development scenarios.

# Outline of the Report

The remainder of the report consists of a description of the method of the study which is contained in Chapter 2; the analysis of the regional economy, which is the concern of Chapter 3; extensions of the basic model to include an analysis of water use in the economy and the impacts of alternative growth scenarios in the final demands for underground and surface mined coal and electric power, which are contained in Chapter 4.

In addition to the main text of the report, there are several appendices.

These contain the input-output tables, the sector identification used in the analysis, and a rather detailed critique of the data sources used in constructing the model. The reader interested only in the results of the model will perhaps not find this critique to be of much interest. However, it is the authors' hope

that the model will be updated and used by the contracting agency and if this is the case, the appendix should be valuable aid for further work.

Under separate cover are the Executive Summary and the Technical Report which describes the mathematical formation of the model and presents a simple example showing how the model operates.

#### NOTES

- 1. Delta, Eagle, Garfield, Mesa, Moffat, Montrose, Pitkin, Rio Blanco, and Routt, and the Sommerset coal area of Gunnison County.
- 2. Colorado State Planning Division, Colorado Year Book, 1962-62, pp. 492-509.
- 3. In house reports of the U. S. Forest Service and U. S. Bureau of Land Management. Some 37.5 percent or \$7.6 million were returned to the state. These monies are, of course, exclusive of oil shale lease monies amounting to \$65.6 million.
- 4. In-house reports of the Bureau of Reclamation.
- 5. Colorado State Planning Office and Colorado Department of Revenue, Annual Report, Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1975.
- 6. Colorado Department of Agriculture, Colorado Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, Colorado Agricultural Statistics 1976, July, 1976. Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mines, A Summary of Mineral Industry Activities in Colorado 1974, May, 1975. Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mines, Coal 1974, April, 1975.

#### CHAPTER 2

#### THE METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

#### INTRODUCTION

The national energy situation has focused an increasing attention on the coal, oil shale, uranium, and oil and gas reserves in Northwestern Colorado. As evidence of this fact are prototype leases of federal oil shale lands, the Anvil Points experiments, private research and development plans for oil shale by Colony Development Operation, Occidental Oil Shale, Inc., and the Union Oil Company of California. Additional evidence is found in the increased production of coal from existing operations, proposals for additional leases on federal coal lands, the Hayden power plant on line and another undergoing construction, increased uranium exploration activities of the past two years, and increases in crude petroleum and natural gas production.

These activities have generally been viewed as isolated from, or independent of, the remainder of the economic environment. In those cases where an impact statement has been filed more concern has been given to physical impacts than to social and economic impacts. As a result the total consequences of such developments have not been thoroughly analyzed. While we do not propose to perform an ex-post evaluation of the impacts of existing developments, a major product of this research is the provision of the analytical capability for assessing the regional impacts of continued developments.

The interindustry model identifies the interdependent structure of an economy. No producing sector is autonomous (independent of the other sectors); rather, each sector interacts with other sectors (industrial, commercial, labor, government) through the purchase of goods and services and the sale of outputs.

Structural interdependence means, quite simply, that the activities in one sector have impacts on others. The identification of the nature and magnitude of this interdependence is one of the most useful results of the interindustry model.

The model is driven by what are termed final demands. Final demands (as opposed to intermediate demands) reflect the demand for goods and services in final form. Thus, final demand sectors use or consume a finished good. Intermediate demands, on the other hand, reflect the demand for goods and services which are processed before becoming available for final consumption. Thus, changes in final demands result in changes in the processing (or intermediate) sectors of the economy. The primary purpose of the interindustry model is to trace these impacts throughout the economy. Tracing these direct and indirect impacts allows the derivation of the multiplier impacts on production, income, employment or water use, and also allows the use of the model in providing consistent forecasts of economic activity.

#### Procedures Fallowed

The discussion of procedures followed in conducting the research may be conveniently condensed into several categories including: the definition of the region; delineation of economic sectors; the data collection effort; selection of the base year; and data processing. Each is discussed, as briefly as possible, in the following pages.

#### The Definition of the Region

Northwestern Colorado, for purposes of this study, was defined as nine counties including Delta, Eagle, Garfield, Mesa, Moffat, Montrose, Pitkin, Rio Blanco, and Routt. Special economic and geographical considerations also led

to the inclusion of the Sommerset coal region in Gunnison County. While some geographical barriers do exist, e.g., Douglas Pass, the region appears to be a relatively homogenous trade area with Mesa County, especially the Grand Junction area, serving as a hub for reciprocal economic activity in the region.

This regional definition does impose some limitations on the complete consideration of mining activities in Western Colorado. First, the uranium and vanadium ores of the Colorado Plateau are not represented simply because San Miguel County, Colorado and Grand County, Utah, are excluded. The model should be expanded to include these areas if a study of these particular economic sectors is deemed desirable. 10 This delineation also fails to account for the lead and zinc activities in Ouray and San Juan Counties. Two considerations led to these exclusions. First, the primary concern with mining activities focuses on coal production and development in shale oil activities of the nine counties comprising the region. The other counties are not heavily involved in these activities. Second, in view of the market instabilities in uranium, lead, and zinc, a decision was made to treat economic activity in the counties containing these sectors exogenously. This omits some known and rather large disequilibrium problems from the model. Third, Utah oil shale lands, Federal Tracts U-a and U-b, and the independent operations of Western Oil Shale Corporation, are not included in the region. These were excluded for two reasons. First, the status of oil shale activity is confined, at present, largely to research and development. Because of this, the oil shale sector is included in the final demands portion of the model. As long as this is the case, it makes no difference, analytically, whether a firm in Colorado is selling its output to the Colorado oil shale sector or selling to export markets. On the revenue side, sales by the oil shale sector are treated as export sales. Thus, nothing is lost by excluding the Utah lands. 11 Secondly, the costs of securing detailed information regarding economic activity in Eastern Utah, solely to include the Utah oil shale tracts, would far outweigh the benefits likely to emerge. 12

# The Sector Delineations

The interindustry model requires the separation of the economy into various economic entities or "sectors." Total output, by interindustry accounting procedures, is the aggregate value of all sales or purchases that take place, i.e., the total sales or purchases during a year. This total output must be divided up into sectors in order to assess the interindustry structural dependence that prevails. The model structures economic activity into two major components, suppliers (or sellers) and purchasers (or users). Each of these is further subdivided according to the following scheme: Suppliers include: 1) intermediate or processing suppliers who are producers who must purchase inputs to be processed into outputs which they sell to final users or as inputs to other processors; and 2) primary suppliers whose output is not directly dependent on purchased inputs. This latter category includes non-local suppliers (or imports). Purchasers include: 1) intermediate or processing purchasers who buy the outputs of suppliers for use as inputs for further processing; and 2) final purchasers who buy the outputs of suppliers in their final form and for final use. This latter category includes purchases by non-local users (or sales to exports). The level of demand by final purchasers, and its composition, are determined outside the processing sector. Production to meet the exogenously determined final demands generates intermediate purchases and sales. Primary suppliers and final purchasers may or may not be one and the same. However, in the interindustry model, their activities are treated as if they were completely independent of one another.

In summary, the two major divisions of suppliers are the intermediate suppliers, which we call the processing sector, and the primary suppliers, which we call the final payments sector. (The suppliers are conventionally shown along the left hand border of an interindustry table.) The two major divisions of the purchasers are the intermediate purchasers, which we label as the processing sector (just as with the intermediate suppliers) and the final purchasers which we label final demand. (The purchasers are conventionally shown along the top of an interindustry or input-output table.) It is within this general framework that a further sector disaggregation must be accomplished. <sup>13</sup>, <sup>14</sup>, <sup>15</sup>

The ideal sector delineation would allow unique recognition of industries or producer groups which provide a homogenous good or service. This ideal is very difficult to achieve because of the large amounts of time and finances required for detailed disaggregation, disclosure problems, and lack of data. Any of these factors or a combination of them lead to a violation of the homogenous product ideal. <sup>16</sup>

Sector selection, in addition to dependence upon financing, time, and data availability, is determined to a large extent by the objectives of the study. Research objectives can often be achieved without detailed disaggregation in all sectors. Since our purpose here is largely to determine the impacts of coal and oil shale development and other sectors such as agriculture and manufacturing, economic sectors such as trade and services do not require detailed disaggregation. The final delineation of the sectoring plan adopted for this study is shown in Table 2-1. A discussion of certain individual sectors and their construction follows. The reader who is not interested in specific sectoring problems may proceed to Chapter 3 without loss of continuity in reading.

TABLE 2-1

BRIEF SECTOR IDENTIFICATION, NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY STUDY

Sector		1972 SIC Codes
	ssing Sectors	
1.	Fruit Agriculture	01 (part)
2.	Irrigated Agriculture other than Fruit	01 (part)
3.	Dryland Agriculture	01 (part)
4.	Dairy Farms	02 (part)
5.	Livestock other than Dairy Farms	02 (part)
6.	Agricultural Services; Forestry	07,08
7.	Metal Mining; Related Services	10
8.	Coal Mining - Underground; Related Services	12 (part)
9.	Coal Mining - Surface; Related Services	12 (part)
10.	Oil and Gas Extraction; Related Services	13
11.	Nonmetal Mining; Related Services	14
12.	Construction	15, 16, 17
13.	Food and Kindred Products Manufacturers	20
14.	Lumber; Wood Products Manufacturers	24
15.	Printing and Publishing; Paper and Allied Products Manufacturers	26, 27
16.	Stone, Glass, Clay Product Manufacturers	32
17.	Fabricated Metals; Non-electrical Machinery Manufacturers	34, 35
18.	Electrical Machinery and Equipment; Transportation Equipment; Electronic Instruments and Components Manufacturers	37, 37, 38
19.	All Other Manufacturers, Textiles, Apparel, Furniture, Chemicals, Petroleum, Rubber, Leather, Primary Metals, Miscellaneous	22, 23, 25, 28, 30, 31, 33, 39
20.	Transportation	40, 41, 42, 45, 47
21.	U. S. Postal Service	43
22.	Communication	48
23.	Electricity; Natural Gas Utilities	491, 492, 493
24.	Water, Sewerage, Trash Removal Services	494, 495, 496, 4
25.	Wholesale Trade	50, 51

TABLE 2-1 Continued

Sector			
Number	Sector Description	1972 SIC codes	
Processing Sectors - Cont.			
26.	Automobile Dealers; Gasoline Service Stations	55	
27.	Eating and Drinking Establishments; Hotels, Motels, Other Lodging	58, 70	
28.	All Other Retail Trade Building Materials, General Merchandise, Food Stores, Apparel and Accessory, Furniture and Furnishings and Equipment, Miscellaneous	52, 53, 54, 56, 57, 59	
29.	Finance	60, 61, 62, 67	
30.	Insurance; Real Estate	63, 64, 65, 66	
31.	Health Services	80	
32.	All Other Services, Personal Business, Automotive Repair, Miscellaneous Repair, Motion Pictures, Amusement and Recreation, Legal, Museums, Member- ship Organizations, Miscellaneous	72, 73, 75, 76, 78, 79, 81, 84, 86, 89	
33.	(Reserved in computer program to subtotal processing sector values.)		
Final [	Demand Sectors		
34.	Households	-	
35.	Education	82	
36.	Social Services	83	
37.	Local and County Government Roads and Bridges	<del>-</del>	
38.	Local and County Governments	91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96	
39.	Local and County Government Tax Accounts	-	
40.	State of Colorado	91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97	
41.	Federal Government	91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97	
42.	Oil Shale Research and Development	-	
43.	Economic Investment	<del>-</del>	
44.	Transfer Account	-	
45.	Exports to Colorado other than the Northwest	-	
46.	Exports to the Rest of the World	-	

TABLE 2-1 Continued

Sector Number		1972 SIC Codes
Final	Payment Sectors	
34.	Households	-
35.	Education	82
36.	Social Services	83
37.	Local and County Government Roads and Bridges	-
38.	Local and County Government	91, 92, 93, 94. 95, 96
39.	Local and County Government Tax Accounts	-
40.	State of Colorado	91, 92, 93, 94 <b>95,</b> 96, 97
41.	Federal Government	91, 92, 93, 94 95, 96, 97
42.	Profits, Rents, Losses	-
43.	Depreciation; Net Inventory Depletion	-
44.	Transfer Account	-
45.	Imports from Colorado other than the Northwest	<b>-</b>
46.	Imports from the Rest of the World	-

The index of fruit production, <sup>17</sup> base 1967, shows that from 1960 through 1975 the range of output index values was between 80 and 553. Given this variability in output, a decision was made to keep fruit production separate from all other agriculture. Further, because it was anticipated that the Northwestern Colorado interindustry model could be used in conjunction with certain types of water studies, agriculture was further divided into dryland and irrigated sectors. Good information was secured on the operation of dairy farms so that sector was kept separate from all other livestock if for no other reason than non-dairy livestock producers suffered tremendous economic losses in 1974. Forestry does not contribute significantly to the Northwestern Colorado economy; in fact the value of forestry output does not exceed several hundred thousand dollars. Accordingly, placing forestry with agricultural services does not materially affect the coefficients of this classification.

Metal mining was taken as a separate class but no attempt was made to isolate the respective metals because of disclosure problems. The division of coal production was based on technological differences between underground and surface mining. Oil shale was removed from oil and natural gas production so that oil shale activities could be treated in the exogenous portion of the interindustry model. Nonmetal mining was allowed to stand by itself.

Preliminary examination of secondary sources suggested that construction activities in Northwestern Colorado did not exceed \$53 million; later stages of the research produced a value for total gross output that exceeded \$208 million. Based on the \$53 million figure a decision was made to collect information treating all construction as one sector. If It was the authors' contention that payroll, interindustry transactions, and imports would account for a relatively large amount of the total gross outlay in this sector and only a much smaller volume of dollars would be left to make regional input purchases. The \$208

million figure is perhaps large enough to warrant dissaggregation in construction but because interviews had been conducted with all construction aggregated, the final model also shows all construction aggregated.

In the manufacturing sectors, food processing, lumber and wood products, and stone, clay, glass, and concrete products could be presented separately because there was clearly no problem in meeting the disclosure requirements. Total gross output of paper and allied products manufacturing is quite negligible in Northwestern Colorado so scheduling it with printing and publication does not materially affect the coefficients of this delineation. Fabricated metals and machinery, except electrical, were placed together because multiple products are produced by several firms in the region, i.e., firms produce for both classifications. Likewise, multiple product lines warrant combining electrical and electronic machinery, equipment, and supplies, transportation equipment, and measuring, analyzing, and controlling instruments. All other manufacturing industries were categorized together because of disclosure problems; the industries involved include textile mill products, apparel and other finished products made from fabrics, furniture and fixtures, chemicals and allied products, petroleum refining, rubber and miscellaneous plastics products, leather products, primary metals, and miscellaneous manufacturing.

Northwestern Colorado is served by one railroad and several airlines; neither type of transportation data could have been published separately in the model without violating confidentiality. Accordingly, all transportation was classified together. Because of the public nature of the United States Postal Service this sector was kept separate without concern for disclosure requirements. Originally the sectoring scheme called for telephone and telegraph communication to be kept separate from broadcasting; later information demonstrated that this could not be done; thus all communication appears

together. Electricity and natural gas utilities were taken together because the Public Service Company of Colorado handles both; ex post it is seen that this need not have been a concern and the two could have been separated. Examination of the financial statements of various municipal governments and water and sanitation districts brought the conclusion that water, sewerage, and trash removal appear in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry model as one sector.

Examination of Robert Morris Associates' Annual Statement Studies 20 suggested that to arrive at any meaningful coefficients for the trade sectors a rather exhaustive and detailed study of the trade sectors would have to be conducted. Considering the time and financial constraint imposed on the research such a detailed study could not be justified. Concern for the possibility of analyzing tourist activities warranted an isolation of automobile dealers, gasoline service stations, and eating and drinking places; 21 otherwise all wholesale activities are combined as are all other retail trade sectors. All other retail includes building materials, general merchandise, food, apparel, furniture and equipment, and miscellaneous retail stores.

Analogous to the case presented for delineation of the trade sectors, the purpose of the study does not warrant extensive delineation of the finance, insurance, and real estate and service sectors. Thus, finance is taken as a sector, insurance and real estate are combined, and with certain exceptions, all services are combined. The exceptions in services are health, education, and social services. The latter delineation is explained below.

Great concern has been voiced over the impacts of energy resource development on the public sectors in the Northwestern Colorado economy. Thus the research procedure warranted a rather extensive search through the financial statements of all levels of government. Government enterprises, e.g., electricity, water treatment, health services, 22 are treated endogenously along with

their counterparts in the private sectors. Activities such as education, social services, and road and bridge operations that are financed almost totally by public tax dollars are kept separate but treated exogenously. Each of these activities is balanced, respective row with respective column, i.e., total gross output equals total gross outlay, to facilitate moving them to the endogenous portion of the model should that be desired. Local and county government activities not elsewhere classified are aggregated into one exogenous sector and balanced row with column. These "not elsewhere classified" activities include general legislative, judicial, and administrative functions, public safety, public health programs, and community recreation and cultural activities.

The local and county government tax sector is employed as an accounting device. With the exception of building permit fees, franchise taxes, local and county liquor license fees, charges for services, intergovernmental transfers, and fines and forfeitures, all revenues (basically property and sales taxes, though also general occupation license fees) accruing to local and county government entities are shown as being paid to this account (sector). In turn the account distributes the tax monies to the appropriate agencies. 23

Another accounting device employed in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry model is the transfer sector. This accounting device allows for two unique and distinctive characteristics that are not found in other regional interindustry studies. First, the assumption that transfer payments cancel in the net is dropped. Second, the model handles financial balances in such a manner as to give rise to a definition of regional income more analogous to the definition of national income. There are several reasons for this. (The reader is referred to the gross flows in Appendix B-1 for the positioning of the transfer sector and the relative magnitudes of its row and column values. A schedule explaining the components of various cells in the transfer sector

row and column appears in Appendix D.)

First, insurance premiums were divided so that a value equal to loss experiences (\$32,513,839) was separated from other revenues (\$35,289,995). This value equal to loss experiences was then prorated among the various sestors in accordance with their premium payments and directly charged into the transfer row. The transfer column in turn is shown as making the claim payments to the various sectors, construction (\$1,463,176), automobile dealers and gasoline service stations (\$1,104,696), retail trade n.e.c. (\$356,220), insurance and real estate (\$154,012), health medical care services (\$10,853,557), services n.e.c. (\$8,086,510), households (\$6,459,332), imports from Colorado other than the Northwestern region (\$496,546), and imports from the rest of the world (\$3,539,790).

The \$35,289,995 of other insurance revenues, were further divided to reflect the amount that stayed in the Northwestern Colorado economy (\$12,105,283)<sup>25</sup> and the amount that was a financial surplus (\$23,184,712) and hence left the region.<sup>26</sup> The \$12,105,283 was allocated among the various regional sectors and the \$23,184,712 was charged to the transfer row; the transfer column in turn charged the insurance financial surplus to the imports from Colorado other than the Northwestern region account.<sup>27</sup>

Second, as mentioned in Chapter I, <sup>28</sup> the state of Colorado and the Federal Government both generated revenues in Northwestern Colorado that exceeded the value of their expenditures in the region. These financial surpluses <sup>29</sup> are shown as outlays by the respective sectors to the transfer account row. The transfer column then shows the state of Colorado's financial surplus as an import from Colorado other than the Northwestern region; the Federal Government surplus is shown as an import from the rest of the world.

Third, transfer payments to households are handled through the transfer

account. 30 Taxes collected in the region are always shown as being paid to the respective government accounts, i.e., local and county tax accounts, state of Colorado, or Federal Government. Any inter-governmental transfer is shown as a sale by the recipient and a purchase by the grantor. 31 In turn, the account that grants the transfer payment(s) to the household sector is shown as making a purchase from the transfer account row in the amount of the transfer payment(s). 32 The transfer account column then makes the payment to the household account.

Fourth, financial capital finds its way into the Northwestern Colorado region by means other than local financial institutions. <sup>33</sup> When interest payments are made on this outside finance <sup>34</sup> the dollars involved leave the region; a lower bound estimate for this phenomenon was \$4,307,020. To account for this the total gross output of the regional financial institutions was increased by \$4,307,020 so that all interest payments in the region could be shown as being made to the finance sector. The finance sector then charged the transfer row with the amount of the increase and the transfer column charged the same to the imports from Colorado other than the Northwestern region account.

Fifth, payments (\$1,107,017) for a pension plan in the communications sector were charged against the transfer account row. The transfer account column in turn treated this money by charging the imports from Colorado other than the Northwestern region account.

Finally, the transfer account was used to close profits, interest, rents, and the like into the household sector. To accomplish this the transfer account column shows a \$243,746,090 credit at the intersection with the profit sector while the same amount is charged at the intersection with the household row.

As indicated earlier, 36 the State Home and the Veterans Administration

Hospital were placed with health and medical care in the endogenous portion of the model. The accounting employed to accomplish this was to have the health and medical care sector sell \$4,586,366 of its output to the state of Colorado and \$3,665,272 to the Federal Government. United States Bureau of Reclamation power activity, the Postal Service, Production Credit Associations, and Federal Land Bank Associations are the other accounts that were removed from the Federal Government sector. The Association were removed from the Colorado sector.

Where enterprise accounting was employed, the profit sector includes after tax profits, charges to reserves for bad debts, capital loss amortization, and outlays for rents and royalties. <sup>38</sup> Where government fund accounting was employed, the profit sector includes surplus of current revenues over current <sup>39</sup> expenditures, <sup>40</sup> the value of capital expenditures appropriated out of current revenues, contributions to bond indenture sinking funds out of current revenues, net charges out of current revenues to any other reserve fund (e.g., contingency funds), and rent payments. The profit sector is shown exporting \$100,683,163). Of this amount, \$84,544,359 represents the flow of dollars to support the oil shale research and development sector; the balance, \$16,138,804, represents the estimate of dividend income flowing into the region from the outside world.

The depreciation sector includes both depreciation and net inventory depletions. Inventory depletions are, relatively speaking, insignificant and are placed with depreciation charges. Similarly, the net inventory accumulation values were incorporated in the investment sector. 42

With the exception of the intersection of the household row and the  $transfer\ column^{43}$  and the household on household cell the household row represents wages and salaries paid subject to withholding. In the absence of an

adequate source for domestic employment earnings 644 employees 44 are assumed to be full time equivalents at \$2.50 an hour for 2,000 hours.

#### Questionnaire Design and Use

Previous experience with questionnaires employed to obtain primary information for interindustry models suggested that a questionnaire, as such, should not be used in the pursuit of the Northwestern Colorado research. The reason behind this is that no firm accounts for expenditure and revenue patterns on an SIC basis, the language ultimately employed in an interindustry model. Rather, a firm's books are designed around process or product activities. The use of a questionnaire, either by mail or by interview, presupposes adequate translation from a firm's accounting language into SIC codes. The typical entrepreneur or manager does not ordinarily work with SIC descriptions, a rather precise and technical language.

Accordingly, a determination was made to conduct all interviews in a basic accounting language tailored to the individual firms involved and for the researcher to make the translation to SIC classification. Thus, the questionnaire form which appears in Appendix E represents the format for the final translation by the researcher. A large majority of the primary data were originally collected in field notes that described the detail behind profit and loss statements for the firms interviewed.

Not all interviews could, however, be conducted as planned. It was found, for example, that some firms would have to refer for legal advice while others did not want to reveal information in the form desired. Even though it was established that the research should not solicit primary data through the mail, it was necessary to design a questionnaire for use both as an interview focal point and as an item that could be left with an interviewed

firm.

The questionnaire was designed to fit three sheets of paper. A cover sheet was used to briefly explain the nature of the research and to solicit information on the nature of the firm's product lines, the number of employees, water use, and level of capacity utilization. Outlay patterns, both of a cash flow and a non-cash flow nature, were the concern of the second sheet; information on sales distribution was solicited on the third. Both sales and outlay patterns were disaggregated by Northwestern Colorado interindustry study sector descriptions and regionalized according to (a) Northwestern Colorado, (b) Colorado other than the Northwestern region, and (c) activity outside Colorado. A question on water use was included to provide information on sector-by-sector water withdrawals. The level of production capacity utilization question was used to provide general background information.

# Selection of the Base Year

Other than a consumer price index for the Denver metropolitan area 45 there is no price index constructed specifically for Colorado. This effectively removes one criterion (relatively stable prices) from consideration when selecting a base year for Colorado economic studies. The 1974 base was selected for the following two reasons.

Interviewing for the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study commenced in February of 1975. Calendar 1974 was the most recently completed accounting cycle for most firms; it was anticipated that the information from this cycle would be, qualitatively speaking, foremost in the command of the interviewees. Also, activities of relatively new firms were automatically incorporated in the primary data base by soliciting what was then the most current information; this was rather critical for the food processing and electronics manufacturing

sectors.

# Conduct of the Survey

Interview schedules were arranged by telephone between three days and a week in advance. Every effort was made to gain an interview with the person who would have immediate authority to release information. The length of time spent on an individual interview varied from firm to firm: several were concluded in less than an hour; some took place over several days. The interviews for the Northwestern Colorado interindustry model were conducted over a fifteen month period.

#### Processing the Data

Information gathered on the outlay and sales patterns for any given enterprise was tabulated to conform to the sector delineations and regional descriptions as defined by the questionnaire form. Care was exercised at this step to assure a balance between outlays and sales. Any anomalies were checked and corrected before proceeding further.

The next step was to aggregate questionnaire forms within a sector and to expand the information to represent gross flows. An iterative process was used to accomplish this so that the relative composition of a given sector delineated for the Northwestern Colorado interindustry model would be more truly reflected. The final iteration produced gross flow patterns for the respective sectors delineated in the model. The gross flows identified in this manner provide the border totals for the initial transactions statement.

Reconciling discrepancies in any given transaction cell is to be expected; only if the research yielded perfect knowledge about outlays and sales would this be avoided. A discrepancy can emanate from one of several sources or a

combination thereof. The sales or purchases of one industry to or from another industry can be misrepresented, or the total gross output value for individual sectors can be in error. In the former case other rows and columns are affected by the error. In the latter, there is an aggregate distribution error in both outlays and sales for that sector. Each discrepancy is examined individually and reconciled on a case-by-case basis. Fortunately, in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry model, the sources of relatively large discrepancies were isolated and remedied through additional examination. Small discrepancies were reconciled by using imports from and exports to the world other than Colorado as residual accounts.

### NOTES

- Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mines, A Summary of Mineral Industry Activities in Colorado - 1974 (May 1974); and Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mines, Coal 1974 (April 1974); and Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mines, State Coal Mine Inspection, Monthly Report; and Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Oil and Gas Conservation Commission, Oil and Gas Statistics 1974 (1974); and Colorado-Ute Electric Association, Inc., Annual Report to the Colorado Public Utilities Commission - 1974; and Colorado School of Mines Mineral Industries Bulletin, March 1975; and U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, Subcommittee to Expedite Energy Development. Also, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Socio-economic Impacts of Natural Resource Development Committee, "A Listing of Proposed, Planned or Under Construction Energy Projects in Federal Region VIII" (a joint report prepared for the Committee on Energy and Environment of the Denver Federal Executive Board and the Mountain Plains Federal Regional Council, August 1975), (Xerox reproduction.); and U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration, Uranium Exploration Expenditures in 1974 and Plans for 1975-76 (Grand Junction, Colorado: U.S. Energy and Research Development Administration, April 1975).
- Colony Development Operation, Atlantic Richfield, Operator, An Environmental Impact Analysis for a Shale Oil Complex at Parachute Creek Colorado, 3 volumes and 17 appendices (Denver, Colorado: 1974); and Colorado-Ute Electric Association, Inc., Basalt-Aspen 115 KV Transmission Line: Applicant's Environemntal Analysis (Montrose, Colorado: June 1974); and U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Electrification Administration, Final Environmental Statement - Hayden Station Unit 2 (Washington, D.C.: January 1972); and U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Electrification Administration, Final Environmental Statement - 230 KV Transmission Tap Line to Steamboat Springs and Substation (Washington, D.C.: October 1973); and U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Electrification Administration, Final Environmental Statement - Yampa Project (Washington, D.C.: July 1974); and U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Draft Northwest Colorado Coal Environmental Statement, 4 volumes and 4 appendices (June 1976); and U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Final Environmental Impact Statement, Proposed Federal Coal Leasing Programs (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1975); and U. S. Department of the Interior, Office of the Secretary, Final Environmental Statement for the Prototype Oil Shale Leasing Program, 6 volumes (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1973); and VTN Colorado, Inc., Environmental Impact Assessment for the Proposed Colowyo Mine, Colowyo Coal Company (Denver, Colorado, for W. R. Grace and Co., December 1975). (Commercial reproduction.)
- 3. Environmental Impact Assessment Project of the Institute of Ecology, A Scientific and Policy Review of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Prototype Oil Shale Leasing Program of the Department of the Interior. Edited by Katherine Fletcher and Malcolm F. Baldwin (Washington, ronmental Impact Assessment Project, 1973). Researcher's

- 4. Colorado General Assembly, Final Report of the Committee on Oil Shale, Coal, and Related Minerals, Legislative Council Research Publication No. 208, often referred to as the Michael L. Strang Committee Report (December 1974); and Colorado Office of the Governor, Oil Shale Planning and Coordination, Impact: An Assessment of the Impact of Oil Shale Development Colorado Planning and Management Region 11, 5 volumes, often referred to as the Donald A. Rapp Report (December 1974); and U. S. Department of the Interior, Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel, First Annual Report (Denver, Colorado: U. S. Department of the Interior, June 1975).
- See Technical Report for a solution to the interindustry equations.
- 6. The projections are consistent but the underlying assumption in the model of fixed production coefficients qualify the results unless some dynamic adjustment of technology is explicitly involved.
- 7. 1974 estimated population 151,600: Colorado State Planning Office.
- 8. Somerset is just within the western Gunnison County boundary and is on State Highway 133. Highway 133 cuts through the northwest corner of Gunnison County and provides a link between Pitkin and Delta Counties. Under only very limited conditions is it possible to access any other part of Gunnison County from Somerset. Coal production in this region has its immediate impacts on Somerset itself and Paonia and Delta in Delta County. See Jean Bailey, et al., Local Citizens' Participation in Coal Development: A Descriptive Process in the North Fork Valley (Denver, Colorado: Foundation for Urban and Neighborhood Development, March 1975).
- 9. 1974 estimated population 59,000: Colorado State Planning Office.
- 10. Uranium was bringing approximately \$8.00 a pound in 1974; by mid-year 1976, the Nuclear Exchange price was approaching \$40.00. Also, in 1975 General Electric announced plans for a buying station at Naturita. Whether General Electric mills the ore in the region or elsewhere remains to be resolved.
- 11. This is especially true when one examines the economic base of western Utah and observes that manufacturing, industrial services, and so forth are virtually non-existent. Actually, something is gained by excluding the Utah lands; an analyst can use the model within the framework of Colorado land use laws, water law, tax law, and other such institutional parameters.
- 12. Preliminary investigation demonstrated that as many hours would have to be spent in the Denver area collecting information (corporate and government offices) as was spent in Northwesterr Colorado. Analogously, to collect detailed information about eastern Utah would have necessitated a considerable time expenditure in Salt Lake City; the opportunity cost of doing this was judged to be too great.
- 13. Evaluation of the secondary data sources is the topic of Appendix C.

- 14. Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, Standard Industrial Classification Manual 1972 (Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1972).
- All manufacturing sectors: University of Colorado, Bureau of Business Research, Directory of Colorado Manufacturers, 1974. Mining: Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mines, A Summary of Minerals, Industry Activities in Colorado, 1974, also Coal, 1974. Petroleum and natural gas extraction: Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Oil and Gas Conservation Commission, Oil and Gas Statistics, 1974. Oil Reporter, Rocky Mountain Petroleum Directory, 1974. Transportation and public utilities: Reports on file with Colorado Public Utilities Commission and Colorado State Auditor. Private and non-profit public institutional health medical care services: U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social Security Administration, Directory -Medicare Providers and Suppliers of Services (Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974). Primary and secondary wood products, wholesale lumber dealers, and planing mills: Colorado State University, Colorado State Forest Service, Colorado Forest Products Directory - 1973. General and otherwise: Yellow Pages of various phone directories. Newspaper clippings file.
- 16. Information obtained from the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment cannot be published unless there are at least three firms in a given sector and no two firms account for more than 80 percent of the total employment. Ethical considerations also dictate that the operations of any single enterprise can never be divulged.
- 17. Colorado Department of Agriculture, Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, Colorado Agricultural Statistics 1976, p. 3.
- 18. For a comment on this see Appendix C, p. 12.
- 19. Three two-digit SIC levels are involved, 15, 16, and 17. Respectively these encompass building construction-general construction other than building-general contractors, and special trade contractors.
- 20. Robert Morris Associates, Annual Statement Studies 1974 (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1974).
- 21. Eating and drinking establishments, a retail trade activity, were combined with lodging facilities, a service sector, because of their complementary nature from the standpoint of a traveler. Admittedly, when the decision was made to do this no thought was given to the coefficients that would result when the trade portion of the sector was margined and the service sector was not.
- 22. Though the State Home and the Veterans Administration Hospital are in the grants, as opposed to the market, economy they are both treated here in an endogenous sector.

- 23. Thus the entries in the row for the local and county government tax sector show the amounts of local sales and property taxes paid by each respective sector in the Northwestern Colorado economy. In turn, the entries in the local and county government tax column show the relative distribution of local sales and property taxes for health, education, social services, roads and bridges operation and maintenance, other general government activities, and otherwise unallocated bond indenture sinking funds.
- 24. Thus the \$32,513,839 loss experience is not part of the total gross output of the insurance and real estate sector.
- 25. Basically commissions and administrative and promotional expenses.
- 26. Financial surplus is defined here analogous to the definition employed when describing the surplus of Colorado and Federal Government revenues generated in the region over and above the level of expenditures made. Essentially what occurs when a premium payment is made to an insurance company (with the exception of a health maintenance organization in Mesa County there are no insurance companies in Northwestern Colorado), part of the premium is effectively returned to the region via commissions and claim payments; the remaining part of the premium dollar effectively leaves Northwestern Colorado and is used to operate district offices, add to reserves, and other such things. When dollars leave Northwestern Colorado, the interindustry model treats such dollars as an import, be it an import from Colorado other than the Northwestern region or an import from the rest of the world.
- 27. Granted, a certain portion of this balance left Colorado but because of the nature of accounting requirements imposed by the Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies, Division of Insurance, the dollars in the insurance financial surplus were treated as flowing to Colorado other than the Northwestern region.
- 28. Page 2.
- 29. \$34,717,632 for the State of Colorado and \$71,739,542 for the Federal Government.
- 30. At the county level these transfer payments are monies distributed by the various departments of social services. The state of Colorado transfer payments are confined largely to unemployment compensation insurance claims and distribution of funds from the Public Employees Retirement Association account. Federal Government transfer payments include bonus payments under the food stamp program, direct payments to households under the social security program, such as disability, retirement, and survivor benefits, railroad retirement benefits, black lung benefits, veterans and military pensions, federal employee retirement benefits, medicare payments, and payments to farm operators under the agricultural stabilization and conservation program and the sugar program.

- 31. For example, the State of Colorado gives the respective county departments of social services certain monies to use for both administrative and grant purposes. Thus the social services account is shown as selling \$6,334,849 worth of its services to the State of Colorado.
- 32. Respectively for the accounts social services, State of Colorado, and Federal Government these charges are \$6,302,427, \$6,213,372, and \$75,158,074.
- 33. An example would be the sale of bonds in an open market by a school district.
- 34. With the exception of finance by the Federal Government; see page 15, footnote 37.
- 35. Ordinarily, ethical considerations would preclude isolating the outlay of a single firm in this manner. In this instance, the dollars were estimated by using information in the firm's annual report to the Colorado Public Utilities Commission. Because the report is open for public inspection, and because the dollars were estimated, it is not felt that any privilege of information principle has been violated.
- 36. Page 11, footnote 22.
- 37. Payments to the Federal Government by the electricity and natural gas sector appear abnormally high because of this. Instead of showing interest paid by the Bureau (\$3,026,332) as going to the finance sector it was shown as being paid to the Federal Government. Likewise, repayment by the Bureau (\$1,544,961) was not charged to the profit sector but rather went to the Federal Government. Other interest payments by the electricity and natural gas sector to the Federal Government were estimated to be \$472,391 and were handled in the same way as Bureau of Reclamation interest payments. Also, to avoid showing a credit balance in the profit row cell for the Postal Service, the postal sector is shown selling its \$2,343,250 loss to the Federal Government. Note that this causes the total gross output of the postal sector to be defined in terms of an expense level instead of postal receipts.
- 38. Except in the case where rents (e.g., agricultural land leases) and royalties (e.g., oil and gas) were paid to the Colorado and Federal Governments. In these instances the amounts are shown as being paid directly to the respective governments.
- 39. Current in the sense that it occurred in 1974.
- 40. An exception to this is in the Colorado and Federal Government sectors; see the explanation of the transfer sector, Appendix D.
- 41. Insignificant with the exception of the livestock sector which had an estimated net depletion of \$9,667,518 in 1974. When the livestock normalized model was arranged this net depletion value was assumed to be zero.
- 42. A schedule of the values of net inventory depletions and accumulations appears in Appendix D.

- 43. See explanation of the transfer account Appendix D.
- 44. U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, <u>Census of Population</u>, 1970: <u>General Social and Economic Characteristics</u>, <u>Final Report</u>, <u>Colorado</u>, <u>PC(1)-C7</u>, <u>Washington</u>, D.C.: <u>Government Printing Office</u>, <u>Table 123</u>.
- 45. Denver Metropolitan Area Consumer Price Index, Denver, Colorado: University of Denver, College of Business Administration, Quarterly.
- 46. For example: There were three two-digit SIC classifications incorporated in the sector delineation for construction. Accordingly the questionnaire forms were first aggregated on the basis of the two-digit categories. Regional payroll data from the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment was then aggregated on the same basis. The payroll values on the aggregated questionnaire forms represented a given proportion of the regional payroll in each respective SIC classification; based on this ratio the information on the aggregated two-digit level questionnaire sheets was blown up to represent the total pattern for the two-digit delineation. Subsequently the computed totals at the two-digit level were aggregated to represent the construction sector in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry model.
- 47. The gross flow patterns were arrived at in either one of two ways. First there was a method that used payroll data (described in the preceeding footnote) when an adequate total gross output value had not been identified. The second method distributed gross flows within the bounds of a total gross output value based on the relative allocation of the flows identified on initially aggregated questionnaire forms.

#### CHAPTER 3

#### ANALYSIS OF THE NORTHWESTERN COLORADO ECONOMY

#### INTRODUCTION

The results of the descriptive analysis of the Northwestern Colorado economy are presented in this chapter. It should be noted at the outset that the results presented here are obtained from the model incorporating a normalized livestock sector. This sector, in 1974, suffered severe financial losses and, as a result, is not representative of the norm for livestock activity in the region. To avoid imposing this distortion on the analysis, we have normalized the sector.

The discussion contained in the chapter includes: the description of the economy; an analysis of the nature and magnitude of economic interdependence among processing sectors; the various business activity and income multipliers; and an analysis of employment in the region.

The description and analysis of the economy hinges on three major components of the interindustry model. These are: the gross flows or transactions table; the table of direct production requirements; and the table of direct plus indirect production requirements. These tables are discussed and interpreted in turn. Because of the size of the tables, they are presented in Appendix B, Tables B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-4.

#### The Transactions Table

The first essential component of any interindustry study is the collection and tabulation of data which serve to describe the flows of commodities from each supplying sector to each purchasing sector. These flows are typically expressed in terms of the dollar value of transactions occurring in a specific period of time, normally one year. The information is arrayed in tabular form

with the suppliers (selling sectors) listed at the left of the table and the purchasing sectors listed at the top. The information in this table, termed the transactions table, does two things simultaneously: it identifies the estimated dollar value of sales by each sector to each of the other sectors, (thus, the distribution of each sector's output) and it identifies the purchases of ingredients of production by each sector from each of the other sectors (the distribution of purchases). In essence, the material contained in the transactions table represents a double-entry system of bookkeeping in which every sale is simultaneously described as a purchase. Thus, the system deliberately double counts. The transactions table for the Northwestern Economy is found in Appendix B, Table B-1. A description of the sector identification labels used throughout Appendix B and in the tables of this chapter is presented in Appendix A.

The rows and columns of Table B-1 which are numbered 1-32 identify the processing, or intermediate demand, sectors. Row and column 33 represent subtotals of activities within the processing sector. This portion of the table describes, in dollar terms, the flow of goods and services necessary to satisfy intermediate demands. Final demands, i.e., demands for goods and services that will not be further processed within the region, are identified in columns 34-38, 40-43, 45 and 46. Rows 34-38, 40-43, 45 and 46 identify the final payments sector. Final payments include, then, taxes, wages, profits, rents, losses, net inventory depletions, and payments for goods and services imported from outside the region. The rows and columns numbered 39 and 44 (the local and county government tax account and the transfer account) are accounting devices as described in Chapter 2. The last row and column of Table B-1 contain, respectively, total outlay (purchases) and total output (sales) for each sector of the regional economy.

The distribution of total output of each sector, according to the sectors in which the output is sold, may be readily discerned by reading across the rows of Table B-1. The bill of purchases by each sector is found by reading down any column of the table. These column entries show the allocation of purchases by cost component.

For example, consider sector 2, irrigated agriculture. Reading across row 2 of Table B-1 shows that the total output of irrigated agriculture was distributed in the following way: \$9,717 worth of output was sold to dryland agriculture; \$2,270,025 to dairy agriculture; \$6,852,437 to livestock, \$42,537 to agricultural services; and \$4,389,517 to food and kindred products. Total sales by irrigated agriculture to the processing sector of the economy thus amounted to \$13,564,233. The remaining sales were to the final demand sectors consisting of households, \$201,913; net inventory accumulation, \$2,708,952; exports to Colorado, \$3,375,468; and exports to the rest of the world, \$6,514,141.

\*Total sales to final demand thus amounted to \$12,800,474. The total gross output of the irrigated agriculture sector is the sum of these individual sales or \$26,364,707.

The distribution of purchases by irrigated agriculture, by cost category, are shown in column 2 of Table B-1. Purchases by irrigated agriculture from livestock were estimated at \$213,337; from the agricultural services sector, \$1,268,896; from repair and maintenance construction, \$55,000; from printing and publishing, \$3,522; from fabricated matals, \$38,314; from other manufacturers, \$157,614; from transportation, \$154,674; from U. S. Postal Service, \$7,547; from communication, \$60,126; from electricity and natural gas utilities, \$255,318; from water, sewer, and trash removal services, \$716,738; from gasoline and automobile dealers, \$1,644,542; from other trade, \$27,314; from finance, \$1,732,762; from insurance and real estate, \$403,744; from services

not elsewhere classified, \$686,064. The total purchases from the processing sector are thus estimated at \$7,565,886 for 1974. Final payments made by irrigated agriculture were estimated at \$18,798,821. These payments were distributed as follows: wages subject to withholding, \$1,687,441; local and county tax accounts, \$892,498; taxes and charges of the State of Colorado, \$154,540; taxes and charges of the Federal Government, \$72,342; profits, including imputed wages for farm operators and their families, \$10,320,212; depreciation, \$1,821,805; insurance loss pool (transfer account), \$145,512; imports from Colorado, \$721,163; imports from the rest of the world, \$2,983,308. Total purchases thus amount to \$26,364,707 and, as required by the accounting format, equal the value of output.

Other information can be obtained directly from the transactions table. The household row, with the exception of the sale by households to the transfer account represents wages paid subject to withholding. This row shows household income. The leading contributors to household income are: construction, with payments to labor of \$43.8 million; education, \$34.8 million, trade not elsewhere classified, \$34.5 million; services not elsewhere classified, \$26.8 million; and health services, \$23.1 million. Similarly, sector by sector contributions to taxes may be directly obtained from Table B-1. Consider row 39. The five sectors showing the greatest dollar outlay for local and county taxes are: households, \$16.7 million; trade not elsewhere classified, \$5.5 million; petroleum and gas extraction, \$3.8 million; livestock, \$3.1 million; and exports to the rest of the world, \$3.0 million.

Estimates of gross regional income and gross regional product may be obtained from the final payments and final demands portion of the table. Gross regional product is defined as the sum of deliveries to final demand, net of imports. (In the Northwestern Colorado model, however, local and county gov-

ernment tax account monies distributed to final demand and the transfer account values are excluded to avoid double counting.) Gross regional product for Northwestern Colorado for 1974 is estimated at \$1.47 billion. Gross regional income (which must equal gross regional product) is computed as the sum of final payments less imports. Again, the local and county tax account and the transfer account must be excluded to avoid double counting.

While these items, obtained directly from the transactions table, are useful as initial indicators of the relative importance of each sector in the regional economy, the important question of interdependence is not addressed. In order to do so, it is first necessary to isolate the direct production relationships existing in the economy.

#### Direct Production Requirements

The direct production requirements, or coefficients, represent the second major component of the interindustry analysis. These direct requirements are presented in Appendix B, Table B-2. Computation of the direct production requirements is quite simple, given the transactions table and requires only that each column entry of Table B-1 be divided by the respective column total. The resulting coefficients describe the direct purchases necessary from each supplier (at the left of the table) in order for the purchasing sector (at the head of the column) to produce one dollar's worth of output. The coefficients, then, are interpreted as the direct requirements per dollar of output produced by each sector.

As an example consider the surface coal mining sector, sector 9 (column 9 of Table B-2). For every dollar's worth of output produced by surface coal mines in the region, \$.0903 worth of inputs are required from the surface coal

mining and related services sector; \$.0115 from construction; \$.00005 from printing and publishing; \$.0118 from fabricated metals; \$.0011 from manufacturers not elsewhere classified and so on down the column. It is obvious from the table that far and away the largest direct purchases made by the surface coal mining sector are those for labor services, with a direct outlay of nearly 16 cents per each dollar of output produced, and imports from outside the region, with a coefficient of .4155 for all imports. This says that a dollars worth of production in surface coal requires imports valued at 42 cents. Each column of Table 8-2 is interpreted in this manner.

These direct impacts identify only a portion of the total economic impacts that would accompany a change in final demands for the output of a given sector. There are additional, or indirect, impacts which can be quite important. Assessment of all direct and indirect impacts of these exogenous (final demand) changes is made possible through the third analytical component of interindustry analysis. This component is the table of direct plus indirect production requirements.

#### Direct Plus Indirect Impacts

The concept of interdependence can be fairly easily established with a brief example. Suppose that the export demand for coal production increases. There will be immediate, or direct, responses of the following type. Coal production will have to increase. In order for coal production to increase, inputs must be obtained from sectors such as transportation, utilities for power, and labor. These are direct impacts. As transportation and utilities increase their output to meet the increasing requirements in the coal sector, their own requirements for productive ingredients increase, e.g., services, labor, petroleum and natural gas, and coal. The chain of events goes on. The

total impacts are readily estimated through the input-output framework and are presented in Table B-3 of Appendix B.

Before proceeding to a discussion of the table, a few comments regarding the treatment of households are in order. Households may be treated as either a part of the processing sector of the economy or as a part of the final demand component. In the first instance, households are treated in precisely the same manner as any other production sector. The estimate of the direct and indirect production impacts of a change in final demand include the induced production impacts which derive from increased household incomes and increased consumption. In the latter, with households a component in final demand, the <u>induced</u> impacts of successive rounds of consumer spending are omitted. For purposes of this report, the discussion of economic interdependencies and the subsequent business and income multiplier analysis is based upon the model which includes households both as a member of the processing sector of the economy and as a final demand sector.

The direct plus indirect coefficients presented in Table B-3 are interpreted as the production required or generated in all sectors of the economy in order to sustain the delivery of one dollar's worth of output to final demand by any single sector. It should be carefully noted that these coefficients reflect production generated per dollar of final demand as opposed to requirements per dollar of output. This, of course, reflects the fact that the model is driven by changes in final demand.

For purposes of interpretation, consider the surface coal mining sector of Table B-3. Suppose that the export demand for surface mined coal increases by one million dollars. What is the estimated impact that this increase will have on the entire Northwestern Colorado economy? The answer to this question may be obtained directly by reading down column nine of that able and summing the individual sector impacts. Thus, the increase of \$1,000,000 in the final

demand for surface mined coal generates a total direct plus indirect production valued at \$600 in irrigated agriculture (\$1,000,000 X .0006); \$400 in dairy agriculture; \$200 in livestock; \$200 in agricultural services; \$900 in underground coal mining; \$1.1 million in surface mined coal; and so on down the column. Any column of this table is interpreted in this same manner. The sum of the entries in column 9 show the total production generated locally as a result of the increase in fixed demands for surface mined coal. Thus, the total business activity generated per dollar increase in final demand for strip mined coal is \$1.604 or, in our example assuming a one million dollar increase. \$1.6 million worth of business activity results. These column sums are one of the various multipliers concepts which are derived from input-output analysis.

#### Business Multipliers

The column sums of the direct plus indirect requirements table are termed business activity (or production) multipliers. They identify the total value of production in the region which results from a dollar's worth of output delivered to final demand. Table 3-1 presents the business multipliers. These estimates indicate that the greatest business activity generated per dollar of delivery to final demand is in the U. S. Postal Service. The business multiplier for this sector is 2.436 which indicates that, as the final demand for Postal Services increases by one dollar a total production of \$2.44 is generated in the Northwestern Colorado economy. Other sectors of the economy which have relatively large business multipliers are: construction, 2.319; electricity and natural gas utilities, 2.231; fruit agriculture, 2.159; food processing. 2.135; livestock, 2.118; gasoline service stations and automobile dealers, 2.096; water, sewage, and trash removal services, 2.067; and dairy agriculture, 2.031. These sectors show the greatest degree of interdependence with other

1

TABLE 3-1

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY STUDY BUSINESS
ACTIVITY MULTIPLIERS, BY SECTOR, 1974

(in dollars of business activity generated in Northwestern Colorado per dollar delivered to final demand)

	Sector	Business Multiplier I	Business Multiplier II
1.	FRUIT AG	1.657	2.159
2.	IRRIG AG	1.351	1.589
3.	DRYLAND AG	1.450	1.660
4.	DAIRY AG	1.778	2.031
5.	LIVESTOCK	1.801	2.118
6.	AG SER, FOR	1.116	1.467
7.	METAL MIN	1.341	1.889
8.	COAL UNDER	1.322	1.953
9.	COAL STRIP	1.240	1.604
10.	PET-NAT GS	1.207	1.341
11.	NONMET MIN	1.362	1.604
12	CONSTRUCTN	1.688	2.319
13.	FOOD PROC	1.695	2.135
14	WOOD PROD	1.376	1.894
15.	PRINT-PUB	1.237	1.959
10	CONCRETE	1.174	1.573
17	FAS METALS	1.200	1.869
lis	ELECTRONIC	1.081	1.766
19	MEG NEC	1.116	1.375
20	FRANSPORT	1.077	1.614
31	FOSTAL SER	1.050	2.436
2	OMMUNICAT	1.144	1.783
3	FLEC-65 UT	1.681	2.231
.14.	MATER-SAN	1.457	2.067
25.	WHOLESALÜ	1.225	1.712
2£.	45-AUT BUR	1.257	2.096
27.	EAT-DR-LOD	1.279	1.922
28.	TRADE NEC	1.246	1.907
• [	FINANCE	1.079	1.351
3).		1.271	1.670
<i>3</i> :	MEDICAL	1.132	1.825
3.	SERVIC NEC	1.168	1.735

sectors of the regional economy. At the margin, these sectors generate the greatest business activity per dollar of output delivered to final demand. The phrase, "at the margin," is important as a qualification in the use of these multipliers. It implies a word of caution concerning the implications of the multipliers. The Postal Service in 1974 had total final demand deliveries of \$5,419,584. Thus a 10 percent increase in final demand, i.e., an increase of \$541,958, would result in a total business activity of \$1,320,210 in the regional economy. This same 10 percent increase in the final demand for the output of the livestock sector, an increase of \$6,209,730 yields a total business activity of \$13,152,208 in the regional economy. is, of course, because of the absolute magnitude of final demands for the livestock sector's output. In using the business multipliers, the argument thus should be stated in terms of the impacts of an equal increase in final demands. That is, for an equal increase (in dollar terms) in final demands, the Postal Service will generate more business activity in the local economy than will any other sector. The first column of Table 3-1 are the business multipliers with households in final demand.

#### Income Multipliers

Other multiplier effects can also be estimated from the interindustry model. For example, there are income multipliers which relate to changes in income paid to the household sector. The following discussion presents what are termed the Type I and Type II income multipliers.

The Type I and Type II income multipliers are estimated as ratios: Type I is the ratio of direct plus indirect income to the direct income paid households; Type II is the ratio of direct plus indirect plus induced income to direct income. Thus, while the business activity multipliers are related to changes in sales to final demand, the income multipliers are related to changes

TABLE 3-2

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY STUDY
TYPE I AND TYPE II INCOME MULTIPLIERS, BY SECTOR, 1974

(in dollars of income generated in Northwestern Colorado per dollar of direct income paid to households)

	Income M	ultipliers
Sector	Туре І	Туре ІІ
1. FRUIT AG	1.834	2.141
2. IRRIG AG	2.098	2.449
3. DRYLAND AG	3.423	3.996
4. DAIRY AG	3.121	3.644
5. LIVESTOCK	2.501	2.921
6. AG SER, FOR	1.151	1.344
7. METAL MIN	1.345	1.570
8. COAL UNDER	1.302	1.520
9. COAL STRIP	1.305	1.523
10. PET-NAT GS	1.718	2.006
11. NONMET MIN	2.030	2.371
12. CONSTRUCTN	1.690	1.974
13. FOOD PROC	1.467	1.713
14. WOOD PROD	1.368	1.598
15. PRINT-PUB	1.173	1.370
16. CONCRETE	1.184	1.383
17. FAB METALS	1.139	1.329
18. ELECTRONIC	1.074	1.254
19. MFG NEC	1.230	1.437
20. TRANSPORT	1.072	1.251
21. POSTAL SER	1.016	1.187
22. COMMUNICAT	1.076	1.257
23. ELEC-GS UT	1.503	1.755
24. WATER-SAN	1.336	1.560
25. WHOLESALE	1.256	1.466
26. GS-AUT DLR	1.158	1.352
27. EAT-DR-LOD	1.202	1.403
28. TRADE NEC	1.202	1.403
29. FINANCE	1.175	1.372
30. INS-R EST	1.403	1.638
31. MEDICAL	1.106	1.291
32. SERVIC NEC	. 1.148	1.340

in income paid to the household sector. The Type I multiplier describes the direct plus indirect income increases emanating from an additional dollar of direct income paid to households. The Type II multiplier takes into account not only the direct plus indirect changes in income, but also the induced income increases generated by additional consumer spending. Accordingly, the Type II income multiplier identifies the direct plus indirect plus induced income generated by an additional dollar of income paid directly to households.

Attention is drawn to the comparatively higher income multiplier values estimated for the agricultural, livestock, and nonmetalic mining sectors. The reason for these relatively high values is straightforward. The Northwestern Colorado interindustry study allocated proprietorship and partnership net incomes to the profit account. As a result, labor inputs (household account) for agriculture, livestock, and nonmetalic mining are somewhat understated because these sectors are characterized by a relatively high incidence of proprietorship and partnership enterprises with relatively little hired help. By understating the value (contribution) of labor inputs for these sectors, the value (contribution) of other inputs, relative to labor, became larger. And with direct income being the denominator of the Type I and Type II income multiplier ratios, the respective multiplier estimates for these sectors are of the relatively high magnitude observed. By contrast, the relatively high multiplier values for petroleum and natural gas extraction, construction, and electricity and natural gas utilities exist because these sectors exhibit greater interdependence in the Northwestern Colorado economy.

#### Employment Analysis

Direct employment requirements as is the case with direct business activity and direct income payments, are, by themselves, of limited use for assessing the impacts of various changes in economic activity in Northwestern Colorado. This limitation arises because direct requirements differ from total requirements, the difference being indirect requirements that emanate from sectoral interdependence. The interindustry model provides a framework within which both direct and indirect employment requirements can be addressed. Basic to the analysis are data on employment levels in the respective sectors and the table of direct plus indirect requirements per dollar of output delivered to final demand.

Before proceeding with the analysis some discussion on the table of direct and indirect requirements per dollar of delivery to final demand is warranted. When the household sector is included as a processing sector in the interindustry model it becomes simply another producer. To treat households in this manner is consistent within the interindustry framework, but it imposes a rather critical assumption on household purchase patterns. Specifically, household purchases are expressed as a linear function of income; the marginal and the average propensities to consume are assumed to be one and the same. To change this limiting assumption the household sector has to be treated as a part of final demand.

Treating the household sector in this manner removes the assumption that household purchases are a linear function of income. Specifically, because the interindustry model is a final demand driven model, treating the household sector as any other producing sector implies the level of employment was dependent only on the level of government expenditures, investment expenditures, and exports. By treating households exogenously this assumption is expanded to

Table B-4 presents direct and indirect requirements per dollar of delivery to final demand, households exogenous, which are used in the employment analysis for Northwestern Colorado. The estimated employment levels and corresponding employment coefficients (expressed as the number of employees per dollar of total gross output) used in the analysis are presented in Table 3-3.

To assess the total employment impacts of exogenous changes in final demand the respective tables of direct and indirect requirements per dollar of delivery to final demand, households exogenous, was pre-multiplied by a diagonal matrix of direct labor use requirements (where the elements of the diagonal were the employment coefficients shown in Table 3-3). Summing down the respective columns of the resulting matrix yielded the estimates of the direct and indirect labor requirements per dollar delivered to final demand. Table 3-4 presents the estimates.

The interpretation of the entries in Table 3-4 is demonstrated by an example from the surface coal mining sector. As the final demand for the output of the surface mining of coal expands by \$1, there will be a direct expansion of employment in that sector as well as those sectors responsible for supplying production ingredients to the surface mining of coal sector. The sectors supplying ingredients to the surface mining of coal sector will in turn require production ingredients from others and this will further expand indirect employment impacts; and so forth. The magnitude of the direct and indirect employment impacts, \$.000014, shows the total employment generated in the entire Northwestern Colorado economy as this single sector, surface mining of coal, increases, by \$1, its deliveries to final demand. That is to

TABLE 3-3

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY STUDY
TOTAL EMPLOYMENT AND EMPLOYMENT COEFFICIENTS, BY SECTOR, 1974

(in number of workers in Northwestern Colorado and workers per dollar of output)

Sector	Total Employment	Workers per Dollar Total Output
1. FRUIT AG 2. IRRIG AG 3. DRYLAND AG 4. DAIRY AG 5. LIVESTOCK	5,388	.000042 normalized .000053 loss
6. AG SER, FOR 7. METAL MIN - 8. COAL UNDER 9. COAL STRIP	296 839 815 210	.000037 .000020 .000019 .000010
10. PET-NAT GS 11. NONMET MIN 12. CONSTRUCTN 13. FOOD PROC	724 35 3,992 886	.000010 .000004 .000006 .000019 .000025
14. WOOD PROD 15. PRINT-PUB 16. CONCRETE 17. FAB METALS	410 306 289 235	.000031 .000050 .000022 .000035
18. ELECTRONIC 19. MFG NEC 20. TRANSPORT 21. POSTAL SER 22. COMMUNICAT	1,386 377 1,270 362 936	.000062 .000015 .000022 .000051 .000034
23. ELEC-GS UT 24. WATER-SAN 25. WHOLESALE 26. GS-AUT DLR	1,009 355 1,307 1,799	.000034 .000016 .000031 .000026 .000056
27. EAT-DR-LOD 28. TRADE NEC 29. FINANCE 30. INS-R EST 31. MEDICAL	6,311 5,788 1,060 1,346	.000090 .000052 .000016 .000023
32. SERVIC NEC 33. HOUSEHOLDS 34. EDUCATION 35. SOCIAL SER	3,622 3,771 644 4,283 116	.000055 .000039 . NA NA NA
36. LOCAL RD 37. LOCAL GV NEC 38. STATE GV 39. FEDERAL GV	747 1,236 989 734	NA NA NA
40. SHALE R+D 41. EXP-COLO TOTAL	262 143 54,318	NA NA

TABLE 3-4

## NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY STUDY DIRECT PLUS INDIRECT LABOR REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND, BY SECTOR, 1974

(in workers per dollar)

	Sector	Direct Plus Indirect Labor Requirement Per Dollar of Final Demand
1.	FRUIT AG	.000061
2.	IRRIG AG	.000051
3.	DRYLAND AG	.000053
4.	DAIRY AG	.000069
5.	LIVESTOCK	.00068
6.	AG SER, FOR	.000041
7.	METAL MIN	<b>.000</b> 28
8.	COAL UNDER	.000026
9.	COAL STRIP	.000014
10.	PET-NAT GS	.000007
11.	NONMET MIN	.000015
12.	CONSTRUCTN	.000034
	FOOD PROC	.00050
14.	WOOD PROD	.000042
15.	PRINT-PUB	.000057
16.	CONCRETE	.000027
17.	FAB METALS	.000039
18.	ELECTRONIC	.000065
19.	MFG NEC	.000018
20.	TRANSPORT	.000024
21.	POSTAL SER	.000053
22.	COMMUNICAT	.000037
23.	ELEC-GS UT	.000025
24.	WATER-SAN WHOLESALE	.000042 .000032
25.		.000032
26. 27.	GS-AUT DLR EAT-DR-LOD	.000097
27.	TRADE NEC	.000059
28. 29.	FINANCE	.00018
29. 30.	INS-R EST	.000018
30. 31.	MEDICAL	.000037
32.	SERVIC NEC	.00004

say that an increase of \$1 million dollars in the final demands, e.g., exports to the Front Range or out of state, for surface mined coal would result in an estimated additional employment of 14 persons in Northwestern Colorado. All remaining entries in Table 3-4 have analogous interpretations for their respective sectors. Thus, the leading sectors in terms of direct and indirect employment generation in the Northwestern Colorado economy are eating and drinking establishments and lodging facilities, dairy agriculture, livestock production, electronics, fruit agriculture, gasoline service stations and automobile dealers, and health and medical care facilities.

This concludes the basic interindustry analysis of the Northwestern Colorado region. The following chapter describes certain extensions of the model to forecasting and natural resource requirements.

ļ

#### CHAPTER 4

# EXTENSIONS OF THE BASIC ANALYSIS: REGIONAL WATER REQUIREMENTS AND ANALYSIS OF A GROWTH SCENARIO

#### Introduction

The previous chapter presented what may be appropriately called the results of traditional applications of the Leontief interindustry model. In addition to the descriptive analysis and the attendant development of various multipliers, application of the model has been extended to other questions. The I-O technique, because of the detailed analysis of interdependence among economic sectors, is readily adaptable to an examination of, for example, natural resource use associated with economic activity in the region. It is also acknowledged as a reasonable aid in the forecasting process and, given projections of changes in final demands, provides consistent estimates of associated employment, income, and output. It is our intent in this chapter to demonstrate the usefulness of the technique in both regards.

We proceed, first, with an examination of water withdrawal and consumptive use in the regional economy. Subsequent to the water use analysis, we demonstrate the use of the tool as a forecasting aid by examining the impacts of changes in the final demand for coal output, both surface and underground. Both of these extensions may be readily expanded to include other resource impacts, e.g., water and air quality impacts and land use, and growth in other sectoral final demands, providing adequate data are available.

#### Water Use Analysis

The water use analysis requires data pertaining to water withdrawals and consumptive use on a sector by sector basis. It is further required that these data be related to economic activity on a per dollar sales basis. These data, particularly for consumptive use, are difficult to obtain on a sector-by-sector basis and for a rather small regional economy. The problem for northwestern Colorado is narrowed somewhat simply because the most intensive water use is found in the agricultural sectors. Nonagricultural water use is thus quite small in relation to water use in agriculture.

Water withdrawal and consumption data for irrigated agriculture and fruit production were computed from several sources. The USDA-SCS, Special Projects Division, Denver, Colorado, has published Annual Irrigation Water Use Coefficients, 1975 National Water Assessment. This report provides, for each state, a subregional breakdown of gross diversion requirements and net depletions by crop. These estimates are in terms of acre-feet per acre of irrigated crop. We have used these requirements per acre and the estimated 1974 irrigated acreage for each crop as stated in the 1976 Colorado Agricultural Statistics in order to obtain the total estimated withdrawal and consumptive use of water in irrigated agriculture. The totals were then divided by the estimated total value of output in order to obtain water use per dollar of output.

Water use in the manufacturing sectors was estimated from the four digit SIC water use data presented in the <u>U.S. Census of Manufacturers</u>, <u>Water Use in Manufacturing</u>, <u>1972</u>. These data were weighted by employment share in the four digit SIC listings for the regional economy and then converted to withdrawal and consumptive use requirements per dollar of output in each sector. Water use in the mining sectors was estimated from personal interview and questionnaire responses.

Table 4-1 presents the withdrawal and consumptive use coefficients per dollar of output for each of the processing sectors of the regional economy. These estimates may vary depending upon sources of information, particularly within certain manufacturing sectors. However, alternative water use estimates may be employed quickly and inexpensively within the analytical framework and thus disagreement with the coefficients does not pose a serious shortcoming of the analysis. In addition, water use in irrigated agriculture and related sectors constitutes far and away the largest pressure on the region's water supply. The detailed estimates of diversion and consumption per crop and per irrigated acre appear to be as accurate as any estimates currently available. Thus, discrepancies in the minor water using sectors will have very little impact on aggregate water use in the region. Table 4-2 presents the estimated withdrawals and consumptive use for each of the processing sectors of the regional economy in acre-feet. The combined agricultural sectors account for some 95 percent of total withdrawals and 98 percent of consumptive use in the region. For the region as a whole, consumptive use represents 38 percent of total withdrawals.

It should be noted that the estimates presented in Tables 4-1 and 4-2 do not include water use in the final demand/final payments sectors. In order to assess total water use it is necessary to have some indication of requirements in the final demand sectors, e.g., households, governments, education, etc. Aggregated data generally show depletions for irrigation as a separate category of water use and a second category consisting of municipal and industrial and domestic water use. Since industrial (manufacturing) water use has been disaggregated above, as has agricultural use, the final demand use of water may be computed as a residual if estimates of total withdrawal and consumption are available. One possibility is to determine the per capita withdrawal

TABLE 4-1

ESTIMATED WITHDRAWAL AND CONSUMPTIVE USE REQUIREMENTS
BY SECTOR, NORTHWESTERN COLORADO, 1974

(in gallons per dollar of output)

Sector	Withdrawal	Consumptive Use
. FRUIT AG	2,409.0	383.0
. IRRIG AG	24,790.0	9,798.0
. DRYLAND AG	0.0	0.0
. DAIRY AG	16.0	16.0
. LIVESTOCK	30.0	30.0
. AG SER, FOR	8.0	.8
. METAL MIN	108.0	32.4
. COAL UNDER	1.0	0.0
. COAL STRIP	11.0	1.0
. PET-NAT GS	27.0	6.2
. NONMET MIN	137.0	4.8
. CONSTRUCTN	4.0	. 4
FOOD PROC	6.0	.4
. WOOD PROD	27.0	6.2
. PRINT-PUB	2.0	.2
. CONCRETE	52.0	13.0
. FAB METALS	7.0	1.7
. ELECTRONIC	2.0	. 4
. MFG NEC	20.0	2.6
. TRANSPORT	2.0	.1
. POSTAL SER	1.0	.1
. COMMUNICAT	2.3	.2
ELEC-GS UT	267.0	13.4
. WATER-SAN	0.0	0.0
. WHOLESALE	2.3	.2
. GS-AUT DLR	2.3	.2 2.1
. EAT-DR-LOD	7.0	2.1
3. TRADE NEC	4.0	.4 .2 .8
. FINANCE	2.3	.2
). INS-R EST	8.0	.8
. MEDICAL	5.1	.5
. SERVIC NEC	2.3	.2

TABLE 4-2

TOTAL WATER USE, BY SECTOR, PROCESSING SECTORS OF NORTHWESTERN COLORADO, 1974

(in acre-feet)

	Sector	Withdrawal	Consumptive Use
1.	FRUIT AG	61,708	9,811
2.	IRRIG AG	2,005,767	792,759
3.	DRYLAND AG	<b>~-</b>	
4.	DAIRY AG	251	251
5.	LIVESTOCK	7,450	7,450
6.	AG SER, FOR	195	20
7.	METAL MIN	13,731	4,119
8.	COAL UNDER	130	
9.	COAL STRIP	738	74
10.	PET-NAT GS	16,214	3,723
11.	NONMET MIN	2,458	86
12.	CONSTRUCTN	2,553	255
13.	FOOD PROC	651	43
14.	WOOD PROD	1,111	255
15.	PRINT-PUB	38	4
16.	CONCRETE	2,060	515
17.	FAB METALS	146	35
18.	ELECTRONIC	137	27
19.	MFG NEC	1,497	195
20.	TRANSPORT	362	18
21.	POSTAL SER	22	2
22.	COMMUNICAT	192	17
23.	ELEC-GS UT	50,673	2,543
24.	WATER-SAN	250	23
25.	WHOLESALE	352 227	31
26.	GS-AUT DLR	227	20
27.	EAT-DR-LOD	1,499	450
28.	TRADE NEC	1,367	137
29.	FINANCE	481	42
30.	INS-R EST	1,440	144
31.	MEDICAL SERVIC NEC	1,023	100 59
32.	SERVIC NEC	678	59
	TOTAL	2,175,151	823,185

and consumptive use and then, given the population of the region, determine total water use. Subtracting the sum of the processing sector use from this total would give an estimate of water use in the final demand sectors. The estimated per capita withdrawal of publically supplied water for the state of Colorado is 197 gallons per day.<sup>2</sup> This figure, multiplied by the estimated population of the region in 1974 (152,686) yields an estimated withdrawal of 33,693 acre-feet of publically supplied water for domestic, industrial and municipal purposes. 3 Subtracting the estimated processing sector withdrawals (sectors 12-22 and 24-32) from this total yields 17,662 acre-feet, a residual attributed to households, governments, education, and other final demands sectors. This residual suggests a per capita withdrawal of 103 gallons per day. Thus, total estimated withdrawals in the regional economy are estimated to be 2,192,813 acre-feet. Consumptive use of publically supplied water is roughly 25 percent of the total withdrawals for domestic, industrial and municipal uses. 4 This represents a daily per capita consumption of 49 gallons and an estimated total of 8,380 acre-feet in the regional economy. The estimated consumptive use in sectors 12-22 and 24-32 is subtracted from this total to obtain the estimated consumptive use in households, governments, and other final demand sectors. This residual amounts to 6,031 acre-feet or 35 gallons per capita per day. Total consumptive use in the regional economy, estimated for 1974, thus amounts to 829,216 acre-feet.

These estimates of total withdrawal and total consumptive use of water are interesting from a purely descriptive point of view. However, the model allows also the analysis of direct and indirect water use which parallels the previous discussion of direct and indirect production. The purpose of such analysis is to isolate the effect of economic interdependence on water requirements. The specific question to be addressed is that of determining the likely impact of expanding final demand in any or all processing sectors on the regional water

requirements. The key element in the assessment is the derivation of the direct plus indirect water requirements per dollar of output delivered to final demand.

The procedure is really quite simple once the direct water requirements and the table of direct plus indirect production requirements have been obtained. The matrix of direct and indirect production coefficients is premultiplied by a diagonal matrix consisting of the direct water requirements along the diagonal and zeros elsewhere. The columns of the resulting matrix are summed in order to obtain the direct plus indirect water requirements per dollar of output delivered to final demand by each sector. These requirements for the northwest Colorado economy are shown in Table 4-3. The importance of considering indirect as well as direct water requirements in the planning perspective can be readily seen by comparing Table 4-1 and Table 4-3. Consider, for example, the direct withdrawal and consumptive use requirements for livestock and dairy in Table 4-1. These direct requirements are rather small, respectively 30 and 16 gallons for each dollar of output. However, as the final demand for the output of the livestock sector expands by one dollar there is a total direct plus indirect water requirement of 2,802 gallons (withdrawal) and 1,128 gallons (consumptive) generated throughout the economy. Similarly, in the dairy sector a one dollar increase in the final demand for dairy products generates a total direct plus indirect water requirement of 11,059 gallons (withdrawal) and 4,378 gallons (consumptive) throughout the economy. The indirect impacts, because of the significant interdependencies between these sectors and irrigated agriculture, are far more important than the direct requirements. Applying only the direct water requirements to assumed increases in deliveries to final demand can obviously result in an understatement of water use.

TABLE 4-3

DIRECT PLUS INDIRECT WATER REQUIREMENTS
NORTHWESTERN COLORADO, 1974

(in gallons per dollar of output delivered to final demand)

Sector	Withdrawal	Consumptive Use
. FRUIT AG	2,459.91	400.61
. IRRIG AG	24,824.70	9,810.02
DRYLAND AG	<b>54.0</b> 8	19.46
. DAIRY AG	11,059.15	4,377.96
. LIVESTOCK	2,802.53	1,127.69
. AG SER, FOR	145.34	53.59
. METAL MIN	134.24	37.79
. COAL UNDER	7.06	. 39
. COAL STRIP	22.85	1.82
. PET-NAT GS	39.16	7.26
. NONMET MIN	145.45	5.36
. CONSTRUCTN	15.34	1.71
. FOOD PROC	<b>5,0</b> 00.82	1,969.67
. WOOD PROD	54.72	13.58
. PRINT-PUB	6.45	. 49
. CONCRETE	60.26	13.40
. FAB METALS	11.86	2.02
. ELECTRONIC	4.53	.55
. MFG NEC	28.29	3.07
. TRANSPORT	2.97	.18
. POSTAL SER	3.49	.25
. COMMUNICAT	4.37	.33
3. ELEC-GS UT	344.17	18.40
. WATER-SAN	9.08	. 54
6. WHOLESALE	5.99	. 44
GS-AUT DLR	7.17	. 49
. EAT-DR-LOD	17.44	2.70
3. TRADE NEC	10.06	. 76
. FINANCE	4.22	. 32
INS-R EST	12.36	1.13
. MEDICAL	17.66	4.62
. SERVIC NEC	12.13	. 95

#### Coal Growth Scenarios

The second extension of the basic model employs two alternative sets of estimated growth in final demands for surface and underground coal mining. The purpose of this analysis is to assess the impacts of growth in these two sectors on regional output, income, employment and water use. The analysis demonstrates very clearly the use of the input-output model as a tool for consistent forecasting.<sup>5</sup>

The projected deliveries by the two coal sectors to export markets within Colorado (but outside the region) and to markets outside the state are shown in Table 4-4. There are two sets of estimates, which represent conservative and speculative projections, for each sector. Table 4-5 identifies the impacts of these growth scenarios on the economic variables: output, employment, income, and water use.

The estimates presented in Table 4-5 are to be interpreted in the following manner. First, consider the total output change under the scenario for underground coal. As the final demand expands to the level projected for 1980 a series of production impacts is generated throughout the economy. This occurs because of the interdependence existing between the underground coal sectors and other sectors of the economy. As these impacts work themselves out the total additional value of production of \$153.12 million is generated. This is not the additional value of underground coal production but the additional value of production generated in all sectors of the economy in order to satisfy the increased final demand for underground coal. As the 1985 final demand projection is realized, there is an additional \$167.01 million worth of output generated in the economy over the 1974 levels. Thus, there is a change of \$13,886,288 worth of output between the 1980 and 1985 levels. The remaining economic variables of Table 4-5 are interpreted in the same manner.

TABLE 4-4

PROJECTED VALUE OF UNDERGROUND AND SURFACE COAL DELIVERIES TO EXPORT MARKETS, NORTHWEST COLORADO ECONOMY

(in dollars)\*

#### Underground Coal

	Conse	rvative	Speculative	
Year	Colerado	Out-of-state	Colorado	Out-of-state
1980	14,544,000	138,345,760	16,160,000	138,345,760
1985	14,544,000	148,849,760	16,160,000	148,849,760
1990	17,776,000	150,465,760	17,776,000	247,425,760

#### Surface Coal

	Conservative		Speculative	
Year	Colorado	Out-of-state	Colorado	Out-of-state
1980	31,108,000	23,433,768	31,108,000	46,842,250
1985	31,385,750	29,266,518	31,385,750	46,939,750
1990	27,219,500	29,266,518	27,219,500	46,939,750

SOURCE: BLM, USDI, <u>Draft Northwest Colorado Coal EIS</u>, June 1976. BLM in house reports.

<sup>\*</sup> Underground coal is priced at \$16.16 per ton. Surface coal is priced at \$5.56 per ton.

TABLE 4-5

ESTIMATED CHANGES IN SELECTED VARIABLES 1974-1980, 1974-1985, 1974-1990 ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECTED COAL SECTOR DELIVERIES TO EXPORT MARKETS!

		Underg	Underground Coal			
		Conservative			Speculative	
	1974-1980	1974-1985	1974-1990	1974-1980	1974-1985	1974-1990
Total Output Change <sup>2</sup>		167,008,127	173,417,183	155,258,191	169,144,479	301,598,303
Total Income Change 13		51,182,298	53,073,970	47,717,296	51,818,902	90,939,446
Total Income Change II3		59,751,992	61,960,395	55,706,828	60,495,185	106,165,867
Total Employment Change4		3,285	3,411	3,053	3,327	5,932
Total Water Use Change <sup>5</sup>		ารา	157	141	153	273
		Surfa	Surface Coal			

		Conservative			Speculative	
	1974-1980	1974-1985	1974-1990	1974-1980	1974-1985	1974-1990
Total Output Change <sup>2</sup>	48,189,844	55,766,854	50,600,704	77,216,351	77,681,661	72,515,511
Total Income Change 13	13,837,738	15,225,085	14,284,161	19,136,414	19,225,713	18,324,430
Total Income Change II <sup>3</sup>	16,149,330	17,768,433	16,670,327	22,333,149	22,437,364	21,385,523
Total Employment Change4	544	630	571	872	877	819
Total Water Use Change <sup>5</sup>	217	251	228	348	350	327

- These changes are changes throughout the entire economy resulting from changes in deliveries to final demand (exports) by the two coal sectors.
- 2. These are dollar values.
- Change I reflects direct and indirect income; change II reflects direct, indirect and induced income change. These are changes in dollar value of payments to households. ω.
- 4. Units are numbers of workers.
- 5. Units are acre-feet of water consumed.

It will be noted that there are two estimates of changes in household income payments presented in Table 4-5. The income change I is the direct plus indirect income change generated by changing final demands. Income change II includes also the induced impacts of increased household spending.

A third scenario was also included in the analysis of altering the deliveries of coal to final demand. It is known that electric power generation (included in sector 23) is a large coal consumer and is also expected to expand quite rapidly over the period 1974-1980. There are estimates of the expected coal requirements by electric power generation to the year 1980 and from these, it is possible to calculate the projected increase in final demand for electric power output. The projected change in electric power final demand between 1974 and 1980 is \$263.74 million. The estimated impact of this final demand increase translates into an additional output, over 1974 levels, valued at \$443.35 million; a type I income change of \$108.63 million; a type II income change of \$126.84 million; additional employment of 6,594 workers and; increased consumptive use of water amounting to 10,846 acre-feet. Since the projected purchase of coal by the electric power sector consists solely of purchases of strip mined coal, these estimates should be added to the 1980 conservative and speculative estimates for surface coal in order to assess the total impacts of the combined increases in coal deliveries to final demand and electric power deliveries to final demand. This combined impact could result in a conservative and speculative set of changes as shown in Table 4-6.

TABLE 4-6

### ESTIMATED CHANGES IN SELECTED VARIABLES 1974-1980 ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECTED COAL AND ELECTRIC POWER SECTOR DELIVERIES TO FINAL DEMAND

	Conservative	Speculative
Total Output Change <sup>2</sup>	\$644,661,683	\$675,824,542
Total Income Change I <sup>3</sup>	169,546,613	175,483,710
Total Income Change II <sup>3</sup>	197,950,843	204,879,977
Total Employment Change <sup>4</sup>	10,149	10,519
Total Water Use Change <sup>5</sup>	11,203	11,335

- 1. These changes are changes throughout the entire economy resulting from changes in deliveries to final demand (exports) by the two coal sectors.
- 2. These are dollar values.
- 3. These are changes in dollar value of payments to households. Change I reflects direct and indirect income; change II reflects direct, indirect and induced income change.
- 4. Units are numbers of workers.
- 5. Units are acre-feet of water consumed.

#### NOTES

- 1. The exceptions are fruit agriculture and irrigated pasture. Data on acreages in fruit production and irrigated pasture were obtained from 1974 Census of Agriculture Preliminary Reports and from unpublished sources.
- 2. C. Richard Murray and E. Bodette Reeves, U.S. Geological Survey, Circular 676, Estimated Use of Water in the United States in 1970, Washington, D.C., 1972.
- 3. Excludes withdrawals for mining activities, electric power generation, and agriculture.
- 4. Murray and Reeves, op. cit.

; ; ;

5. It was the original intent of the authors to include an actual oil shale producing sector, along with coal production sectors, in the model. However, at the time of the data collection effort, oil shale operations consisted of research and development, a final demand activity. Recent indications are that actual production activity on a limited scale will be undertaken. Thus, it may be possible at an early future data to shift the oil shale sector into the processing sector of the model.

#### APPENDICES

#### Appendix:

- A Sector Identification, Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study
- B Input-Output Tables for the Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Study:

Northwestern Colorado Gross Flows Table
Northwestern Colorado Direct Requirements Per Dollar of Output
Northwestern Colorado Direct and Indirect Requirements Per Dollar of
Output Delivered to Final Demand (Households in Processing Sector)
Northwestern Colorado Direct and Indirect Requirements Per Dollar of
Output Delivered to Final Demand (Households in Final Demands).

- C Critique of Data Sources
- D Components of Transfer, Depreciation, and Investment Accounts

Components of Transfer Account Row Components of Transfer Account Column

Components of Transfer Account Column

Components of Depreciation Account Row

Components of Investment Account Column

- E Survey Form Used for the Northwestern Colorado Interindustry Model
- F Bibliography

APPENDIX A

SECTOR IDENTIFICATION, NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY STUDY

Sector Number	Appendix <u>Title</u>	Sector Description	1972 SIC Codes			
Processing Sectors						
1.	FRUIT AG	Fruit Agriculture	01 (part)			
2.	IRRIG AG	Irrigated Agriculture other than Fruit	01 (part)			
3.	DRYLAND AG	Dryland Agriculture	01 (part)			
4.	DAIRY AG	Dairy Farms	02 (part)			
5.	LIVESTOCK	Livestock other than Dairy Farms	02 (part)			
<b>~ 6.</b>	AG SER, FOR	Agricultural Services; Forestry	07,08			
7.	METAL MIN	Metal Mining; Related Services	10			
8.	COAL UNDER	Coal Mining - Underground; Related Services	12 (part)			
9.	COAL STRIP	Coal Mining - Surface; Related Services	12 (part)			
10.	PET-NAT GS	Oil and Gas Extraction; Related Services	13			
/ <b>11.</b>	nonmet min	Nonmetal Mining; Related Services	14			
/ <b>12.</b>	CONSTRUCTN	Construction	15,16,17			
/ <b>13.</b>	FOOD PROC	Food and Kindred Products Manufacturers	20			
/ 14.	WOOD PROD	Lumber; Wood Products Manufacturers	24			
15.	PRINT-PUB	Printing and Publishing; Paper and Allied Products Manufacturers	26,27			
16.	CONCRETE	Stone, Glass, Clay Products Manufacturers	32			
17.	FAB METALS	Fabricated Metals; Non- electrical Machinery Manufacturers	34,35			
18.	ELECTRONIC	Electrical Machinery and Equipment; Transportation Equipment; Electronic Instruments and Components Manufacturers	36,37,38			

#### APPENDIX A-Continued

Sector Number	Appendix Title	Sector Description	1972 SIC Codes
· 19.	MFG NEC	All Other Manufacturers, Textiles, Apparel, Furniture, Chemicals, Petroleum, Rubber, Leather, Primary Metals, Miscellaneous	22,23,Ž5,28,29, 30,31,33,39
20.	TRANSPORT	Transportation	40,41,42,45,46, 47
21.	POSTAL SER	U. S. Postal Service	43
22.	COMMUNICAT	Communication	48
23.	ELEC-GS UT	Electricity; Natural Gas Utilities	491,492,493
24.	water-san	Water, Sewerage, Trash Removal Services	494,495,496, 497
25.	WHOLESALE	Wholesale Trade	50,51
26.	GS-AUT DLR	Automobile Dealers; Gasoline Service Stations	55
27.	EAT-DR-LOD	<pre>Eating and Drinking Establish- ments; Hotels, Motels; Other Lodging</pre>	58,70
28.	TRADE NEC	All Other Retail Trade, Building Materials, General Merchandise, Food Stores, Apparel and Accessory, Furniture and Furnishings and Equipment, Miscellaneous	52,53,54,56,57, 59
29.	FINANCE	Finance	60,61,62,67
30.	INS-R EST	Insurance; Real Estate	63,64,65,66
31.	MEDICAL	Health Services	80
32.	SERVIC NEC	All Other Services, Personal, Business, Automotive Repair, Miscellaneous Repair, Motion Pictures, Amusement and Recreation, Legal, Museums, Membership Organizations,	72,73,75,76,78, <b>49,</b> 81,84,86,89
33.	SUBTOTAL	Miscellaneous Subtotal of Processing Sector	~

#### APPENDIX A-Continued

Sector <u>Number</u>	Appendix Title	Sector Description	1972 SIC Codes			
Final Demand Sectors						
34.	HOUSEHOLDS	Households	-			
35.	EDUCATION	Education	82			
36.	SOCIAL SER	Social Services	83			
37.	LOCAL ROAD	Local and County Government Roads and Bridges	-			
38.	LOC GV NEC	Local and County Government	91,92,93,94,95, 96			
39.	LOC GV TAX	Local and County Government Tax Accounts	-			
40.	STATE GV	State of Colorado	91,92,93,94,95, 96,97			
41.	FEDERAL GV	Federal Government	91,92,93,94,95, 96,97			
42.	SHALE R+D	Oil Shale Research and Development	-			
43.	INVESTMENT	Economic Investment; Net Inventory Accumulation	-			
44.	TRANSFERS	Transfer Account	-			
45.	EXP-COLO	Exports to Colorado other than the Northwest	~			
46.	EXP-WORLD	Exports to the Rest of the World	-			
47.	TOTAL	Total all Sectors	-			
Final Payment Sectors						
34.	HOUSEHOLDS	Households	-			
35.	EDUCATION	Education	82			
36.	SOCIAL SER	Social Services	83			
37.	LOCAL ROAD	Local and County Government Roads and Bridges	-			
38.	LOC GV NEC	Local and County Government	91,92,93,94,95, 96			
39.	LOC GV TAX	Local and County Government Tax Accounts	-			

# APPENDIX A--Continued

Sector Number	Appendix Title	Sector Description	1972 SIC Codes
40.	STATE GV	State of Colorado	91,92,93,94,95, 96,97
41.	PEDERAL GV	Federal Government	<b>91</b> ,92, <b>93</b> ,94,95, <b>96</b> ,97
42.	PROFITS	Profits, Eents, Losses	-
43.	DEPREC	Depreciation; Net Inventory Depletion	<b>-</b>
44.	TRANSFERS	Transfer Account	<b>-</b> ,
45.	IMP-COLO	Imports from Colorado other than the Northwest	-
46.	IMP-WORLD	Imports from the Rest of the World	_
47.	TOTAL	Total all Sectors	- -

#### APPENDIX B

## NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY MODEL

#### LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED - 1974

- B-1 NORTHWESTERN COLORADO GROSS FLOWS TABLE, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED, 1974, DOLLARS
- B-2 NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR OF OUTPUT, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED
- B-3 NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS
  PER DOLLAR DELIVERS TO FINAL DEMAND, LIVESTOCK
  SECTOR NORMALIZED (Households in Processing Sector)
- B-4 NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS
  PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND, LIVESTOCK
  SECTOR NORMALIZED (Households in Final Demand)

APPENDIX B-1

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO GROSS FLOWS TABLE, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED, 1974, DOLLARS

		20 Cont on Co	70.46	1 TURE TOUR	AC KED FOR	METAL MIN	COAL UNDER	COAL STRIP	PET-NAT GS
7 - 10X-	ואאזר שר	֓֞֞֜֞֟֓֓֓֟֟֓֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֓֟֟֓֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	2 4				-	-	÷
		0.170	2278425	6852437.	42537	•	÷	÷	÷
•			4	782186		+	+	÷	-
***	•		6				÷	•	÷
•	*****			1617618	1	.0-	†	÷	÷
• 81	1266406	*****	102186	1528 667.	5	7	÷	•	•
				-	-	4073014.	Ť	÷	=
•	4	•	•	÷	•	15151.	3697448.	÷	-
	4		-	-	•	-	÷	1973637.	-
	-			÷	÷	9-	÷	;	147 34548.
1	•	•	-	÷	÷	16169.	143160.	÷	7617.
4	55088	53596.	;	486976.	•	-66082	267966.	251179.	1054491.
ď	4		5109.	1646288.	•	å	÷	•	-
1	-	,		9	-	1410500.	154077.	÷	1 32295.
	18.22		÷	4 251.	4515.	1093.	÷	1026.	149593.
• •	• •	9		•	-	1566.	19361.	•	•
• •	77101	7251	1	F4546.		349305.	212185.	250 152.	611322.
•					-	.0-	-	•	.0.
3 , 4 0				402 162	11461	16992.	16712.	23775.	167452.
19754	*****	****		* 200 701	16678.	2104665		583421.	2948121.
4 2 4 3 2 4 ·				. 4699		1711	21.16	3010	7771.
253.	7547.	.000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 5256.	1020	2007	524.75	15469	112798.
2703.	.07141	77070	67052	A 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	A 6 9 3 5	949210	477175.	542512.	4938231.
	716.738			6 AA 942	5197	12575.	29595.	11232.	50777.
110 660	1644542	194803.	19726.	3520412	192542	70900.	144151.	106481.	2511408.
	160374	36058	1675.	161501.	32467.	9005.	23883.	16226.	162578.
			•	9-	4446.	÷	÷	\$289.	.6293.
	27314.	39073	1384.	263631.	.6884	17 393.	9564.	1 390.	351867.
7119117	1732762.	1436592.	233491.	7373782.	58776.	15430.	÷	•	1259518.
141846	403764		2382.	1092524.	43066.	491366.	900378.	173224.	384524.
	9		9	•		42229.	34334.	10919.	
	6 16064.	450101.	318526.	-2605002	170654.	78892.	83964.	73278.	286/002
	7545886.	3372015.	3036655.	44335666.	7 36462.	10810166.	11066506.	4014720.	31638796.
1288497.	1687 441.	303709.	233491.	5770968.	1366176.	9506066.	11591920.	34.39380	. 25 62 115.
-	•	•	•	•	•	9		, 4CD >	• •
	-	.0-	-0-	-	9	•	÷	•	•
	9-	.0-	9-	•	.0-	. 6-	·		•
	9	•0-	- 0	÷		9	•		9
156675	A92498	\$ 19299.	131744.	30 6 9515.	20762.	390698.	267463.	217090.	3772651.
	. 64549		9266	664965	44782.	148797.	209447.	206144.	58 32 7 76.
317.10	72162	18223.	.70041	566633.	191570.	2555234.	3540251.	2039472.	41600129.
111000	10120212	TACORAL.	1213034.	12906962	800710.	5337018.	2667147.	1417552.	61954359.
			174505	4869137.	303626.	3802424.	2556201.	1203731.	£074743.
11966)				16240	17768.	457297.	569225.	157501.	122578.
. 6 38 6 .	140716	. 260421			16.40401	1002001	1260170.	5161089.	25214015.
. 01464	721163.	10401	24/46	104/233	- 1 200 500	2300371	77.10.14	•	11885579
474337.	2961306.	749693.	37572.	6734708.	591545	2309111	.0151519	326.00.1	
	101111	046.386.4	7 7 8 7 8 7 8	41.1.000					

APPENDIX B-1--Continued

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO GROSS FLOWS TABLE, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED, 1974, DOLLARS

ORT.	÷		•		-6-	-0-	.0.	-8-	. a			•	. 0		2888.	29498.	.9979.	2000·	1000.	56.	64.	62.	22.	67.	1617.	79085.	85.	17143.	79.	34706.			•			•		•		. 26		.20	28.	96.	70.	22.	7.	78.	
20 TRANSPORT													27660		\$2	294	664	0~	0.7	228550	663864	14162	195122	43667	91	7.90	218985.	171	284879.	~ 5M	010017	35	15051		1007001	•			19642	1196195	\$52528	2910652	9164628	2977096	350070.	15672022.	4202237	2691067	
19 HFG NEC	÷	÷.	÷	•	ť	,	÷	1488,			•		÷	•	ė.	2223.	÷	316882.	.0-	29136.	615444.	2902	40979.	551601.	1717.	247663.	7901.	239.	20095.	- 8 8 10 8 -	131296.	1958	128942	2 2 8 8 3 7 6 .	. 548846 5	•	2 C	•	•	4 55 356.	12074.	793049.	1346647.	1020042.	115347.	1342175.	14140740		
14 ELECTRONIC	Ť	•	Ť		Ť							7	15116.	÷	÷	1067.	÷	÷	÷	17278.	107542.	296170.	109369.	129784.	19692.	0.00	16775.	÷	156354.	37343.	178595.		31 3293.	1466718	175055		• •	• •	9	6 6 6 6 1 .	114098.	1452159.	1386624.	526995.	160687	1192628	7662012.	22258839.	
17 FAB METALS	÷	÷	-	9	+			-		•	•	•	382797.	•	4037.	3755.	÷	•	9-	2095.	367171.	4976.	. 46602	70464.	3607.	17236.	2034.	•	272.	98483.	59949.	÷	125107.	1022739.	. 451552			•	.0-	11767.	19528.	171253.	625526.	173873.	37006.	576.858.	1068656	6798236.	
16 CONCRETE	•	-	101		-		9		# C	; ;		+ 2162+	67321.	•	2786.	10057.	16184.	-0-	-0-	196123.	184317	****	74471.	62007.	9151.	46158.	103034.	6366.	154153.	211259.	76417.	•	141597.	1787491.	- 6698442	÷	9.			114052.	131910.	740736.	1430059.	527396.	71119.	L182794.	14 216.0 7	12905673.	
15 PRIAT-PUB	÷	÷	•	į	ė		Ģ			<b>;</b>	÷	÷	39696	÷	÷	1906.	•	-	-	34530	66910.	43296.	79784	47126.	3401.	11434.	13525.	6885.	15315.	259654.	59884	÷	554559.	1229205.	21 30 399.	7	<b>?</b>	•	-	51975.	03152.	181517.	521329.	667.739	27812.	261769	1008606	6137173.	
0084 0007	-	•	-			. 271605			•	•	•	•	507746.	* *	156454.	2633.	•	<b>1654.</b>	155254.	1116.	27 20 7 3.	2107.	18231.	294931.	2661.	107545.	1006.	365.	1459.	240701.	47387.	355.	4454330	4017618.	2861612.	.6212	9	• •	9	65322.	59513.	798656.	701851.	1 35554	. 7 0 1 0 4	2067372	20012100	13412021.	
13	289585	4389517	•	1061808	7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•	47018.		1040358.	1432.	9061.	9-	33234.			537919.	5720	-	564940	C. 26 B. 2	206051	37602.	29012	69361.	307627.	16795.	+1111.	210273.	15609633.	•	3971.	<b>.</b>	• •	•	330721.	138432.	1540962.	174.1746.	100 1560	70750		1202261	35.379686.	
12 COMSTRUCTS	6		3211	•			•		•		÷	4246852.	65332711.	÷	991166.	19570.	6168461.	5 614 18.	9	515112.	1793028	26.91	495076	176669.	10501	946469	500118.	365097.	4826378.	257429	1575590.	56525.	~	92093750.	137	٠,	-	•	148746.	501295.	2 80 6 39.	3959113.	101101	30000000			242400120	206002112.	
#1 #2000	e	7	,	, d		•			<b>B</b> (	÷	•	7	66740.	•	1335.	2069.	9-	56250.	66748.		26.70.	4672	20026	100122		12934		-	6274.	933985.	705512.	÷	100417.		192536.	•	. p.	.0,	.0,	10012.	78096	6.10.200.	2617191	167117	16.101		31:63.	.5445485	
	Feuff Ac	2		DATE ACTOR	200	47 47 47 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	10 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	מנושר נוט	COAL UNDER	CCAL STRIP	PET-NAT GS	PCAMET MIN	CCASTRUCTO	FC(C PROC	ACOO PROD	FRIAI-PUB	CCACKE 1E	FAR PETALS	FIECTOCATO	7 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	TRANSPORT	8 35 IV 1 20 3	TO STATE	10710110	LA 160+ CAN	KHCLESTLF	3 - TO 1 - TO 2	FA1-08-L00	TRADE NEC	FILANCE	INS-R EST	HEUICAL	SEPVIC NEC	5 UE TO 1 AL	FCUSEHCL OS	F CUCA 1 10N	SCLIAL SER	LCCAL FOAD	TCC CV NEC	LCC GV TAX	STATE GV	F F F F F A A I C V			TO AN SECTION	723.75	111-11	ICTAL	
	_																																		3,5									٠,	<b>,</b>	7 -	я. #.	g ~ s s	

APPENDIX B-1--Continued

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO GROSS FLOWS TABLE, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED, 1974, DOLLARS

30		÷	, 0	;	-	÷	•	•	•	•	-0-	2515769.	;	9	687493.	9	9	-	178135.	94017.	14971.	803867.	345868.	.06944	404633.	172950.	545889.	174857.	\$ 76097.	21 36968.		3328286.	12003910.	5415585.	•	• •	-	٠ -	720226.	69194.	1400761.	4595455.	1210437.	23316316.	3102202.	2716828.	5 86 5 2 41 6.
29 F1848CE		÷		÷	-	•	-	÷	÷	÷	•	44107.	<u>;</u>	;	365454.	-	÷	÷	.9948	35830.	234461.	924354.	257748.	16057.	10216.	.0229	26966.	35055.	£7021.	189162.				. 495568			3. 1	.0.	104877.	377812.	.016114.	9921852.	446253.	32180104.	30 31 56 1.	3881480.	
28 TRADE MEC		Ť	÷	7	÷	÷	-	7	65973.	Ť	÷	497450.	÷	÷	1552688.	÷	÷	÷	258197.	6130351.	230954.	3234075.	1507790.	192933.	356307.	325179.	35766.	103543.	1735437.	3164624.	•	3411997.	22 80 2944	34520986.	;	•	•	÷	5543523.	554612.	5817368.	31461663.	3900013.	16 6 6 5 9 1 .	1996284.	30.80794.	111346610.
27 FAT-08-1 00		<b>;</b>	÷	•	÷	÷	÷	÷	•	÷	<b>.</b>	1220797.	;	÷	147675.	.0.	ė,	•	8593.	311406.	55115.	2129346.	1013537.	211660.	1556314.	8343.	÷	.956145	4280176.	977262.	•	2204774.	15466570.	21043650.	•	•	-	20017.	120021	185103.	.5694695	14968050.	4145776.	909502.	3107943.	5932935	69762358.
26 841 111 R	1		9,	<u>;</u>	•	91	÷	÷	•	÷	•	111986.	•	•	356476.	÷	9	.0.	125515.	2789600.	48728.	512245.	328564.	69120.	303650.	91773.	10667.	31825.	. 201158	485268.	•	1045216.	7076042.	13171596.			9	.0.	196428.	120586.	1796259.	5807015.	1025076.	451622.	617497.	1357077.	1,227194.
25 WITH F SALF	-		4-	-9-	÷	÷	÷	-	•	•	•	454508.	÷	÷	72 E 60.	•	•	9-	362012.	4166600.	69175.	589898.	*416051	16885.	170734.	273621.	115232.	42121.	1476483.	276357.	45458	1147855.	4650129.	10930031.	1660	•	÷	•0-	623527.	505172.	47 50143.	15130645.	1636975.	257196.	873307.	5117787	******
24 MATER-CAN		•	•	•	•	÷	9-	9	e	- 0	1322.	96848.	÷	÷	7171.	.0-	•	.0-	22606.	1236.	14052.	29193.	216392.	388445.	161438.	21940.	3560.	75064.	2549468.	100203.		666628.	4377594.	2916711.		9	. 5000 <b>≯</b>	586425.	.0.	1275.	373109.	5,0460.	1301693.	90501.	453815	606000	11338494.
23			÷		?	÷	÷	750005.	3951£19.	9884411.	÷	· 00 · 1 ·	•	÷	37334.	4661.	.0-	9.	10566.	134686.	47217.	337967.	12401001.	.0266	78933.	11210.	33735.	-60+02	14 (2381.	256412.	1156.	* 39400 *	0	12741514.	• •	•	•	780911.	.069076.		6503453.		1774362.	2.384.94	1 149210.	1990156.	61842597.
22 COMBINITERY		÷	*	\$	•	÷	•	-	9	•	•	182 36.	÷	.0.	(4506.	•	-		72453.	5836.	37613.	124123.	107950.	7004.	22436.	78344.	6404.	14561.	2635017.	96657.	9	212753.	34:6893.	9124365.	•		• •	1796.18.	1528470.	303562.	1976296.	330.1643.	1601009.	12/38/5.	2184560	208105	27260416.
21 Post 41 sf8	-	÷	•			÷	•	-		-	-	1 3000.		•	-0-	-0-	-		36713.	32500.	-0-	25420.	4 3 3 6 0 .	2500.	10774.	35645.	.0-	1069.	. 0	-	• •	.0000	279201.	2449501.	• •	• •	•	.0-	.0.	-	491499	224250.	91000		708761	149767	/345000.
	24 11.133		DR 11 AND AC	DAIRY AG	1 1 VE 5 1 0CK	AC SER FOR	FEIAL FIN	COAL UNDER	CCAL STRIP	PET-NAT 65	HCKMET MEN	CCASIRCCIN	FCIE PROC	MCCD PROU	PR 1 N1 - FUB	CCACKETE	FAF PETALS	FLECTRENIC	AF G NEC	TRANSPORT	POSTAL SER	CCPMUNICAT	ELEC-GS UT	HA 1ER-SAN	WHILESALE	CS-AUT DLK	EAT-08-LOU	THAUF NEC	FINANCE	183-R 151	FEUICAL	SERVIC MEC	SUFTOIAL	FCUSE HCLDS	L COCA L JON	SCCIAL SER	LCCAL HOAD	TCC GV MEC	ICC GV TAX	STATE GV	FECERAL GV	,	DEFREC	RANSFERS	111-010	I F F WORLD	TOTAL
		٠ ~	_	_	٠. -					01	_		13								_	~			5.2												- -	30	ر ارد ارد	5	Ī						-

APPENDIX B-1--Continued

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO GROSS FLOWS TABLE, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED, 1974, DOLLARS

		31	32 SFRVIC NEC	33 SUBTOTAL	34 HOUSEHOLDS	35 EDUCATION	36 SOCIAL SER	37 LOCAL ROAD	30 LOC GV NEC	39 LOC GV TAX	48 S1ATE GV
	CButt AC		4-	,	327412.	•	-	÷	÷	-	÷
	٠.		-	13564233	201913.			÷	÷	-	
				•		÷	9-	-0-	÷	-	9
	DRILLONG AC	•		00000	145216.	•	.0-	-0-	÷	÷	÷
	2 2 2 2 2 2					7	.0.	9	7	÷	10326.
_	LIVESTOCE	2 .		749 144 7	217158		-0-	9	- + + + -	•	3646.
	AC SERPTOR		<b>,</b>	410749		4	9	•	÷	•	ŕ
	METAL FIN				A33217.	16536.		÷	Ť	<del>;</del>	÷
	COMP. ONDER		•	5001200	ca i	9.	9,	9	÷	4	•
	CCAL SIMIP	* ·				•	,	9-	+	÷	•
	PEI-NAT GS	•	;		, , ,		, G	289762	2478.	9-	205421.
	PCARET MIN	-	7	* 747774	.000.00			234.25.8	128294		14406528.
	CCNS IRCCIN	169771.	204500.	74285759.	297 7 80 8			9000	7 1 96		16740.
	FCCD PROC	122375.	-	56 221 30	1910001		•				
	MCOC PROD	÷		2863764.	63469.	-	<b>3</b>				11825
	IRINI-PUB	205201.	389050.	4212891.	851783.	16152.	3432	6789	. 2000		. 6 706 4
_	CCAGGETE	•	9	A 260212.	574899.	•	9	14339	• 64 70		- 126.26
	FAE PETALS	•	141042.	2679955.		· o		\$228¥	15223.	:	•
_	FIFCTRENIC	.0-	9-	2 5 30 0 2 .	.0.	9	;	-		;	
_	PEC NEC	78342.	91566	1357734.	4131215.	64.860.	6236.	39864.	59547	• •	112643.
	TOAKSPEDT	7 8072	735192	30 701430.	4746786.	87588.	5366.	4E57.	20036.	, -	45.566
-	POSTA! SER	100760.	152251.	16(5416.	2746009.	58794.	12203.	1430.	104226.	-	17940.
_	C PRINTER!	615189	733503.		14182247.	294409.	13696.	17399.	166395.		.56/35
	111 59-0413	198617.	1314522	29429103.	12898256.	911369.	18763.	333645.	1 864 88.		170203
_	NA 3 6 9 5 AN	7.8035	146701.	2856878.	5174184.	174282.	357.	10139.	147197.	2526659.	** > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
	DIGLESALE	29466	1446629.	15298818.	11224322.	277341.	-9602	652303.	7 92 84.	•	276765
-	65-AU3 BLR	76062.	66901.	2746251.	18595943.	62972.	6057.	30.00	95426		111363
	F # 1 - DR - 1 00	698	125490.	1404537.	21593415.	14077.	•	1239.	1562	•	
	TRACE NEC	241343.	983245.	7117939.	67218269.	146343.	6002.	15826.	101423.	÷ •	. 70001
_	FINANCE	455366.	1130035.	32174553.	26267646.	1764070.	•	202744.	- 11244		
	185-8 ESI	669455.	1067594.	16952010.	21070275.	704139.	14297.	100735.	240032		
	PE LICAL	2238331.	-	2440344.	33255600.	23503.	42690.		212057.		********
	SERVIC NEC	1161314.	4142628.	31975718.	24128814.	340469.	17368-	*****	*******		1070111
	SUFICIAL	7144294.	12945889.	376209174.	30 330 30 66.	5380172.	141385.	2057263.	2845314	3354217	. 16711167
	FOUSEHOLDS	2 10 7 9 5 9 1 .	26783456.	369209044.	3220000.	34835063,	157621.	5327780.	- 2000		116404016
	F DUCA I ION	9.	24218.	(7228.	3001954.	167530.	-	. 242	*170	. 206 2 30 2 2	
	SCCIAL SER	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.0-		-0-	18563.	956	÷	6886	1236059.	, r + D + C C C
	CCAL MOAD	9-		40002.	141549.		.0-	102693.	7	4038313	70774500
	T C CV NFC		.0-	1746300.	1093822.	. 18233	40753.	245635.	204216.	14848566.	1200653.
	TEC ON 14X	500216.	1961459.	28045940.	16747866.	9-	•	•	7		•
	Claif Lu	646661	307846	13695307.	40125491.	3816765.	.0-	.0,	262260.	•	101611
	FELLERAL CV	136.0814.	37 :8007.	9071709.	85427763.	12980.	43722.	309511.	411286.		. 505062
	2113743	24/1/2/16.	14502484	251260364.	26539884.	4550669.	297163.	2433987.	6879055.	1673151.	. 784046
	Detor	1200554	4117576.	59834358.	.0-	÷	.0.	•	-	• •	
	PO ANCELOR	A > 7.78 E.	A 10 62 3.	66331697	20497708.	655316.	6315732.	93750.	221996.		*****
	7 6 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1107564	6.162153.	127156656	65766417.	1937.822.	6983.	641589.	737942.	- ·	221222
	111-1010	100100	56177776	159505731	162271604.	2896344.	18559.	1425341.	1271102.	. g -	1544785.
	1747. T. 1747.				72 85 68 64 4.	54375477	7622846.	12717521.	22840055.	49653170.	127511753.
	1										

APPENDIX B-1--Continued

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO GROSS FLOWS TABLE, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED, 1974, DOLLARS

*	TOTAL	<b>634692</b> 7.	26364707.	.66298.8	5109216.	88923218.	7943218.	41420264.	42301074.	21061548.	195677761.	5845472.	200002112.	25379888.	13412021	6137173.	12905073.	. 36236.	.652222	24385698.	59910678.	7005000	27260416.	61842597.	11336999.	.6816164	32227190.	69762358.	111346016.	68170588.	58652916.		· 71560005		46108444	310000	1271767	2204055	100 E 1170	*********	161211133	. 000048/62	197999479	59834358.	28 10 35 197.	266491500.	489293433.	4071257205.
94	EXP-WORLD	5761432.	6514141.	7799186.	÷	2971668.	12000.	20535886.	29450024.	146826.	170365832.	•	÷	11779242.	5338437.	. 6 2 2 7 2 5 .	94770.	2125501.	20504773.	12231926.	56 (3334.	86620.	936577.	9893310.	3	645549	1292960.	31243763.	9497799	3925699.	5907608	-629486	15166755	.766141487				7.74.64		******	2010100	3889714	100663163.	-0-	207439.	9012247.	25211268.	532109547.
5,4	EXP-COLO	2020176.	3275468.	282685.	•	59115303.	10437.	2314454.	7613637.	148 :0173.	÷	÷	9-	12488922.	2666308.	38676.	543124.	1120506.	563302.	3848563.	15331489.	*3404.	316129.	7668987.	÷	3418396.	1153267.	15431476.	4331742.	4.0207.	1414705.	1177926.	15045082	176550486	. 377 370.			• 701 -	16599	17:2424	9265492	1547 391.	<b>.</b>	÷	829756.	4592912.	13931324.	204246067.
4.4	TRANSFERS	-	÷	•	÷		=	;	÷	-	7	-	146 31 76.	•	-	÷	ç	.01	.0.		•		•	÷	9,	•	1104696.	ė	356220.	•	154012.	19853557.	1006510.	22010171.	337879295.	÷ ;	÷ •	•	÷ •	-	-	÷	-21 601 75 26.	-0-	9'	63875927.	75279332.	283035197.
	INVESTMENT	•	2700952.		-	-	£72.86.	13784988.	-	191312.	692920.	26733.	189195054.	246369.	2423673.	-	2748959.	-	615228.	352971.	9		-	-0-	201016.	11976160.	6935918.		2694210.	9	9884482		181162.	165042133.	•	;	٠ د		9	•	1609(15.	.0-	.0-	.0.		8512316.	19150675	214714743.
5	SHALE ROD		;	4	9	9		-	-	•	-	÷	3623488	4927	7.5.52.	13953.	12067.	731356.	1:2534.	127897.	44155	5500	81.55A.	4.3044.	37419.	62227.	83891.	1564.	. 80 92.	Ģ	1197792.	6053.	365536.	6650901.	4585892	9	<b>.</b>	<b>,</b>	÷	18956.	15142.	661 (36 77.	:5012	9	5 3 1 8 3.	2161682	48/17/1	84544353
• •	FEDFRAI GV		-	4	*	ţ		6		9 9		9	673679		•	1684.	194346.	.0.	-	40185	2191849.	2343250		247489	166694	251569	47753.	55694.	71675.	2874381.	12033.	3665272.	6.34042	13745929.	10264302.	27 52 474.	10012.	1348464	2970276.		58342970.	1904576.	16322020.	0,	146897515.	1911112	1766001	257856466.
		26 1113	TPETE AG	DOVE BAR AG	DATES AS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 SEB 500	METAL KIN	COAL UKOFR	CCAL STRIP	06 1-441 50	NIN LUANUA	TECHOLONG.	FCCD PROC	ACCO PROD	FRINT - PUR	CCACRETE	FAR VEIALS	FIFCTRONIC	PFC NGC	TRANSPORT	POSTAL SER	COMMINICAL	E1 60-0313	MATER-SAN	WHCLESALE	65-AUT OLR	EA1-08-LOD	TRADE NEC	FINANCE	IAS-R EST	PEDICAL	SERVIC NEC	SUBTOTAL	HOUSEHCLDS	E DUCATION	SCCIAL SER	LCCAL ROAD	TIC GV MEC	ECC GV TAX	SIATI GV	FELERAL GV	16.6115	0.0000	TEANCE FOR	0100-141		10141
			٠,		7 4	, 4	٠.	, ^	. «	•	٠.	: -		, ~			2	. ~	. «	20			• •		3 7	2	26	~		5.9	9	=	32	33	ž	<u>د</u> ج	36	~	3.8	3.9	0	3		بد ر د ۲	7 4	7 U		; ~

APPENDIX B-2

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR OF OUTPUT, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED

		و ~	3	* <u>`</u>	S LIVESTOCK	SER, F	7 A L	AL \$50	SOAL STRIP	PET-N
FRUIT AG	0.06666	8.000	9. 0	9. 55 55	9.9	9.0000	=	. 60 101	. 0886	=
IREIC AC	0.0000	Ξ	2	X * * * .		. 68535	=		. 6000	
DRYLAND A	0.000.0	5	•		5:	2000				
DAIRY AG			2 5							
105 G 20 G	7177	:	~	82 88		00000	9	1000	9999	9.90
METAL PIN	0.0000		•	00000		00000	117	0.0000		1.
COAL UKOE	00000	5	9	3	3	. 00000	=	.08724	:::	1.0
CCAL STRE	9.000.0	5	5	. 01 0 00	3	. 00460	:	:	. 4962	0.000
PE 1-NAT G	000000	=	2	. 8 8 8 9 9	3	.00000	. 680	Ē	0000	. 67
MCRNET MI	0.0000	5	8	. 90008	3	. 00000		822	. 1000	3
CCNSTRUCT	0.00000	20.	3	. 99 6 9		00000.	80.	. 10632	. 0114	0
FCCO PROC	0.0000	5	0.000000	. 08 1 80	3	00000.		00		900
MCOD PROD	0.0000	2	6.00000	00000		00000		90		
INTRI-PUB	0 0 0 0	9	9-00-00	00000	3	.04056		2	****	9 9
CCACRETE	800000	9	093660	00000		9		P (		
FAE PETAL	0.0000	=	. 000 625	. 00000	5		9			
ELECTRONI	0.0000	0	0.00000	00000	5	00000				
MIC PEC	46 200	6	766110.	01266.		3		P *		
IRANSPORT	62660	6	973500.	2 2	15100	2 :		7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	979779	
POSTAL SE			/ CB050.	2 :		?				
TANDARY OF THE	25000	3		2 2	777	9		1117	9766	20
				: 5	0.000	,	4 60	9000	8008	90
THOUSE SALF	407.00		7F.07.70	1987.00	. 043503	3	100	. 00 3401	1/0/00	012
65-Aut 04	00000-0		401 400	.000328	2	6		4 5000	11001	90
6 4 1-08-LO	0.00000		0.00000	2	9	5	600	00000	24000	2000
TRADE NEC	0.0000	3	~55500	000	. 00 325	3	900	10022	190000	100
FINANCE	.00622	2	. 163731	2	~	3	2	00000		ž
IFS-R EST	.01699	=	.030645	ě	9	90542	-	12120	192	5
PECICAL	0.000	2	0.000000	2	9	000	=	00061	5.5	2
SEFVIC NE	.02120	2	.051228	₹	.024788	02251	00	. 001982	S	2
HOUSEHOLD	.15436	3	٠	.04 570 6	è.	1 99	• 558	. 27351	33	. 04 38
FULCA 110N	0.0000	3	9	0.000000	é	000	8	. 6000	61010	000
SCCIAL SE	9.0000	2	2	0.00000.0	. 60	000	000,	. 4006	0000	0000
LCCAL ROA.	0.000.0	8	00000	0.808.0	0	000		00000	0	•
TCC CV NE	0.0000	8	. 000000	0.00000.0	3	600	80000			3000
10001	21 2 5 6.	Ξ	67079	.025786	.037931	0261	6 9 6 9 6	0000	7.5.	٠.
STATE GV	.00200	2	. 004185	~ ·	. 00 8217	. 005638	<b>5</b>	9		303636
FEDERAL G	56010.	~	<b>,</b>	20	9		1919	700	,	۱ ،
FRCF I IS	.1114	<del>-</del>		3742	2949	900	7997	7		
23	27 8 2 0 .	2	.217137	7 330	9	.038225	747	4 T D	2786	э,
FARS	56 400.	S.	_	0043	0435	-	1 10 3	3	2	070000
100-1	02500.	2	^	266710	2815	3	25.7			i
					,			•	,	

APPENDIX B-2--Continued

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR OF OUTPUT, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED

12	MO LONG			2010			•						80045	0.00000			7000	8	3	. 88 3 8 8 8	.011255	. 000240	003	0.0	0	0.0			904930	065000.	•		. 02 \$ 560	8	=	900	6	.00017	2	2	. 04 3 968	-	2	2950	=		• •
	MFG NEC		9. 14.00	. 2868	9386		0.0000		•	0.01010.0		650000	9.111000	9.0000	9. 110100	161111,		. 41 2995	B. 910101	. 11195	. 025230	. 000119	. 081688	. 022623	0.0000	010156	425 080	010000	. 800087	. 984023	. 005364	6 2 0 0 0 0 0 .	. 885266	. 118549	00000000	00000000	6.00000	0.800000	. 918673	. 802966	.032521	. 855231	847168	017.100	P 5 5 8 3 9		0/25/5.
91	ELECTRONIC	₹	•	0.404.0					7	9.0000.0	۳.	. 4004.	24	000	. 60000	94 90 98 .	0.1001.0	•	. 0000	. 900776	. 00 40 33	01330	16400	. 005827	00048	00000	45.404		607	00167	000	000	. 014075	. 159112	200	. 6000	8	. 60000	.003634	. 805126	0.065240	6229	2 16 7	077			122446.
11	FAB METALS	7	D. 11 11 11		٠.	000000000			₹	٦	•	0.00000	. 0 4 5 5 4 1	0.00000	165000.	. 08 85 52		0.00000.0		. 600 300	101210	. 000673		991010	6456	AL AC 60	00000			014487	. 00 00 1.0	0.00000	-	. 331432	. 001108	00000000	0.000000	_	. 00 1 7 31	. 002673	.025191	20	0 2 6 5 7	- 1	, ,	r.	.286612
91	CONCRETE	950	900	96640		00001		0000	9	Ē	=	450	8 8 5	9.66000	900	000	100	2	9	9	-	445.00	9		•	**************************************	)	201000	· ·	; ;	. 005921		6	9	00.	0	9	0	0	.010222	5	: =		00000	٠.	3 ' 7	.114026
15	PRINT-PUB	0.1001.0	0.01100	0.00000.0	0.0860.0	0.0000.0	0.998080	0.0000	00000000	0.101.0	:::	9000000	9	5	.000	1000	000	0.00000			011230	007055	7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	007476			700100		301700	401010	P46400	000000	•	347130	•	0.00000.0		. 00000	.00079	9	62900	, -		F .	000	701 5	.164358
**		0.00000		0.000.0			.124784	0.0000.0	0.00000			0.86600		0.04040.0	. 11163	. 80 6211	06600	64000	91157	80000	02020	20000			*****			100000	A 20	A 702 - 0	2 7 5 1 0 0	00000	0.13211		.000159					900	1000		3	5218	0.120	.154143	156120
5 4	2	•	1249	0000	0 4 4	.067316	000.	0.00000	. 900116	00.	0.00000	6	91210	0.29631	040000	. 000256	900000	91900	000000			277 000				216100	7.00.00	. 00100.		305433		41.000	1 10 5 0 0	169187	000112	a		000	00	00		•	•	.028365	_	_	. 151695
12	2	0.00000	. 0.0000	. 0 4 60 1	00000	00000	•	00000	00000	9999	00000	02041	641	000000	9776	8 0 0	927	202200		802176	,			0000	4000	6000	172	0520	^ :	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	363690			7781		00000	00000	_	0.41	21.0	, ,	200			707		115755
	-	900					0.0000												, ,		3 6		5	0003456	-	. 906 171	•	•	_	6/0100.	000160.		) ·	6 7 7 7 9			9				3 6	5 9	.430657	6280	06.05	.005393	.011326
	-	DILL AG	9 0	- 2	٠.,	1 1 VE S T OCK	AG SER FOR	1 4	COAL UNDER	ON STRIP	DETANAT GS	2 2 2 3 3 4 C	710101010	2000	2010	CT L CIN		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	C 100000	3750513333	3000	A PROPERTY.	OSTAL SER	CCPRUNICAL	1 EC-65 UT	HATER-SAN	HHOLESALE	S-AUT DLR	EA1-08-LOD	RADE NEC	٠ ي	101 101	11010	10 x 11 x 10 x 10 x 10 x 10 x 10 x 10 x	CUSENCEUS	SOCIAL SER	170 TE 170	TANK AND DES	ۍ د			F E UE MAIL IS V	FF(F115	UFFREC	FAMSFIRS	0103-441	0140W-141
				_	_		. 9																												3 7										~ ~		-

APPENDIX B-2-Continued

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR OF OUTPUT, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED

Colored   Colo			21	22	2	*	<b>52</b>		•			
Colored   Colo			DETAIL SE	COMMUN	1. EC-6	ATER	HOLE	CS-401 0L	1	840	MANCE	
100000		24 7 110	400000	0.8.0	0.00	90.0	ĕ	=	Ĭ	₹	=======================================	
\$1,000.00		P			9		-	9.0	ě			
1965    1965		24 27 44				3	ě	4.0	9		. 60000	
		KALAND AC				•	•				41419	
		AIRY AC				•	•		ā		40.00	
		1 VESTOCK	. 1 1099			9 (	5		•		9090	
		G SER FOR	-10040	0.00000.0	9	3			•			
		ETAL PIN	00000.	6.66660 6.66660	000	5	•		•			
		CAL UNDER	. 56 5 56		0121	5	=		÷			
		CAL STRIP	0 0 0 0 0	0.00000.0	0630	=	ē	<u>:</u>	•	7		
Company   Comp		S TANAS	80008	900000	1598	=	<u>-</u>	9.0	•	₹		
		7 1 1 1 1 1 1			0000	9	=	90.0	ē	₹	. 11146	=
\$\frac{1}{2}\cdots \cdots \cdo		C. C				9	-	9	-		19888	3
1,000,00   0,000,00   0,000,00   0,000,00		CAN MICCIA	79199.			•	•		•	٦,	. 3000	. 80
Colored   Colo		CEO PROC									0000	2
0.00000 0.000000		CC0 PR00	. 6 0 0 00	90.	908.	3			•	: `	40 6 4	-
0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000		FINI-FUB	<u>. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</u>	=	90	Ę	ĕ	70.	Š	:		
0.000100 0.0		CACGETE	00000	.00	0000	ē	₹	0.00	•	7		•
0.00000		AC PETAIS	000	0.0	. 0000	5	ĕ	0.00	Õ	٦.		90.
		247.04.00			9000	6	ē	0.000	ě	٠,		
1000010	υ.	7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	)	•			ĕ	. 00	ā		9	90
0.01351	_	בייני	2	0.0000					c		2	90
0.001251 .001257 .001267 .001010 .0010131 .002523 .013541 .0101010 .0101551 .0013623 .013541 .0101551 .0101551 .0101562 .0101561 .0101661	-	FANSPORT	4.55	912060°	200	3		9		, ,		6
0.001551   0.001565   0.001566   0.001560		CSTAL SER	200	.061367	9	3	96196	00	õ		3	
0.01053 .000257 .001267 .001304 .00131 .002245 .002306 .002245 .001353 .000257 .000353 .001353 .001353 .001353 .001354 .001353 .001354 .001353 .001354		CHHUN 1CA T	361	. 00 4553	99500	8	0110	2.5	0	<b>3</b>	3	
SAN   .000353		10 50-031	1612	.003960	2005	20	00703	0.10	9	•	3	
DLR	- 2	Z	1035	.000257	1000	6	06633	602	ā	•	3	. 000 / 65
DLR		1 5 5 ALF	1152	.080823	9012	2	00342	680	•	•	2	•
NEC		CALL DIP	20.50	007580	60115	9	00548	902	õ	•	=	
NEC 0.00000 0.00146 0.00556 0.				21000	75000	ā	06230	000	ō	•	2	.009293
C	4 -			45.500.0	1000	2	00005	2		-	3	. 00 2 981
EST 0.000000 0.00180 0.000000 0.000001 0.000001 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000		336 364		004404	79160		295 B	6	ã	0	8	.009822
TOTAL NET OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONT				7.01.00	1000	: 5	2.55	-	•	•	2	.036434
TACK NEC		٤,	3 6				1000		ď	٦.	2	3
USEHICINS 1940 1947 1960 19	2.	ב מזרשו		9			00000			-	2	056
UCATION 0.769160 .334711 .200001 .000000 .000160 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000	~	FEVIC NE	2011	* 200 200	3	010	*****	, .	, ~	11 00 11	-	16.0
CANTON	•	OUSE HOLD	9169	7	997	2016			•	;	2	000
CAL SER 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000		CCCA 1 10h	0000		000.	21000		•	•	:	: :	
CA NEC D.00000 0.000000 0.005118 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000		OCIAL SE	0000	900	. 000	. 00000	. 00000	3	9	7	2 :	
C GV NEC 0.00000 .006590 .012627 .051718 0.080000 0.00000 .000402 0.00000 0.000402 0.00000 0.00000 0.01551 .049786 .001569 .011156 .013457 0.000000 .016497 .024713 .016851 .049786 .00156 .00156 .011156 .01345 .000000 .0156713 .015873 .015851 .049786 .000000 .015873 .01586 .015873 .05186 .015874 .015873 .01586 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015874 .015876 .015776 .015776 .015776 .015776 .015776 .015776 .015776 .015776 .015776 .015777 .014986 .47777 .47777		TAL POR	0000	.00	. 000	80 352	000088.	÷.	. 00 000	٠.	=	
C GV TAX 0.00000 .056069 .013457 0.000000 .016497 .024713 .016351 .049786 .0004786 .0004786 .0004786 .0004786 .0004780 .0004780 .0004981 .0004780 .0004981 .000498 .0004981 .0		1 X X Y	0000	00.	7	17150	.000000	.00	0000	∹	5	000.
## 64 0.000000 0.11136 0.003945 0.004912 0.001990 0.002653 0.004901 0.002653 0.004901 0.002653 0.003945 0.003945 0.005737 0.008625 0.05246 0.005737 0.008625 0.05246 0.005737 0.01264 0.005737 0.01496 0.005737 0.01496 0.005745 0.01264 0.01264 0.003956 0.005745 0.01264 0.013037 0.01496 0.005745 0.013037 0.01496 0.005745 0.015741 0.017928 0.005745 0.005745			0000	5		00000	64910	20	1635	04650	8	.012279
TERRICY (069372 072497 105161 028495 095957 0555737 018625 052246 085737 0169372 072497 105246 085737 05545737 05545 085757 05545 085757 05545737 05545737 05545737 05545737 05545737 05545737 05545737 05545737 05545737 05545737 05545737 055457 05545737 055457 05545737 055457 055457 055457 05557 05557 0		20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2		5	7	1000	101	9	0265	9640	8	-
FILET LY 101151 121166 124521 055403 10777 160190 214558 202556 144 1851161 121166 1214558 20256 144 1851161 121166 105427 185126 180 1804161 131264 131631 051032 1314796 0537792 033400 059427 185026 180 1804161 131631 051033 131636 180 1805152 0314014 0114014 0113037 0314966 147 1805152 0314014 0119161 044550 0317926 195 1805161 017926 1805161 042110 095045 0527669 1805161	7 '				, 4	07860	5	05573	3862	5224	65	. 02 3 6 62
FFREC .012864 .135031 .051030 .037792 .031000 .059427 .035026 .000 .037792 .031000 .059427 .035026 .000 .00000 .031037 .014986 .47 .00000 .00000 .000000 .000000 .000000 .000000		ינוצא		•				4 40 10	1455	6255	-	•
FFREC	_	K.F. 1	7167		7	0.000					. 2	20
RANSFERS 0.00000 .046730 .003856 .007981 .005152 .014014 .013437 .014966 .47567 .1.5620 .054430 .054430 .051817 .044062 .017494 .019161 .044550 .017928 .05629 .1.5620 .017928 .05693	-	-	1264		9	11479	3279	2001	7860	7966		, -
FF-COLO .056430 .080137 .021617 .040822 .017494 .019161 .044550 .017428 .03543 	_	RANSFER	. 4 60 00		2	00 798	0515	205	707			
12950 0050 0050 0050 005140 051445 105451 045110 065045 0526693	_	100	5643		218	2005	1749	-	4455	1792	2629	,
	٠.		0 2 4 1 1		.21	7	0 24	4211	850	9917	5693	.046320

APPENDIX B-2--Continued

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR OF OUTPUT, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED

9	EUERAL GV	9	9999	-	000	9000	0000		0000		000	99 26 1				5		9	.000191	•	.00 90 86	*92000*	•		5	8		. 000270	. 0111145	.000050	. 61 42 12		193867	610010	700760	7 2		33423	;;	ָ ר	6 32 6	000	. 569598	967200.	.00 + 6 4 0
39	41E 64		2 20000			10062	. 99899	::::	•		. 801611	-112912	15.000	9			920000		_	. 886746	<u> </u>	8	. 601335	. 00002	•	•		=	4.418000	. 881506	. 896802	. 888282	.006631	*2166T	86.21.20	200010		,	3		01057	0	508	. 117375	. 01 2 11 5
3.0	LOC GV TAX				1000		1000	9999			10001	8008	0000	0000		=	=	5	=	=	•	•	•	.850006	3	0.0000.0	=	3	3	3	ē	9	9	#2/D## *		. 0	. 4		3;	3	6.	=	0.00000.0	0.00000.0	0.00000.0
37	3		9089	•	. 90 111	90800	9000000	00000	•	. 00 111	6000	0526	60	000	•	. 60 6 359	99000	2	0269	.000877	456	•	. 406165	73.0	745	. 00 22 97	0915	147400.	2238	.010509	0 3 5 9	. 03.9620	964524						- :		3	0000	0972	.032309	259550.
36	LOCAL		9	9 0	2	2	2	2	=	•	₩.	-	0.00000	•	\$2500°	21100	.00647	9 2 9 9 9 9 9 9	0313	000	9	100	926	000	821	902	•	5	5	.00.	8	6	9	9 ( 9 (					9	~	7	0.00000.0	.007372	.050423	112077
25	SOCIAL SER				4	00000	. 0000	. 90	.00800		. 80909	. 00000	. 00100	. 00000	000.	. 00000	00000	. 00000	•	*00000	•	-	.001415	•	127	9000	001	.000892	000	~	986	227	8660		•			7000	0000	.005736	~	0.00000.0	125858.	. 000916	
	EDUCA TIO	9 6			0.000.0	00000	0.000.0	. 06 0 30	00000	. 08 9 90	. 00000	0036	.00726	0.00000.0	. 00029	. 40 6 40	0000	. 00 0 00	.001194	. 001612	9010	.003763	9692 10.	0350	0 510	•	.000259	.002657	.032472	196210.	. 000.33	261200	.641229	7 2 0 7 0 D .	*****		70000		7025	.000239	.083767	00000	.012063	.015671	Š
33	POUSEHCL DS				000000	000326	000000	. 001144	•	=	. 000576	3	2	9	8	9	0.000000	•	. 005474	900	003	117610.	. 017714	. 007196	. 015415	. 025539	.029656	. 119783	.036075	. 030047	. 045672	_	~	. 21400.	•	Э С	•	<b>.</b>	. 055107	. 117324	674950.	0.0000000	0.28	. 090322	•
32	N DIAM				9000	9660	000	00000	0	3	.00000.	36	•	•	•	0.00000.0	~	8.00000.8	-	S	90127	00763	1368	25100	01508	26000	•		•		000000.0	~	0	•	Э (	3 3	•	201	s	_	. ^	-	.006438	.066019	25448
31	2,							0.000000				-		0.000000	٠.	0.0000.0	٦,	0.00000.0	.001198	•	•	•	-	.001194	.004508	.001164	1 10 00 0 .	.003692	995900.	.013606	142460.	.018071	. 35 3060	0000000				0/00/00	.006433	.020417	.378953	.016365	01266	.045189	56540
		FRUIT BG	•	LKYLANC AC		AC 160 600	ME TAL PIN	COAL UNDER	COAL STRIP	PET-NAT GS	KCNET MIN	CCASTRUCTN	FCCO PROC	MCCO PROD	18 IN1-PUB	CCHCFETE	FAR PETALS	Ħ	RFG NEC	TEANSPERT	FCSTAL SER	CCPMUNICAT	ELEC-65 UT	MA TER-SAN	HHOLESALE	ES-AUT DLR	EA1-08-LOD	TRADE NEC	FINANCE	INS-R EST	PEDICAL	SERVIC NEC	HOUSEHCL OS	FULCATION	SCCIAL SEK	TECAL MOND	1 C C WEC	1 C 6 1 A X	STATE GV	FEDERAL GV	PR0F 11S	( F PREC	THANSFERS	176-0010	IFF-WCRLD
		r																							52			9 2		30					ر د .			-	39	9	7	24	7	3	. 5

APPENDIX B-2-Continued

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR OF OUTPUT, LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED

5.4	×	•	•	•	ė	•	•	•	•	•	•	ó	ė	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	ó	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ė	•	•	ċ	٠	•	•	•	•	ó	.000390	•	•
;	ExP-COLO	0266041	. 01 652 6	266000.	0.06.00.0	.209432	. 80 89 8	.011332	.037274	. 072689	0.01010	1.16600	0 000000 0	.061142	.013055	. 000190	. 00 265 9	.00 5486	.002756	.010043	.075064	. 000213	. 001546	.037548	0.00000	.016737	9 493 80.	.075553	.02120	.092205	.00 (926	.00 5767	. 07 366 2	.006744	.00 4626	0.000000	. 000035	. 00000.	.008580	.012945	.007821	0.00000	0.000000	.004063	.022487	. 06 621 8
F 4		0.846300			0.100100				0.000.0			0.00000													0.00000		. 00 3 9 0 3	0.406440	. 001259	0.000000	. 000 544	. 038347	. 028571											0.000000		2165925
23	INVESTMENT			•	9.00000	•			0.00000.0			.00000		.001147		0.00000.0					•	•		•	•		. 0 3 2 3 0 3							•	•		٠,	•		•			•	0.000000	•	.163270
4	SHALE 6+0	4.886		.0.5	0.0000	9	===	5	.00	100.	00.	=	710	90	900	90	900	000	00	00	90	000	000	900	9 9	900	5	000	000	000	=	500	400	9.50	900.	000	.000	000.	.000	000	782	000	0		~	~
		LIT A	IRRIG AG	RYLANC	ی	1 VESTCC	G SER,	113	7	20	-	3	5	2	8	=	3	3	LEC	9	TRANSPORT	FOSTAL SER	CCHMUNICAT	10 50-0313	ATER-SAN	HHCLESALE	_	41-0A-	TRADE MEC	INANCE		2	E PV	OUSEHCLD	DUCATI	SE	CCAL ROA	SC GV NE	>	TATE GV	UERAL	RCF 1 1 S	FREC	ANSFE	COLO	F- 10R
		_	~	-		v	•	~	•	5	9	7	12	2	<u>-</u>	-	91	-	9	7	20	21	<b>\$</b> 5	23	*~	52	92	2	2	<b>5</b> 2	20	7.	32	73	*,	35	7	37	7.0	39	9	7	1,2	7	9	5.5

APPENDIX B-3

in Proc		HOUS 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
5 LIVESTOCK 11 . 1117 55 . 1117		6	20	20
LIVES TOCK • 9003 • 1117				
				- G
			TR 11 900 5 110 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 3
			# 4 9 4 4 4 9 6 4 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6	<b>3</b>
				•
_			99444800 490 998 4949 488 988 989 488 988 888 988 888 988 9	•
			9 1 1 1 2 2 4 4 5 2 4 4 5 2 4 4 5 2 6 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	<b>3</b>
•			111100 m 00 0 m 0 0 1 m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
•			7	
•			4 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
53 . 0050	.000		487 447 044000 040000 0400000	
9000. 20	.005		9	
			7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
	200.		. 090 . . 000 . . 000 .	
. 0001	. 6001		.0026	
	. 001		.000.	
•	000			
•	.0009		.0012	
•	000		9000.	
•	900.		.0133	_
1710. 70	.010.		6600.	_
15 .0020	. 0015		.0016	
•	.0001		.0135	
	.030		.0195	
1910. 44	** 10.		.0015	
	.0379		.050.	
•	.0078		.0006	
•	. 0054		6100.	•
•	. 022		.0225	
•	1060.		.1761	.0649
•	1910		62.50	
	6700		0000	000
•	. 0928		0020	
	9771			

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND APPENDIX B-3 -- Continued

. 0133 .0189 , 0221 TRANSPORT HFG MEC FAB. TECTROS CONTROL C (Households in Processing Sector) LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED .0226 PRIAT-PUB .0009 .0117 .0034 .0034 .0022 .0409 .0106 1.0357 .0196 CONSTRUCTS NONHET MIN LIVESTCCK A6 SER, FOR COAL UNDER COAL UNDER COAL UNDER COAL UNDER COAS TROP FET ON PROO FET ON PROO FRINT - PUD CONCRETE FAINT - PUD CONCRETE FET ON COAR TRANSPCRT POSTAL SER CCPMUNICAT ELEC-GS UT NATER-SAN MHOLESALE 65-AUT DLR EAT-UR-LOU 18ADE NEC FINANCE INS-R ESI FRLIT AG IRRIG AG OFYLAND AG DAIRT AG SERVIC NEC もごりしむほくりぶれたごそのらの くウムヤ まですりむひ ときあれまごすい たんをもごころごろごろごろごろ てきますすりょう

NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND
LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED

		20	INS-R EST	7000	7000·	9090	5000	2808.	7000	.0000	9888.	.0013	. 06 30	. 0017	.0695	6200.	* <b>900</b> ·	.0139	6200.	* 0 <b>0</b> 0 °	0000	*500.	.0095	6200.	.0230	.0175	.0031	.0139	.0105	6210.	.0372	.0276	1.0500	.0125	.0762	.2629
		62	FINANCE	100	<b>*90</b> .		. 6663	7808.	1	0.00.0	7 00 ·	. 6500	6100.	-0005	. 00 30	. 0019	0000	. 0067	. 000	1000.	.0000	. 0015	. 00 4 5	5 T 00 .	1619.	.0108	.0018	. 00 42	.005	. 0060	.0220	1.0115	.0105	5808.	.0497	.1791
		<b>5</b>	TRADE NEG	. 1112	.1011	. 0086	9099	****	===	0 0 0 · a	110.	. 6889	1580.	988.	.0140	2500	1010.	.0167	. 9019	. 0003	0000	. 0 0 5 9	9690.	. 4045	1210.	.0312	.0054	.0125	.151	. 6139	1.0548	. 9423	9446.	9820.	.0597	14321
		27	EAT-DR-L00	2000	. 0010	8080.	.0007	7000·	E000.	0.000	.0012	.0833	1888.		.0330	9100.	2080.	.095	.0016	.000.	0000.	. 0036	.0160	.0032	66.39	.0467	.0067	.0315	6110.	2810-1	1090.	1880.	.0324	.0201	1650.	.4233
IZED	Sector)	56	GS-AUT DLR	. 4663	+1 00·	0000.	. 9910	5000	7000	0.00.0	.0011	* 90 22	-8652	~00 <b>0</b> .	.0141	.0069	. 6001	2410.	.0011	.000.	0000.	.0063	.0973	5+00.	.0323	.0303	.0068	.0209	1.0162	.0174	.0693	.0576	.0304	.0262	.0674	.5528
SECTOR NORMALIZED	Processing	52	WHOLE SALE	. 1162	9889.	0000	9844	2000.	2000.	1.000	. 0007	7 T 00 .	4500°	. 1016	. 0145	. 8635	1000.	. 1835	. 0011	. 000	8000.	.0164	2268.	. 00 31	. 0217	·0194	. 06 30	1.010+	.0146	.0122	0170.	6240.	. 0196	.0161	7 7 5 B .	. 3210
IVESTOCK SEC	ţu	<b>5</b> 4	MATER-SAN	2880.	. 8010		.0807	1000·	.0003	0.0000	.0010	0280	. 0460	9500.	.0200	950P.	- 0005	1400.	. 00 1	. 0003	9000.	.0852	.0111	2960'	.0167	.0394	1.0389	.0257	.0131	. 0129	.0569	.2558	. 0271	. 0190	0360.	. 4013
LIVE	(Households	23	ELEC-CS UT	. 9992	\$ 888.	2000.	9000	. 0003	. 000	0.000	4410.	<b>+690</b> .	.2199	.0005	.0102	6500.	.000.	6200.	9094.	.0020	0000.	7400.	.0180	6200.	.0175	1.2720	.0033	1210.	.0115	.0116	.0451	2050.	. 0213	.0172	.0322	.3615
		22	COMMUNICAT	2000.	0100.		2000.	1000.	. 000	0.000	.000	. 401.3	.0031	300 B.	0.00.	9700.	. 0001	6,00.	9000.	2000.	0000.	.0056	.0009	.0038	1.0169	0910.	.0037	.0091	6510.	.0131	6150"	.1184	. 0 2 0 3	6610.	.0333	9024*
		21	POSTAL SER	S 0 0 0 ·	. 0023	. 0000	9100.	. 0009	9000.	0000.0	9100.	.0025	. 0059	6000.	. 6149	6600.	2000.	2 100 -	. 0013	7000.	0000.	. 0115	. 0228	1.00 +4	. 0203	.0343	. 00 7	. 1190	. 0294	. 0277	. 1112	.0452	.0351	. 0432	. 0564	1216.
				FRUIT AG	IRFIG AG	DRYLAND AG	DAIRY AC	L I VESTCCK	AC SER, FOR	PETAL PIN	COAL UNDER	CCAL STRIP	PET-NAT 65	HEAMET MIN	CCNS 1RUCTN	FCCO PROC	ACCO PROD	FFINT-PUB	CCACRETE	FAB PETALS	ELECTRONIC	PFC NEC	TRANSPORT	PCSTAL SER	DOWNON ICA T	ELEC-GS UT	HATER-SAN	WHELESALE	GS-AUT DLR	EA1-08-100	TRADE NEC	FINANCE	INS-R EST	MEDICAL	SERVIC NEC	HCUSEHCLOS
				-	~	-	•	•	•	~	•	5	0.7								0.7								-			5		=		33

APPENDIX B-3--Continued NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED

(Households in Processing Sector)

32 33 SERVIC NEC MOUSEHOLOS .0000 .0005 .0295 .0047 .0039 .0033 .0129 .0564 .0333 .0271 . 8002 .000. 1000. .0112 1.0666 MED ICAL .4560 .0002 .000 . 1110 . CD 50 . 01 19 . 01 36 .0597 . (201 DRYLANG AG DAIRY AG LIVESTOCK AG SER, FOR HEIAL UNDER CCAL SIRIP CCAL SIRIP CCNS TRUCTN FOCO PROD HEIN FUB FRINI FUB CONCRETE FAP PETALS ELECTRCNIC HFG NEC TRANSPORT POSTAL SER CUPNUNICAT ELEC-GS UT MHOLESALE (S-AUT DLR EAT-DR-LOU

FCUSE HCL DS

APPENDIX B-4
NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND
LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED
(Households in Final Demand)

	. PE	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G																														
		0200. 00																														
		9900. 00																														
	A ME	0000.																														
	٩	0000. 20																														
		2000.																														
4	_	0000.																														
-	DRYLAND	0000.		, -		100										. 6.																
•	TODICAC	000	100 - 4	•			640	· a					_			_	_												•			
•	T T T T T	000-1					12		•		9200	_	· •		0000		. 5002				1019			1813		4720		•	•		6215	
		34 11/43	2011	20.00		2012/11/11	AC 450 FOR	714 141 14	10 41 11 11 11	9181	PE1-NAT 65	ZEW LINGS		FCCO PROC	MCCO PROO	PE INT-FUD	CONCRETE	FAE PETALS	FIFCTRCNIC	ME C. N.F.C.	TRANSPER	BUCTAL SER	CERTINICAL	[1] \$3-3119	PATER-CAN	MILL FAMILE	CS.AUT DER	101-00-143	TO ADE NET	E INANCE	184.0 671	
		٠	• •	٠,	η.	F u	. 4	۰ ۵	٠ •	9 0		-	2				-	-	-	-	20.	. 7			,	, C	3		7	; ^	; =	,

APPENDIX B-4--Continued
NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND
LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED
(Households in Final Demand)

	TRANSPORT																																
61	MFG NEC			000		0000	0000.	0000.0		. 00 20	0500	. 000	. 0016	30 <b>0</b> 0.	0000.	.0003	. 0001	. 0131	. 8000	1.0015	. 02 75	- 0005	. 0024	.0291	. 0001	. 0106	9000.	. 000	.0012	2500.	. 0063	1000.	. 00 7 8
7.	FLECTRONIC		9000	9000.	999	9090.	9999.	9 . 0 . 6	1 909 .	9000	1100.	. 6661	1900	. 686	9990.	*000·	. 0003	1000.	1.0000	0100.	.0056	*£10.	. 1056	1986.	9080.	2089 ·	8700.	. 0001	7200	. 8033	9600.	0080.	.0162
	FAB METALS																																
97	CONCRETE		00 <b>0</b> 0.	0000.	0000.	0000.	0000.	8.000	1000.	2000.	.100.	.0352	7500.	0000.	.0003	7100.	1.0016	.0005	7000.	.0066	.0171	9000.	.0072	0010.	.0000	.0076	.0003	9000	.0125	6120,	.0117	0000.	.0155
	PRINT-PUB																																
	MO00 PR00																																
64	FOOD PROC	7000.	.2001	6000.	6 4 4 7 .	6880.	-0172	0000.0	.0005	.0020	6100	.9027	.0078	1.0325	1000.	6000.	. 000	5100.	0000.	.0037	.0214	9000.	1900.	9020.	.0003	.0245	6200.	1100.	.00 53	.0428	7.000	2000	0620.
12	CONSTRUCTN	9600.	0000.	0000	0000.	0000.	6000	0.000	1000.	.000	8000	.0318	1.4613	0000	1 / 00 .	.0015	.0575	.0045	, 000 ·	7700.	0110.	. 0003	.0056	7100.	.0003	0000.	. 0043	6700.	4620.	4800.	1/10	7000.	.0141
11	HONNE I HIN	. 0000	. 8000	0000.	0000.	0000	0000	0000	6000	. 0017	2,00.	1.0006	. 0259	0000	7000	. 0026	0100.	6600.	. 0114	. 0011	. 0020	.0016	. 906 9	. [242	7000.	7 2 00 .	9000	. 0037	. 0025	1 560	1768	0000	0110.
		FELTI AG	IRRIG AG	DPYLANC AG	DAIRY AG	LIVESTOCK	AG SFR.FOR	METAL FIN	COAL UNDER	CCAL STRIP	PE 1-N4 65	NONNET MIN	CCNSTRUCTN	LCGG PROC	PCC0 PF00	FRINT-PUB	CCACKETE	FAC PETALS	ELECTRONIC	PFC NEC	TE ANSPORT	FUSTAL SER	CCFMUNICAT	£1 £C-02 01	HATER-SAN	SHOLE SALE	65-4UT DLR	F 4 1-08-1 00	TRADE NEC	FINANCE	185-8-541	MEDICAL	SERVIC NEC
		-	~	•1	4	~		~	. 40	•	01	=	1.	-	-		1	7	9	5	20	21	22	2.3	42	52	26	2.2	28	2	; =	3.5	32

APPENDIX B-4--Continued
NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND
LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED
(Households in Final Demand)

36	TAN A- KAL			900	000	000.	0000.	0 0 0 0 0 0	1000	.000	100.		200.	9000	5000	9710.	9200.	7 D D D D D	3000		9400.	. 0016	.0160	0010.	9109	6900.	S 00 .	6600.	.0055	6470.	1.0400	0000	25.40	
53	FINANCE			0000	0000	9000	0000	0.000	. 660.	,	0 7 00 .	300	6190			6680	1000	1000			2100	. 00 36	. 000	1500.	.000	5 <b>9 9 9</b> ,	.000.	. 0005	8.00.	1.0029	. 00 3 7	0000	7 7	,
88	TRADE NEC			0000.	9890.	000	0.00	0.000	2 000 .	.0120	-0032	2000	1600.		300	410.	7 0 0 0 ·	- 086 -	000	0000	.0573	<b>4200.</b>	.0306	.0188	5100.	4500.	.0035	8600	1.0020	. 6213	9710			9857
27	EAT-DF-LOD	0000	0000.	0000.	0000.	6000.	0000	0000.0	.0005	.0824	. 0069	9000	* 0 \$ 7 *	9 9 9 9 9	1080.	.0031	1100.	· 0002	0000.	<b>2000</b> .	0000.	.0012	.0326	.0346	.0033	.0235	9000.	1.0004	£\$00.	6290.	1910			>669.
92	GS-AUT DLR	9000	0000	0000.	0000.	0000	0000	0.00.0	2000.	0100.	.0025	.0002	.0068	9000.	0000.	.0118	.0003	.0002	0000.	9700.	.0869	6100.	9110.	.0145	.0023	.0106	1.0035	9000.	.0021	.0309	77.10			0 3
52	MHOLESALE	8000.	5000 ·	0000	0000	0000.	0000	0.000	. 000	.000	. 0016	.0003	2510.		1000.	. 0020	9000.	2000.	0000.	. 00 B2	. 0861	9100.	.0132	. 0103	. 0005	1.00 4	. 0060	. 0025	00000	4586.				2620.
42	HATER-SAN	0000.	0000	0000	0000	0000	6060	0000.0	,000.	.0020	8700.	+000.	. 0 1 4 7	. 0000	.000.	.6027	9000	2000.	0000.	.0025	. 00 35	.0023	.0060	.0280	1.0357	.0102	.0024	.000	2000.	. 2364		****	0000.	.0756
23	ELEC-GS UT	0000.	0000.	9000	0000	0000	0000	0000	.0168	.0886	.2161	2000.	4500.	0000.	-000.	.0013	.0003	.0019	0000.	.0019	1110.	.0012	6.00.	1.2617	4000°	.0053	.0019	6000.	.0012	0.128		9,00.	1000.	. 10.
~	COMMUNICAT	0000.	0000	0000	9980	0000	9000	9000	1300	1000	0100.	0000.	\$100.	0000	0000.	1100.	1000	. 000	0000	.0028	6000.	9100.	1.0057	0900	. 0003	2100.	.0027	000	0000	0.00		5300.	0000.	.0130
21	POSTAL SER	. 0000	0000	2001	0000	0000			1000	9000	7100.	1000	. 00 2 9	0000	0000	. 0001	1003	1000	0000	. 00 5 3	.0056	1.000	25.00	. 00 82	1000	5100	. 0051	0000	1000		7 7 7	7003.	2003.	. 0122
		FFU1 46	TERIG AG	DE VI ANG AG	0 10 1 AC	TAR STORE	2001011	10 14 1 C 1	CON NADER	CCAL STRIP	PE 1- NA 1 GS	NONFET MIN	CCASTRUCTN	FC10 PR0C	MCCC PEOD	06141-Pun	CENCRETE	FAF PFTALS	FLECTRONIC	PFC NFC	1 KANSPERT	PLATA SER	TAUL MUNICULA	FLEC-65 UT	MATER-SAN	PHC1 FSB1 F	C.S. AUT DIR	6.41-08-100	10404 460	10 4 4 1 2 C	274847	INS-R EST	PF DICAL	SERVIC NEC
		_	~	, ~	, ,			. ·	. «									2 ~					. `				, 4			3 6	C	9	7	32

APPENDIX B-4--Continued
NORTHWESTERN COLORADO DIRECT AND INDIRECT REQUIREMENTS PER DOLLAR DELIVERED TO FINAL DEMAND
LIVESTOCK SECTOR NORMALIZED
(Households in Final Demand)

Ž	. 0000	Ē			3	8	ė	2	=	3	8	2	2	2	2	8	=	Ş	5	2	5	6	2	5	2	5	5	=	15	12	0000.	ç
	3.	2	8		:	2	2	8	2	=	9	3	92	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	5	6	-	=	0.5	5	3	30	2	5	1.0355	22
	PUIT A	RRIG	FYLAND	A 1RY AG	1 VESTCC	G SER,	EIAL PIN	DAL UNDE	CAL STRE	E I-HAT	CAKET MI	CASTRUCT	4 000	CCO PR	RINI-P	CACSET	AE PE 7A	LECTRENI	FG NE	RANSPC	CETAL	CHHCKI	LEC-	A 1ER-	ž	¥-5	A1-08-	RADE NE	7 1	1-54	ICAL	
		~	•	•	~	•	~	-	œ	97	=	12	P)	<b>-</b>	5	9.	1.1	9	61	20	12	22	23	*2	52	56	2.7	2.8	5.3	90	31	32

#### APPENDIX C

## CRITIQUE OF DATA SOURCES

## Introduction

Data gathered for the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study were secured from a wide variety of primary and secondary sources. previously explained, data from secondary sources were basically used to provide tentative estimates of total gross output levels for the respective sectors delineated in the study. As the study progressed it was discovered that particular secondary sources could not be used for such estimation purposes. The reasons for this are quite specific and vary depending on the source. Primary data were used extensively to estimate the gross flows matrix; they were also used to estimate a level of total gross output for several of the sectors. Thus, the purpose of this section is to criticize the various data sources and specifically explain how the data and any attending problems were handled in the study. The discussion commences with an overview of the primary sources. Following this, the section is divided by SIC division descriptions with each containing an identification of relevant data sources, comment on the adequacy of the data for the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study, and mention of how the data were handled.

Following the discussion is a complete listing, in bibliographic form, of data sources cited. Reference numbers in the text of this section refer to the sequence numbers of this list, not the bibliography

entries at the conclusion of the report. Following each entry is an abbreviated annotation in brackets. The SIC numbers in the annotation indicate that data pertinent to that respective SIC classification are contained in the source cited; a verbal description is used when SIC numbers are not appropriate.

## Primary Sources

Data: from primary sources can be classified into two categories: first, information obtained directly from economic producers, and second, information obtained from the files of government agencies, trade associations, and others who receive report forms from economic producers. As indicated previously, data obtained directly from economic producers were secured through the interview process; a mail questionnaire was not employed in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study.

Table C-1 lists interviewing rates for each sector. The rates refer to the percentage of total employment accounted for. In select instances a rate is given for the percentage of total gross output accounted for; in these cases, data were largely secured from filed or published financial statements and supplemented when necessary by select interviews. Interview rates are not listed for the agricultural and livestock sectors; data identifying gross flows for these sectors were largely secured from the Cooperative Extension Service, Department of Economics, Colorado State University. Specifically, the Extension Service data pertained to estimated costs of producing particular crops and animals, not the aggregate expense levels of individual farm

TABLE C-1

INTERVIEW RATES, NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY STUDY

		Percentage of	Percentage of
		Total Employment	Total Gross Output
	Sector	Accounted For	Accounted For*
1.	FRUIT AG	**	<u>-</u>
2.	IRRIG AG	**	_
3.	DRYLAND AG	**	
4.	DAIRY AG	**	-
5.	LIVESTOCK	**	_
6.	AG SER, FOR	8.446	-
7.	METAL MIN	82.956	
8.	COAL UNDER	76.442	=
9.	COAL STRIP	48.571	_
10.	PET-NAT GS	3.867	
11.	NOMMET MIN	37.143	-
12.	CONSTRUCTN	10.621	
13.	FOOD PROC	82.054	~
14.	WOOD PROD	53.171	~
15.	PRINT-PUB	29.412	~
16.	CONCRETE	39.100	~
17.	FAB METALS	70.213	_
18.	ELECTRONIC	69.553	~
19.	MPG NEC	63.130	<b>-</b>
20.	TRANSPORT	56.063	<b>-</b>
21.	POSTAL SER	-	94.726
22.	COMMUNICAT	72.222	-
23.	ELEC-GS UT	65.114	<u></u>
24.	WATER-SAN	40.563	
25.	WHOLESALE	3.673	
26.	GS-AUT DLR	. 945	· •••
27.	EAT-DR-LOD	. 206	
28.	TRADE NEC	1.071	***
29.	FINANCE	-	62.208
30.	INS-R EST	~	10.725
31.	MEDICAL	44.368	_
32.	SERVIC NEC	.345	-
33.	EDUCATION	43.473	_
34.	SOCIAL SER	59.483	_
35.	LOCAL ROAD	21.151	· •
36.	LOC GV NEC	30.920	-
37.	LOC GV TAX	-	100.000
38.	STATE GV	-	100.000
39.	FEDERAL GV	71.117	_
40.	SHALE R+D	80.534	_

<sup>\*</sup>In these cases, data were largely secured from filed or published financial statements and supplemented when necessary by select interviews.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Information pertinent to these sectors was obtained from the Cooperative Extension Service, Department of Economics, Colorado State University.

operators. A description of the sector identification labels used in Table C-1 is found in Appendix A.

high rates for the extractive sectors with the exception of petroleum and gas. John Peterson and Oded Rudawsky of the Colorado School of Mines had just completed a rather extensive study of minerals and energy in Colorado about the time the research for this inquiry was commencing (40). Because some sixty percent of Colorado's crude production and nearly thirty-eight percent of the state's natural gas extraction occurs in Northwestern Colorado, the decision was made to use the basic findings of Pederson and Rudawsky and limit the interviewing for this sector. The limited interviewing that did occur was highly selective and for the express purpose of securing information necessary for the modification of published results of the Pederson and Rudawsky atudy.

Relatively high interview rates are also observed in the manufacturing, utilities, finance, and medical care sectors as well as the tax supported sectors, education, social services, local and county roads and bridges, local and county governments, the State of Colorado, and the Federal Government. Relatively moderate rates appear for agricultural services, construction, and insurance and real estate. Low rates are observed for the remaining trade and service sectors. As explained previously, the purpose of the Northwestern Colorado study did not verrant extensive delineation of sectors such as trade, services. finance, and insurance and real estate. Further, the study was conducted under a financial and time constraint. Thus, when the interviews were conducted it was decided to place more emphasis on certain sectors and

less on others. It is contended that no significant inaccuracies in the model result from this procedure since, in most cases, purchases from and sales to these sectors may be obtained from intensive examination of other sectors. For example, by concentrating on utilities it was comparatively easy to determine the kilowatt hours of electricity used by the trade sectors; the cost of securing this same information from the trade sectors could not be justified. What has been sacrificed is the convenience of a double check which would be provided by intensive interviews in both sectors.

Special comment on the data secured from the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment is warranted (10). Employment and wage information contained in the reports of each employer in the state is placed on reels of computer tape for processing by the Department. The Colorado Manpower Review (9) publishes a summary of this data for the state and the Denver-Boulder Labor Market Area; detailed information for individual counties does not ordinarily get published. Accordingly, the information pertinent to employment and earnings in Northwestern Colorado had to be obtained directly from the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment. The computer tapes released for use in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study covered the reports of calendar years 1972 and 1973 and the first three quarters of 1974. This presented some difficulty because the Northwestern Colorado study was designed to cover calendar year 1974. Further, the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment uses 1967 SIC descriptions at the four-digit level to classify firms; the Northwestern Colorado study used 1972 SIC codes. Still other difficulties were presented by what appeared to be obvious misclassification of firms (this is especially true at the four-digit level) and keypunch errors, not to

mention a change in report procedure between 1973 and 1974. The ramifications of this latter can be shown by an example from SIC 4213, trucking except local. In calendar 1973, SIC 4213 firms in the nine counties covered by this study were reported as having paid less than \$100,000 in wages; in the first three quarters of 1974 the corresponding wage payments are shown as being nearly \$2.6 million. The point is, considerable effort was required to modify Colorado Department of Labor and Employment data before it could be used. These adjustments were made on a case-by-case basis and did not follow a specific formula.

Serious difficulties were not encountered with the information secured from the files and inhouse reports of other government agencies, trade associations, and other organizations (5,7,19,22,23,24,25,29,31, 38,44,68, and 77). The data were not always in the form requested but were sufficiently detailed so that, with slight modifications, they were quite useful. Specific comment on these data and others follows in the respective SIC divisions.

## Agriculture and Forestry

Before proceeding, a comment on the sector delineation of agriculture and livestock will facilitate an understanding of why certain data, though readily available, was not considered for inclusion in the Northwest Colorado study. As indicated previously, the design of the Northwestern Colorado model anticipated possible future use for water studies. Accordingly, irrigated crops were treated separately from dryland. Also, pecuniary losses suffered by livestock producers warranted treating livestock separately. Explicitly then, these sectors are delineated on a product basis, not an enterprise basis. Thus,

documents, such as the <u>Federal Census of Agriculture</u> (49), which report on an enterprise basis were not directly considered in the study.

Of all economic sectors in Northwest Colorado, agriculture has the most current and detailed data. The most versatile document in terms of securing individual crop data on an individual county basis is the Colorado Agricultural Statistics publication (1). Issued annually by the Colorado Department of Agriculture, it separates dry production from irrigated, publishes detail on major state crops, and identifies the production levels in respective counties. Specific limitations are nonetheless inherent in the tabular presentations. For example, crops are reported on a production and market value basis; and there is a difference between market value and market receipts. The implication of this is not too severe for crops when virtually all production is marketed; this is not the case with hay, however, the major crop in Northwestern Colorado. Total gross output in the Northwestern Colorado model is defined in terms of market receipts; so an adjustment of the value of the hay crop, as reported in Colorado Agricultural Statistics, was made. Specifically, the ratio of hay marketings reported in the 1969 Federal Census of Agriculture to the 1969 market value of hay reported in Colorado Agricultural Statistics was applied to the latter's 1974 report.

The Colorado Agricultural Statistics also has a tendency to aggregate certain "minor" crops not only across crop lines but also county lines. For example, potatoes are identified for Morgan, Weld, and the respective counties in the San Luis Valley; one value is then reported for the rest of the state. Hence, while potatoe production is not important in Northwestern Colorado, precise documentation of that fact is not possible because of aggregated reporting for crops.

Fruit production, on the other hand, is extremely important in select counties in Northwestern Colorado; yet the production of individual fruit crops is reported at the state level in the Colorado

Agricultural Statistics. Publications such as Colorado Fruit Tree

Survey (3) and Colorado Peach Tree Survey (4) were used in this instance to prorate the state production. Further, there were some upward price adjustments made based on information secured while interviewing packers.

Other particular adjustments were not attempted on the irrigated and dry agricultural output as reported by Colorado Agricultural

Statistics. The publication is not well enough documented to determine whether or not an adjustment is warranted. Further, all production indices available are for the entire state and are highly aggregated (2).

Procedures employed to secure and report information are not documented in Colorado Agricultural Statistics. A regional analyst must be concerned with the quality of data but really has no basis for judgment without supporting documentation. For example, onion production is reported in Colorado Agricultural Statistics for the Western Slope (no county delineation); the Bureau of Reclamation also reports onion production in the annual publication of Water and Land Resource

Accomplishments for farms served by the Grand Valley and Uncompaniere projects (71) and (72). The Bureau's report suggests there is a definite element of randomness involved, i.e., both acreage planted and production yields vary over time. By contrast, the acreages reported by Colorado Agricultural Statistics are rather consistent. It should also be mentioned that in certain years the Bureau's publication reports

than the state publication does for the entire Western Slope; and for the counties involved, the authors suggest that it makes a considerable difference in the aggregate value of marketings.

Data on the value of marketings of livestock is reported in Colorado Agricultural Statistics for final marketings only. Further, the data are reported at the state level. Thus, not only are interfarm transactions not reported, but the relative value of individual county output cannot be directly determined. Thus, the value of the total gross output of the livestock sector in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study was determined from information secured from the Cooperative Extension Service, Department of Economics, Colorado State University.

As indicated when discussing interview rates in this chapter, determination of the gross flows for agriculture and livestock production was highly dependent on information secured from the Cooperative Extension Service. This was supplemented with data published in Cost of Producing Crops in the Irrigated Southwest (82) and information supplied by the Northwest Colorado Agri-Business Association (38) and Tri River Agri-Business Farm Management Association (44). Government payments to the agricultural sectors were determined from the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Annual Report - Colorado (45).

Data on the employment of labor in the agricultural sectors is not readily available from published sources. The estimate of the dollars paid for wages in each of the sectors was based on the Cooperative Extension Service information. The number of people employed in agriculture in Northwestern Colorado as identified in the 1970 Census of

Population (59) was taken as a proxy value to obtain an employment coefficient. Some coefficient value was required by the computer program that was used to manipulate data for the employment analysis portion of this study. Thus, the employment coefficient is the same for all agricultural sectors.

The aggregate value for agricultural services was estimated by using the Cooperative Extension Service information and checked for consistency by interview. The Colorado Forest Products Directory (27) does not identify any great number of firms in the forestry sector of the Northwestern Colorado economy. Thus, the total gross output estimate for the forestry sector was made based on information secured in one interview.

In enemary, adequate data do appear to exist for the agricultural sectors of the Northwestern Colorado economy. However, particular concern is noted for the high level of aggregation in some cases, a lack of published interfarm transaction values for livestock, and lack of good data on employment. Also, it appears that there is a general lack of documentation, a deficiency which must be overcome in order to judge the quality of the data.

## Mining

Publications by the Federal Government were not considered for inclusion in the mining division of the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study. At the national level, and sometimes the state level, information pertaining to mining production quantities and values can be accurad. Because of the characteristically small number of operators, information on specific minerals in specific counties is

rarely published. Examples of Federal publications for which this is largely true are: Census of Minerals Industries (58); Minerals

Yearbook (70); Statistical Data of the Uranium Industry (75); and

Uranium Exploration Expenditures and Plans (76).

State of Colorado documents were relied upon quite extensively, but not without reservation. The most comprehensive, yet the most limiting, state document is A Summary of Mineral Industry Activities in Colorado (13). This publication lists production by mineral value and by county. Listing by mineral value has several very specific limitations. For some outputs the unit price is not always given; thus quantity calculation becomes difficult, if not nearly impossible. Where unit price is given it is always applied to all production; thus, for example, the market value for metallurgical coal is published as being equal to the market value for other types of coal. The unit price for ores refers to a refined market value; thus when ore is subject to reduction away from the county in which it was mined, the value accruing to the mining county is overstated. One last criticism is leveled at the practice in the publication of adding nearly \$50 million to the value of state mineral production and footnoting it as minerals mined out of, but refined in, the state; no indication is given as to what the minerals are or where they are refined.

Data are available monthly in the Monthly Report (15) and annually in Coal (14) on the production of coal. Tonnage values, labor employed, and days worked are reported for every coal mine in the state. Barrels of oil pumped, cubic feet of gas produced, and the volume of injections are published for every well in the state in Oil and Gas Statistics (17).

ţ.

Thus, the researcher is left with the task of determining a unit value when information on coal, oil, and gas is secured from these sources.

As indicated in the comments on interview rates (Table C-1) earlier in this chapter, the Pederson and Rudawsky study, "The Role of Minerals and Energy in the Colorado Economy," was used as a data source in the mining division, especially as it related to oil and gas production. A publication that complemented <u>Oil and Gas Statistics</u> when identifying potential interviewees for the oil and gas sector was the Rocky Mountain Petroleum Directory (81).

In the final analysis, the total gross output values used in the mining division of the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study were estimated based on information gained by interviewing. Federal publications fail to publish sufficient information at the county level and state publications leave much to be desired with respect to unit pricing. Furthermore, state documents do not necessarily identify the economic production that takes place in some counties.

# Construction

Mention of originally underestimating the total gross output for the construction sector is made previously; how total gross output was finally determined for the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study is explained in Footnote 46 of Chapter II (page 25). The original underestimation emanated from insufficient data. Specifically, publications such as the Census of Construction Industries (50) and (51) and the Construction Review (65) aggregate on the state level and hence are insdequate for estimation of activities in individual counties. The publication, Construction Reports - Housing Authorized by Building

Permits and Public Contracts (63), though county specific, fails to account for all construction. Further, the reference accounts for permits and coutracts authorized during a given period. In a relatively small county there is not necessarily sufficient volume to either avoid "lumpy" reporting or maintenance of the assumption that level of work in a given period is equal to the dollar value of the authorizations. Finally, the Construction Reports do not suggest how much of the job is involved with various types of contractors so that an estimate of value of intersector transactions can be made. In conclusion, the authors saw no alternative but to estimate total gross output for the construction sector from primary data.

# Manufacturing

The 1972 <u>Census of Manufacturers</u> (57) published detail for the electric and electronic machinery, equipment, and supplies (SIC 36) sector in Mesa County; this accounts for about one-fifth the total manufacturing activity in that county. No other detail was published for Mesa County or for any of the other counties in Northwestern Coloardo. <u>County Business Patterns</u> (64) is fairly complete in a broad sense but still quite restrictive in what detail is published. Disclosure requirements preclude publishing critical information and result in a high degree of aggregation. Even in those sectors where the data are published, limitations are imposed because seasonal variations (e.g., as in food processing) are not reflected in the first quarter reporting. Finally, <u>County Business Patterns</u> still employs 1967 SIC code definitions. As a result, neither of these publications were of much use for the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study. In fact,

levels of output for the manufacturing sectors in the Northwestern Colorado economy had to be estimated from primary data.

The Directory of Colorado Manufacturers (79), published annually by the Bureau of Economic and Business Research (University of Colorado), was used extensively in the determination of which manufacturers to interview. The publication identifies firms by four-digit SIC classification, location, and employment range. Key personalities are also identified. Some information in the Directory of Colorado Manufacturers is quite dated, but the document is nonetheless an invaluable reference.

Before interviewing a given owner or manager, an attempt was always made to gain a "feel" for the type of firm that was involved. For example, secondary research was done on what the output per worker might be and what might be expected in terms of value added. A publication quite often referred to for answers to these types of questions was the Annual Survey of Manufacturers (48). Though the information contained therein was not directly used in the Northwestern Colorado study it did provide for an ongoing consistency check. Specifically, the document contains, on a four-digit SIC basis, ratios pertaining to inputs and outputs of the manufacturing sectors of the national economy.

In summary, detailed secondary data do not exist for manufacturing activities in Northwestern Colorado. Aggregate levels of economic activity for individual sectors must be determined from primary data and checked for consistency by observing secondary data.

# Transportation, Communications, Electric, Gas, and Sanitary Services

Secondary data for the transportation, communications, electric, gas, and sanitary services sectors is quite available and generally speaking, of fairly good quality. Despite this, almost none of it was used in the Northwestern Colorado study. The reasons for this are largely in the nature of the filing system at the Colorado Public Utilities Commission (PUC) (23) and methods employed prior to seeking an interview with any given firm. Specifically, before any single interview was conducted an attempt was made to learn as much as possible about the firm in question. This meant that for firms in the public utilities sectors the research started with an examination of the reports filed with the PUC. The PUC reports were readily accessible so they were also used to estimate levels of total gross output where applicable. For those cases in which the PUC does not have jurisdiction, because municipal owned enterprises are involved, estimates were made based on information filed with the Colorado State Auditor (24). Bureau of Reclamation power activities were estimated based on interview. Despite the above mentioned relatively high incidence of direct information. secondary data sources still merit comment.

The Interstate Commerce Commission publishes materials pertaining to various forms of transportation on a regional basis: examples are Transport Statistics in the United States: Pipelines (36) and Transport Statistics in the United States: Motor Carriers (37). These types of documents were not really helpful in the Northwestern Colorado study because their use necessitates a significant amount of prorating. A

Administration. As a result, the best alternative was to estimate the level of economic activity in the transportation sector from PUC reports and information gained in interviews.

United States Postal Service (U.S.P.S.) revenues were determined by examining postal receipt schedules for each post office in Northwestern Colorado. Since Congress created the independent U.S.P.S., postal receipts for individual post offices are no longer published. Accordingly, this information was obtained directly from the Sectional Center Facility (SCF) managers (77). The SCF manager in Grand Junction was interviewed to gain information on the expense patterns for the U.S.P.S. Despite the accuracy of this information, it is suggested that the regional accounting perspective can lead to an erronous conclusion about the U.S.P.S. specifically, in earlier discussion, it was explained that the postal sector's total gross output was defined in terms of an expense level rather than a revenue level. The reason for doing this is that the imputed postal revenue for Northwestern Colorado is higher than the actual revenue but it is not known by how much higher. For example, Mountain Bell mails statements to Grand Junction customers from Denver; the actual revenue for the U.S.P.S. is identified with the Denver Post Office, yet a portion of the expenses connected with the handling of those statements is absorbed by the Grand Junction Post Office. Thus, a portion of the actual Denver revenue imputes to Grand Junction.

Information on rural telephone systems can be obtained from the

Annual Statistical Report: Rural Telephone Borrowers (47). Territorial

integrity for rural systems in Northwestern Colorado is such that the

information is straightforward and does not have to be allocated.

Mountain Bell's activities, on the other hand, had to be estimated by prorating the various revenues and charges identified in their annual report to the Colorado PUC. This was greatly facilitated by having additional information supplied directly by the company. Radio and television activities were estimated by prorating data contained in the Federal Communications Commission's Annual Report (30). Specifically, the data identified revenue for stations outside the metropolitan area. The basis for allocation was the volume of retail sales as identified in the Annual Report (21) of the Colorado Department of Revenue.

Published secondary data were of limited use for estimating electric and gas revenues. For example, examination of Annual Statistical Report: Rural Electric Borrowers (46) does not reveal that Moon Lake Electric Association (Utah) has significant operations in Northwestern Colorado. Information contained in Statistics of Publicly Owned Electric Utilities in the United States (34) is reported on a company basis and Northwestern Colorado is only part of the territory of the Public Service Company of Colorado. Statistics of Publicly Owned Electric Utilities in the United States (35) does not identify all the municipal operations in Northwestern Colorado. Finally, the Bureau of Reclamation's power activities cannot be reasonably estimated by using the information contained in Water and Land Resource Accomplishments:

Summary Report (72). Thus, the estimation of total gross output for the electricity and natural gas sector was determined by the information obtained from PUC reports, the State Auditor, and interviews.

The water, sewerage, and sanitary services sector is characterized by a high incidence of special tax districts. Complete information on

the activities of these districts is not published anywhere. Thus the audit reports filed with the Colorado State Auditor were examined in detail to secure information for this sector. For those instances where private enterprise is involved, the information was obtained at the PUC office.

In summary, though considerable information is published for the transportation, communications, electric, gas, and sanitary services sectors, problems associated with excessive aggregation, territorial integrity, and incomplete reporting precluded use of the information in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study.

## Trade - Wholesale and Retail

As indicated previously, examination of Robert Morris Associates'

Annual Statement Studies (42) suggested that to arrive at any meaningful coefficients for the trade sectors a rather exhaustive and detailed study of the trade sectors would have been warranted. Considering the time and financial constraint imposed on the research such a detailed study could not be justified. Accordingly, very little primary data were secured for the trade sectors in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study.

Secondary data sources used to estimate the levels of total gross output included the <u>Census of Wholesale Trade</u> (62), <u>The Census of Retail Trade</u> (60), and the Colorado Department of Revenue's <u>Annual Report</u> (21). Both Census publications referred to calendar year 1972, used 1972 SIC classifications, and needed updating to reflect 1974 conditions. Difficulties encountered in this activity emanated from the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment data being classified

by 1967 SIC codes and the lack of price indices for Colorado. The Department of Revenue report is classified by 1967 SIC descriptions and pertains to a fiscal year. Other problems associated with the use of the Department of Revenue report stem from the failure to identify the ratio of tax exempt sales at the county level and what appears to be a rather significant understatement of the volume of wholesale activities. The total gross output values were thus estimated as follows. Mean values were calculated for each trade sector using two annual reports of the Colorado Department of Revenue; the state exemption ratio for each respective sector was used to increase reported county retail sales; output values were shifted to conform to 1972 SIC descriptions by using ratios describing the relationship between Colorado labor data for 1972 and the wholesale and retail census for 1972.

Select interviews were used to gain information relative to what values should be used for regional flows and margining of the trade sectors. Further, information contained in publications such as "Economic Impact of Alternative Energy Supply Policies in Colorado" (26) and "An Interindustry Analysis of the Colorado River Basin in 1960 with Projections to 1980 and 2010" (78) was used to routinely check for consistency. Given these limitations, caution must be expressed in regard to the accuracy of the coefficients in the trade sector. It is recommended that an in-depth study of this sector, employing primary data collection techniques, be undertaken in the near future.

### Finance, Insurance and Real Estate

Secondary data on the activities of commercial banks is contained in Sheshunoff and Company's The Banks of Colorado (43). This is a

income statement for each bank in the state. A source such as <u>Bank</u>

Operating Statistics (32), published by the Federal Deposit Insurance

Corporation, aggregates information by region; none of these regions

correspond to the delineation of Northwestern Colorado. Accordingly,

the Sheshunoff data was used to identify the level of economic activity

for commercial banks.

Financial Statements - Member Savings and Loan Associations of the Federal Home Loan Bank System (33). Association activities are identified by state total, metropolitan area, and the area outside the metropolitan area. Thus, to estimate total gross output for savings and loan associations, the activity outside the metropolitan area was prorated to Northwestern Colorado by using the personal adjusted gross income figures reported in the Colorado Department of Revenue's Annual Report (21). Information pertaining to the activities of the Federal Credit Banks' operations was gained from filed reports (31).

Insurance activities were estimated from information gained largely from interview. The Colorado Division of Insurance publishes the Insurance Industry in Colorado: Statistical Report (20). This document identifies, on a company basis and a line basis, premiums earned and losses incurred. As a first approximation the difference between premiums and losses was prorated by population to estimate Northwestern Colorado insurance activity. This first approximation was then modified based on information gained in interviews.

Real estate activities were estimated by first obtaining the value of documentary fees paid in each of the nine counties (29). From the

documentary fees paid an estimate was made of the transaction values involved and a six percent commission was allowed on the same. The estimated commissions were used in turn as the approximation for the total gross output of the real estate sector.

In summary, direct information pertaining to finance,
insurance, and real estate does not exist in published form for
Northwestern Colorado. Estimates must be made using a combination of
published secondary data and information gained from primary sources.

### Services

Data sources for services are grouped into three categories for discussion purposes. The first part of the discussion will focus on data sources pertinent to the health and medical care field; the second pertains to data sources for the education sector; and final portion comments on data sources for all other services.

Information pertaining to institutional health care was secured directly from the providers of the services. These providers include the Veterans Administration Hospital, the State Home, private and public hospitals, and nursing homes. Contact with the nursing homes was facilitated by an interview with the Colorado Health Care Association (25). A partial list of hospitals and nursing homes in the region is contained in the <u>Directory - Medicare Providers and Suppliers of Services</u> (66).

The value of services provided by physicians, dentists, optometrists, and others was estimated by using secondary information. For a first approximation, information contained in "National Health Expenditures" (28) was adjusted by using the index values published in

Medicare: Health Insurance for the Aged - Geographical Index of

Reimbursement by State and County (67). The resulting figure was then

adjusted based on information gained in interviews and secured from the

Colorado State Department of Health (7), the Colorado Department of

Social Services (22), and the Social Security Administration (68).

With the exception of information on junior colleges, data are readily available for education activities in Northwestern Colorado. Data pertaining to junior colleges and Mesa College were secured directly from the respective institutions. The Colorado Commission on Higher Education (CCHE) (5) provided information on other institutions of higher education in Northwestern Colorado. Revenues and Expenditures: Colorado School Districts (6), published annually by the Colorado Department of Education, was used to identify the level of total gross output for public schools. This document is rather comprehensive and identifies revenues and expenditures for each school district in the state. Data secured from the CCHE and the Department of Education's revenue and expenditure report were supplemented with information gained in interviews. Because of the high quality data described above, the Bureau of the Census data contained in Finances of School Districts (55) were not used in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study.

The information contained in <u>Census of Selected Service Industries</u>

(61) was used as a first approximation of the total gross output for all other services. Colorado Department of Labor and Employment data were used to update the census data to an approximation of 1974 conditions. Concomitantly, the data that pertained to dental laboratories in this publication were removed to the health and medical care sector.

Membership organizations, museums, research services, accounting services, and miscellaneous services not elsewhere classified are not included in the Census of Selected Service Industries. Accordingly, the following approximations were arbitrarily made. Approximately 266 people are employed by membership organizations and receive about \$950,000; the payroll value was multiplied by three to approximate the total economic activity of this sector. Museum activities were identified in the financial statements of the various governments and were allowed to remain there. Research and accounting services account for approximately 198 employees and an annual payroll of \$1,413,901. The productivity rates observed for law offices in the Census of Selected Service Industries were applied to this payroll value.

As with the trade sectors, very little primary information was collected for the services not elsewhere classified sector. Accordingly, given this limitation, caution is expressed with regard to the accuracy of the coefficients in this sector in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study. Further, it is recommended that an in-depth study of the sector be conducted employing primary data collection techniques.

## Public Administration

Rather extensive information on local and county government activities is contained in the Bureau of Census publications, Compendium of Government Finances (52), Finances of County Governments (53), Finances of Municipalities and Township Governments (54), and Compendium of Public Employment (56). Two considerations precluded the use of these documents in the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study. First, the desire to have even more detailed data to facilitate the separation

of local and county government enterprises. Second, preliminary investigation suggested that the dollar increase in a number of local and county government budgets was rather significant between 1972 and 1974.

Secondary data published by the state were used extensively during the preliminary stages of the research but were later replaced with primary data. The Local Government Financial Compendium (11) does not list expenditures and revenues for communities under 1,000 people. Further, the publication does not account for special tax districts. The Division of Property Taxation's Annual Report to the Governor and the Legislature (12) identifies valuations, levies, and property tax revenues for every local tax authority. The Colorado Department of Revenue's Annual Report (21) contains information sufficient to estimate local sales tax collections. Though each publication contains good quality data, the Northwestern Colorado study eventually used the files of the State Auditor. The audit reports filed here are more complete, more detailed, and more extensive in coverage than the state publications.

Data pertaining to the total expenditures of the State of Colorado were secured directly from the Colorado Department of Planning and Budget (19). A recent executive order had caused all state budgets to be regionalized according to the various planning regions in the state. Though the planning regions do not conform to the delineation of the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study, the budget regionalization greatly facilitated the search for data on state expenditures. Information on tax payments to the State of Colorado is contained in the Department of Revenue's Annual Report (21). An estimation of revenues

from hunting and fishing licenses was made based on information in Colorado Big Game Harvest (16). Revenue generated because of activities on state lands was estimated by using the State Board of Land Commissioners' Summary of Transactions (18).

Following the collection of the above data, interviews were arranged with the agencies that made significant expenditures in behalf of the State of Colorado. Scheduling the expense patterns of the Colorado Department of Highways was greatly facilitated by the use of Colorado's Annual Highway Report (8). In summary, the data secured on the State of Colorado pecuniary activities in Northwestern Colorado were not difficult to obtain and are rather comprehensive.

Revenues accruing to the Federal Government account were largely estimated by prorating from a Colorado base. The Treasury publication, Combined Statement of Receipts, Expenditures and Balances of the United States Government for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1975 (73), identified revenue by state and by category. Thus the figure published for Colorado was adjusted by using information in the Colorado Department of Revenues' Annual Report (21) and the Treasury's Statistics of Income 1969, Zip Code Area Data from Individual Income Tax Returns (74). This first approximation was then adjusted by using information gained from the Forest Service, the Bureau of Land Management, the Bureau of Reclamation, and the publication Public Land Statistics (69).

For a first approximation of Federal expenditures, data were secured from Federal Outlays in Colorado (39). This publication shows estimates for Federal outlays by agency and by county. Many of the estimates are prorated by using standardized criteria. Thus, the research for the Northwestern Colorado interindustry study sought to

the Veteran's Administration's Annual Report (80) and the Railroad Retirement Board's Annual Report (41), were examined and the data so secured provated to Northwestern Colorado. This practice was too limiting so more direct information was obtained. Specifically, the major agencies were contacted: these include the Social Security Administration, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Bureau of Land Management, the Geological Survey, the Forest Service, and the Energy Research and Development Administration. The Veterans Administration Hospital and the United States Postal Service, as mentioned earlier, were also contacted.

In summary, the data on Federal Government revenues are approximations derived largely from state totals. The data pertaining to

Federal expenditures are largely estimations based on information gained in interviews.

Households were not interviewed for the Northwestern Colorado study. Further, the data pertaining to household income and expenses are a direct result of the estimations made for the income and expenses of the other sectors in the study.

### SOURCES CITED IN APPENDIX C

- 1. Colorado. Department of Agriculture. Colorado Crop and Livestock
  Reporting Service. Colorado Agricultural Statistics. Annual.
  [01, 02, 07]
- Colorado. Department of Agriculture. Colorado Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. Colorado Farm Income. March 1975.
   [01, 02]
- 3. Colorado. Department of Agriculture. Colorado Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. Colorado Fruit Tree Survey 1967, by William C. Dobbs. February 1968.
  [01]
- 4. Colorado. Department of Agriculture. Colorado Crop and Livestock
  Reporting Service. Colorado Peach Tree Survey 1971, by
  Larry C. Johnson. February 1972.
  [01]
- Colorado. Department of Education. Commission on Higher Education. Files.
   [822]
- 6. Colorado. Department of Education. Revenues and Expenditures:

  Colorado School Districts. Annual.
  [821]
- 7. Colorado. Department of Health. Files. [80]
- 8. Colorado. Department of Highways. Colorado's Annual Highway

  Report. Annual.
  [state highways, state patrol]
- 9. Colorado. Department of Labor and Employment. Colorado Manpower

  Review. Monthly.

  [employment, earnings]
- 10. Colorado. Department of Labor and Employment. Files. [employment, earnings]
- 11. Colorado. Department of Local Affairs. Division of Local
  Government. Local Government Financial Compendium. Annual
  [local and county governments]

- 12. Colorado. Department of Local Affairs. Division of Property
  Taxation. Annual Report to the Governor and the Legislature.
  Annual.
  [valuations, levies, property tax revenues]
- 13. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Mines.
  A Summary of Mineral Industry Activities in Colorado. Annual.
  [10, 12, 13, 14]
- 14. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Mines.

  Coal. Annual.

  [12]
- 15. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Mines.

  State Coal Mine Inspection. Monthly Report. Monthly.

  [12]
- 16. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Wildlife.

  Colorado Big Game Harvest. Annual.

  [hunting and fishing statistics]
- 17. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. Oil and Gas Statistics. Annual.
  [13]
- 18. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. State Board of Land Commissioners. Summary of Transactions. Annual.

  [public land administration]
- 19. Colorado. Department of Planning and Budget. Files.
  [state government]
- 20. Colorado. Department of Regulatory Agencies. Division of Insurance. Insurance Industry in Colorado: Statistical Report. Annual.

  [63, 64]
- 21. Colorado. Department of Revenue. Annual Report. Annual [population, income, state tax revenues, motor vehicle registrations]
- 22. Colorado. Department of Social Services. Files. [80, 83, households]
- 23. Colorado. Public Utilities Commission. Files. [40-42, 45-49]
- 24. Colorado. State Auditor. Files.
  [local and county governments, special tax districts]
- 25. Colorado Health Care Association, Denver, Colorado. Files. [80]

- 26. Colorado School of Mines. Department of Mineral Economics.
  "Economic Impact of Alternative Energy Supply Policies in Colorado." J. P. Mather, Project Coordinator. (Economic Development Administration Project No. 05-06-01465 and the Four Corners Regional Commission.) Golden, Colorado: Department of Mineral Economics, Colorado School of Mines, 1975. (Xerox reproduction.)
  [energy sources, methodology]
- 27. Colorado State University. State Forest Service. Colorado
  Forest Products Directory. Biennial.
  [directory, forest products]
- 28. Cooper, Barbara S.; Worthington, Nancy L.; and Piro, Paula A.
  "National Health Expenditures 1929-73." Social Security
  Bulletin, February 1974, 3-19, 48.
  [80]
- 29. County Clerk Offices, respective counties. Files.
  [65]
- 30. Federal Communications Commission. <u>Annual Report</u>. Annual. [48]
- 31. Federal Credit Banks of Wichita. Files.
  [61]
- 32. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Bank Operating Statistics.
  Annual.
  [60]
- 33. Federal Home Loan Bank Board. Combined Financial Statements Member Savings and Loan Associations of the Federal Home
  Loan Bank System. Annual.

  [61]
- 34. Federal Power Commission. Statistics of Privately Owned Electric
  Utilities in the United States. Washington, D. C.:
  Government Printing Office. Annual.
  [491, 493]
- 35. Federal Power Commission. Statistics of Publicly Owned Electric

  Utilities in the United States. Washington, D. C.:

  Government Printing Office. Annual.

  [491, 493]
- 36. Interstate Commerce Commission. Bureau of Accounts. Transport

  Statistics in the United States: Pipe Lines. Annual.

  [46]
- 37. Interstate Commerce Commission. Bureau of Accounts. <u>Transport Statistics in the United States: Motor Carriers</u>. Annual.

38. Northwest Colorado Agri-Business Association, Meeker, Colorado. Files.
[01, 02, 07]

39. Office of Economic Opportunity. Federal Outlays in Colorado.
Annual. (Starting in fiscal 1975 published by Community Services Administration.)
[Federal Government]

40. Pederson, John A., and Rudawsky, Oded. "The Role of Minerals and Energy in the Colorado Economy." (U. S. Bureau of Mines Grant No. G-0122090.) Golden, Colorado: Department of Mineral Economics, Colorado School of Mines, 1974. (Xerox reproduction.)
[10, 12, 13, 14, 29, 32, 33, 491, 493, methodology]

- 41. Railroad Retirement Board. Annual Report. Annual. [households]
- 42. Robert Morris Associates. Annual Statement Studies.
  Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Annual.
  [financial statements]
- 43. Sheshunoff & Company, Inc. The Banks of Colorado. Austin,
  Texas, 1974.
  [60]
- 44. Tri River Agri-Business Farm and Ranch Management Association, Delta, Colorado. Files.
  [01, 02, 07]
- 45. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service. Annual Report Colorado. Annual. [01, 02]
- 46. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Rural Electrification
  Administration. Annual Statistical Report: Rural Electric
  Borrowers. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  Annual.
  [49]
- 47. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Rural Electrification
  Administration. Annual Statistical Report: Rural Telephone
  Borrowers. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  Annual.

  [48]
- 48. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Annual
  Survey of Manufacturers. Washington, D. C.: Government
  Printing Office. Annual.
  [20 39]

- 49. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Agriculture; 1969. Volume 1, Area Reports, part 41, Colorado, section 2, County Data. Washington, D. C.:
  Government Printing Office, 1972.
  [01, 02, 07, 08]
- 50. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Construction Industries, 1972: Area Series, Mountain States, CC72-A-8. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.

  [15, 16, 17]
- 51. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of
  Construction Industries, 1972: Industry Series, (various
  titles). Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office,
  1975.
  [15, 16, 17]
- 52. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of

  Governments: 1972. Volume 4, Government Finances, Number 5,

  Compendium of Government Finances. Washington, D. C.:

  Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [local and county governments]
- 53. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of
  Governments: 1972. Volume 4, Government Finances. Number 3,
  Finances of County Governments. Washington, D. C.: Government
  Printing Office, 1974.

  [county governments]
- 54. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of
  Governments: 1972. Volume 4, Government Finances. Number 4.

  Finances of Municipalities and Township Governments.

  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [local governments]
- 55. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of

  Governments: 1972. Volume 4, Government Finances. Number 1,

  Finances of School Districts. Washington, D. C.: Government

  Printing Office, 1974.

  [821]
- 56. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Governments: 1972. Volume 3, Public Employment. Number 2, Compendium of Public Employment. Washington, D. C.:
  Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [local and county public employment]
- 57. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Manufacturers, 1972: Area Series, Colorado, MC 72(3)-6.
  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.

  [20 39]

- 58. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Mineral Industries, 1972: Area Series, Mountain States, MIC72(2)-8. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.

  [10, 12, 13, 14]
- 59. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of
  Population, 1970: General Social and Economic Characteristics,
  Final Report, Colorado, PC(1)-C7. Washington, D. C.:
  Government Printing Office, 1972.
  [households]
- 60. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Retail Trade, 1972: Area Series, Colorado, RC 72-A-6.

  Wachington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [52-59]
- 61. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Selected Service Industries, 1972: Area Series, Colorado, 72-A-6. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [70 79, 8072, 81, 891]
- 62. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Wholesale Trade, 1972: Area Series, Colorado, WC 72-A-6.

  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [50 51]
- 63. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Construction
  Reports Housing Authorized by Building Permits and Public
  Contracts. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  Annual.

  [51]
- 64. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. County

  Business Patterns, Colorado. Washington, D. C.: Government

  Printing Office. Annual.

  [employment and earnings]
- 65. U. S. Department of Commerce. Domestic and International Business
  Administration. Bureau of Domestic Commerce. Construction
  Review. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  Monthly.
  [15, 16, 17]
- 66. U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social
  Security Administration. <u>Directory Medicare Providers and Suppliers of Services</u>. Washington, D. C.: Government
  Printing Office, 1974.

  [directory, institutional health medical care]

67. U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social
Security Administration. Medicare: Health Insurance for the
Aged - Geographical Index of Reimbursement by State and
County. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
Annual.
[80]

68. U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social Security Administration, Grand Junction, Colorado. Files. [80, households]

69. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Land Management.

Public Land Statistics. Washington, D. C.: Government
Printing Office. Annual.

[public land administration]

- 70. U. S. Dapartment of the Interior. Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook, Vol. III, Area Reports, Domestic. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office. Annual.
  [10, 12, 13, 14]
- 71. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. Water and Land Resource Accomplishments: Statistical Appendix I.
  Annual.
  [01, 497]
- 72. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. Water and Land Resource Accomplishments: Summary Report. Annual. [01, 491, 497]
- 73. U. S. Department of the Treasury. Bureau of Government Financial Operations. Combined Statement of Receipts, Expenditures and Balances of the United States Government for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1975. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.

  [Federal Government finances]

74. U. S. Department of the Treasury. Internal Revenue Service.

Statistics of Income 1969, ZIP Code Area Data from Individual
Income Tax Returns. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing

[households, Federal Government]

Office, 1972.

75. U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration. Statistical

Data of the Uranium Industry. Grand Junction, Colorado.

Annual.

[10]

76. U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration. <u>Uranium Exploration Expenditures and Plans</u>. Grand Junction, Colorado. Annual.

[10]

- 77. U. S. Postal Service. Sectional Center Facility Managers in Golden and Grand Junction, Colorado. Files.
  [43]
- 78. Udis, Bernard, ed. "An Interindustry Analysis of the Colorado River Basin in 1960 with Projections to 1980 and 2010."

  (The Federal Water Pollution Control Administration Contract No. WA 67-4.) Boulder, Colorado: Department of Economics, University of Colorado, 1968. (Stencil reproduction.)

  [methodology, input-output]
- 79. University of Colorado. Bureau of Economic and Business Research.

  Directory of Colorado Manufacturers. Annual.

  [directory, manufacturers]
- 80. Veterans Administration. Administrator of Veterans Affairs.

  Annual Report. Annual.

  [80]
- 81. Western Oil Reporter. Rocky Mountain Petroleum Directory.

  Denver, Colorado: Hart Publications, Inc. Annual.

  [directory, petroleum industry]
- 82. Wright, N. Gene; Stubblefield, Thomas M.; Gronewoller, Henry P.;
  Crim, Harry E.; and Swope, Daniel A. Cost of Producing
  Crops in the Irrigated Southwest. Part IV, Colorado.
  Technical Bulletin 221. Tucson, Arizona: Agricultural
  Experiment Station, University of Arizona, September 1975.
  [01]

## APPENDIX D

# COMPONENTS OF TRANSFER, DEPRECIATION, AND INVESTMENT ACCOUNTS

D-1	COMPONENTS	OF	TRANSFER ACCOUNT ROW
D-2	COMPONENTS	OF	TRANSFER ACCOUNT COLUMN
D-3	COMPONENTS	OF	DEPRECIATION ACCOUNT ROW
D-4	COMPONENTS	OF	INVESTMENT ACCOUNT COLUMN

## APPENDIX D-1

# COMPONENTS OF TRANSFER ACCOUNT ROW

		Dollars Charged	
Colu	mn Headed	Transfer Account	Explanation
1.	FRUIT AG	36,380	insurance loss pool
2.	IRRIG AG	145,512	insurance loss pool
3.	DRYLAND AG	124,852	insurance loss pool
4.	DAIRY AG	2,217	insurance loss pool
5.	LIVESTOCK	352,403	insurance loss pool
6.	AG SER, FOR	37,768	insurance loss pool
7.	metal min	457,297	insurance loss pool
8.	COAL UNDER	569,225	insurance loss pool
9.	COAL STRIP	157,501	insurance loss pool
10.	Pet-nat GS	122,578	insurance loss pool
11.	nonmet min	35,393	insurance loss pool
12.	CONSTRUCTO	1,466,344	insurance loss pool
13.	FOOD PROC	70,750	insurance loss pool
14.	WOOD PROD	44,107	insurance loss pool
15.	PRIN-PUB	27,812	insurance loss pool
16.	CONCRETE	71,119	insurance loss pool
17.	PAB METALS	37,006	insurance loss pool
18.	ELECTRONIC	160,607	insurance loss pool
19.	MFG NEC	115,347	insurance loss pool
20.	TRANSPORT	350,870	insurance loss pool
22.	COMMUNICAT	166,858	insurance loss pool
		1,107,017	pension plan
23.	ELEC-GS UT	238,494	insurance loss pool
24.	Water-San	90,501	insurance loss pool
25.	WHOLESALE	257,196	insurance loss pool
26.	GS-AUT DLR	451,622	insurance loss pool
27.	EAT-DR-LOD	909,502	insurance loss pool
28.	TRADE NEC	1,668,591	insurance loss pool
29.	FINANCE	64,522	insurance loss pool
20	THE D DOM	32,035,582	interest payments
30.	INS-R EST	133,606	insurance loss pool
21	WEDTCAT	23,184,712	insurance financial surplus
31. 32.	MEDICAL SERVIC NEC	<b>827,</b> 783	insurance loss pool
34.		810,623	insurance loss pool
35.	EDUCATION	20,497,708 655,316	insurance loss pool insurance loss pool
36.	SOCIAL SER	13,305	insurance loss pool
<b>50.</b>	SOCIAL SEK	6,302,427	transfer monies for households
37.	LOCAL RD	93,750	insurance loss pool
38.	LOC GV NEC	221,996	insurance loss pool
40.	STATE GV	6,213,372	transfer monies for households
40.	SIALE GV	34,717,632	Colorado financial surplus
41.	FEDERAL GV	75,158,074	transfer monies for households
47.	SPANNER GA	71,739,542	Federal financial surplus
42.	SHALE RAD	53,183	insurance loss pool
45.	EXP-COLO	829,756	insurance loss pool
45.	EXP-WORLD	207,439	insurance loss pool
40.	EAT -WORLD		•
		283,035,197	TOTAL

.

APPENDIX D-2

## COMPONENTS OF TRANSFER ACCOUNT COLUMN

Row Headed	Dollars From Transfer Account	Explanation
12. CONSTRUCTION	1,463,176	insurance loss pool
26. GS-AUT DLR	1,104,696	insurance loss pool
28. TRADE NEC	356,220	insurance loss pool
30. INS-R EST	154,012	insurance loss pool
31. MEDICAL	10,853,557	insurance loss pool
32. SERVIC NEC	8,086,510	insurance loss pool
34. HOUSEHOLDS	6,459,332	insurance loss pool
	6,302,427	social service transfer payments
	6,213,372	State transfer payments
	75,158,074	Federal transfer payments
	243,746,090	close profits, rent, interest, and dividends into households
42. PROFITS	-243,746,090	close profits, rent, interest, and dividends into households
	27,728,562	interest paid by finance
45. IMP-COLO	1,170,017	communication pension plan
	4,307,020	interest paid to out of region concerns
	23,184,712	insurance financial surplus
	34,717,632	Colorado financial surplus
	496,546	insurance loss pool
46. IMP-OUT	71,739,542	federal financial surplus
	3,539,790	insurance loss pool
	283,035,197	TOTAL

APPENDIX D-3

COMPONENTS OF DEPRECIATION ACCOUNT ROW

Column Headed	Dollars Charged Depreciation Account	Dollars that are Depreciation	Dollars that are Net Inventory Depletion
1. FRUIT AG	235,611	235,611	***
2. IRRIG AG	1,821,805	1,821,805	***
3. DRYLAND AG	1,907,829	1,107,757	800,072
4. DAIRY AG	374,506	374,506	_
5. LIVESTOCK	4,869,137	4,869,137	*
6. AG SER, FOR	303,626	303,626	
7. METAL MIN	3,002,424	2,908,117	94,037
8. COAL UNDER	2,556,281	2,556,281	<del>-</del>
9. COAL STRIP	1,283,731	1,283,731	-
10. PET-NAT GS	6,074,743	6,074,743	-
11. NONMET MIN	367,113	266,991	100,122
12. CONSTRUCTN	2,862,594	2,862,594	-
13. FOOD PROC	1,003,560	1,003,560	-
14. WOOD PROD	699,941	699,941	-
15. PRINT-PUB	647,329	647,329	•
16. CONCRETE	527,396	527,396	-
17. FAB METALS	173,873	147,165	26,708
18. ELECTRONIC	526,995	526,995	-
19. MFG NEC	1,028,042	1,023,717	4,325
20. TRANSPORT	2,977,096	2,977,096	-
21. POSTAL SER	91,000	91,000	-
22. COMMINICAT	3,681,009	3,681,009	-
23. ELEC-GS UT	3,774,362	3,765,180	9,182
24. Water-San	1,301,693	1,250,683	51,010
25. WHOLESALE	1,636,975	1,636,975	-
26. GS-AUT DLR	1,025,076	1,025,076	-
27. EAT-DR-LOD	4,145,778	4,094,758	51,020
28. TRADE HEC	3,900,013	3,900,013	-
29. FINANCE	446,253	446,253	-
30. INS-R EST	1,210,437	1,210,437	-
31. MEDICAL	1,200,554	1,200,554	· -
32. SERVIC NEC	4,177,576	4,177,576	<del>-</del>
33. SUBTOTAL	59,834,358	58,697,612	1,136,746*

<sup>\*</sup>In the Livestock loss model, net inventory depletion was estimated to be \$9,667,518. In the Livestock normalized model net inventory depletion was assumed to be zero. The depreciation charges are the same for both models.

APPENDIX D-4

COMPONENTS OF INVESTMENT ACCOUNT COLUMN

		!	
	Dollars Charged	Dollars that	Dollars that are
	Investment	are	Net Inventory
Row Headed	Account	Investment	Accumulation
2. IRRIG AG*	2,708,952	-	2,708,952
6. AG SER, FOR	67,286	-	67,286
7. METAL MIN	13,704,988	_	13,704,988
9. COAL STRIP	191,312	-	191,312
10. PET-NAT GS	692,920	_	692,920
11. NONMET MIN	20,733	<b>-</b> ·	20,733
12. CONSTRUCTN	109,195,054	109,195,054	<u>-</u>
13. FOOD PROC	246,309	-	246,309
14. WOOD PROD	2,423,673	2,217,909	205,764
16. CONCRETE	2,748,959	2,626,619	122,340
18. ELECTRONIC	815,228	-	815,228
19. MFG NEC	352,971	352,971	<u>-</u>
24. WATER-SAN	201,816	201,816	_
25. WHOLESALE*	11,976,160	11,010,340	965,820
26. GS-AUT DLR	6,935,918	6,488,840	447,078
28. TRADE NEC	2,694,210	1,769,154	925,056
30. INS-R EST	9,884,482	9,884,482	
32. SERVIC NEC	181,162	_	181,162
33. SUBTOTAL*	165,042,133	143,747,185	21,294,948
40. STATE GV	1,809.615	1,809,615	-
45. IMP-COLO*	8,512,316	7,494,775	1,017,541
46. IMP-WORLD*	39,350,679	35,520,367	3,830,312
47. TOTAL	214,714,743	188,571,942	26,142,801

<sup>\*</sup>Figures are for the livestock normalized model; livestock loss model figures are below.

2. IRRIG AG	3,663,197	-	3,663,197
25. WHOLESALE	12,315,809	11,010,340	1,305,469
33. SUBTOTAL	166,336,027	143,747,185	22,588,842
45. IMP-COLO	8,657,467	7,494,775	1,162,692
46. IMP-WORLD	39,951,942	35,520,367	4,431,575
47. TOTAL	216,755.051	188,571,942	28,183,109

## APPENDIX E

SURVEY FORM USED FOR NORTHWESTERN COLORADO INTERINDUSTRY STUDY

COLORADO STATE UNIVÉRSITY

STATE department of economics

FORT COLLINS COLORADO 80921

**OUESTIONNAIRE** 

#### North-West Colorado Inter-industry Analysis

This quastionnaire is designed to enable you to provide us, in as simple a form as possible, a detailed account of your firm's purchases and sales in 1974. The specific focus of the analysis is the component of that activity occurring in the mine county N.W. Colorado region comprised of <u>Delta</u>, <u>Eagle</u>, <u>Garfield</u>, <u>Mesa</u>, <u>Moffat</u>, <u>Montrose</u>, <u>Pitkin</u>, <u>Rio Blanco</u> and <u>Routt</u> counties.

This information will be hendled in strictest confidence. Tour responses will be aggregated with those of other firms in your sector, eliminating the possibility that any single firm's responses will be identifiable.

#### Please dote:

- We are perficularly interested in obtaining data which are a reasonable representation of your firm's current operation. Data for a fiscal or calendar year 1974 or later are preferred. In the event that data are not available in this form, please use any consecutive twelve months sinca 1973 (please indicate).
- 2. You may indicate sales and purchases in dollar amounts or percentages.
- When exact data are not available, please use estimates. If it is not possible to provide information for certain questions, please indicate.

Name of Firm:
What is your major product(s) or service(s)? If convenient, list the appropriate SIC classification(s).
What was the total number of employees you had at any one time in 1974?  Full Time: Part Time:
Please indicate the value of your establishment's sales in 1974. TOTAL SALES:
Please indicate the value of your establishment's purchases in 1974.  TOTAL PURCHASES: \$
Flasse indicate the value of your establishment's net inventory change in 1974. (This may be a positive or negative figure.) HET INVENTORY CHANGE: 3
At what level of output especity did your establishment operate during 1974?  LEVEL OF CAPACITY UTILIZATION: I
What is your estimate of your establishment's total water use for all phases of your operation? (Note: please use any convenient unit of measurement; e.g., gallons per day, 1000 gallons per day, acre feet per year, etc.)  TOTAL WATER INTAKE:



### PURCHASES AMALYSIS

		PURCHASES FROM	
SUPPLY SOURCE; SECTIONS FROM WHICH YOU PURCHASE	PURCHASES IN H.W. COLORADO COUNTIES	OTHER COLORADO COUNTIES	PURCHASES OUTSIDE
	\$ OF 2 OF TOTAL	1 or 2 of Total	3 or 8 of Total
1. IRRIGATED ACRUSTITURE			
2. DEVIAND AGRICULTURE  3. LIVERING BRANCH PRODUCTS (datay and positive			
J. LIVESTOCK; MELATED PRODUCTS (dairy and poultry products; homer; enimal specialties; ecc.)		· I	
4. ACRICULTURAL SERVICES (veterinary, custom			
field work, etc.)	<b></b>		
5. METAL MUNING: RELATED SERVICES 6. COAL MUNING: RELATED SERVICES	<del></del>		
7. OIL AND GAS EXTRACTION; BELATED SERVICES	·		
S. SHALL OLD PERALTICUS; BELATED SPRVICES			
9. NON-HOTALLIC MINING: RELATED SERVICES 10. ALL CONSTRUCTION			
11. POOD AND KINDERD PRODUCTS (processed foods for			
bomen and snimel consumption)			
12. LUMBER; 2000 PRODUCTS (loggers, sensills,			
cabinet shope, miscellaneous wood products			;
13. PRINTING AND PUBLISHING; PAPER AND ALLIED			
PRODUCTS (includes newspaper advertising, etc.)	<del></del>		
14. CHEMICALS; PETROLEUM REFINERS; RUBERR MARUFACTURERS			
15. STONE, GLASS, CLAY PRODUCT MANUFACTURERS	<del> </del>		
16. FARRICATED METALS; NOM-ELECTRICAL MACHINERY			
HAMUTACTUREDS  17. KLECTRICAL NACHDERY AND EQUIPMENT; TRANSPORTA-	<del></del>		
TION ECCUPAGET: ELECTRONIC INSTRUMENTS AND			
COMPONENTS HANDYACTURING			
18. ALL OTHER HAMIFACTURERS (Jewelry, precious			
metals, musical instruments, sporting goods, etc. 19. TRANSPORTATION: AINLINES, BUS LINES, RAILBOADS,	4		
TRUCK LINES, AMBULANCES, U.P.S., R.E.A., ecc.			
20. U. S. FOSTAL SERVICE (postage, mail box rental,			
21. COMMUNICATION: NADIO, TELEVISION, TELEPHONE,			
TELEGRAPE (includes media advertising, cable			
subscriptions, etc.)			
22. ELECTRICITY: MATURAL CAS (VERLICES)			
23. WATER, SEWERAGE, TRASH REMOVAL SERVICES (Utilities)			
24. WHOLESALE TRADE (wholesaling intermediaries)			
25. AUTOMOBILE DEALERS: GASOLINE SERVICE STATIONS			
26. EATING AND DELINING ESTABLISHMENTS; NOTELS,			
MOTELS, OTHER LONGING 27. RETAIL - NOT ELSEWHERE LISTED	-		
28. FINANCE (incorest payments; payments on out-			
standing principal)			
29. INSURANCE FRANCOS-LIFE, ACCIDENT, REALTH, MEDICAL, FIRE, CACUALTY, SURETY, TITLE; PERSION,		•	
HEALTH, AND WELFARE FUNDS (non-sovernment)			
30. REAL ESTATE (value of real censes purchased,			
countedioss, and menogement (see) 31. REALTH SERVICES (medical, descal, hospitals,			
laboratories, other patient care facilities)			
32. EDUCATIONAL SERVICES (primary, secondary, post-			
secondary, technical, professional) [31. SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCIES			<del> </del>
31. SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCIES 34. ALL OTHER SERVICES (legal, personal, data	_		
processing, equipment lessing, recreation, etc.)			
35. LOCAL AND COUNTY GOVERNMENTS (taxes, permits,			
licentes) 36. STATE COVEREGET (taxes, parmits, License face)			
37. PEDERAL GOVERNMENT (taxes, permits, license fees,			
employers FICA, unemployment insurance)			
38. HOUSENCES (persents subject to withholding) 39. HENTS; DIVIDEND PARKENTS; RETAIRED EARNINGS;		<del></del>	
39. EDITS; OFFICIAL PAYMENTS; RETAINED EARNINGS;			
40. DEPRECIATION EXPENSE			

## SALES AMALTSIS

		SALES TO	<del> </del>
DENAMS SOURCE: SECTORS TO WRICE YOU SELL	SALES IN S.W. COLORADO COUNTIES S OF Z of Total	OTHER COLURADO COUNTIES	SALES OUTSIDE COLORADO
1. INRIGATED AGRICULTURE	7 00 4 00 10041	3 01 4 01 10taz	2 01 2 31 .013.
1. DETLAND AGRICULTURE	<del> </del>		
J. LIVESTOCE SELATED PRODUCTS (dairy and poultry			
producers; bee keepars; entual breaders; etc.)			
4. AGRICULTURAL SERVICES (vecerimerians, custom	1		
field work operators, etc.); FORESTRY  5, HETAL MEDIC; RELATED SERVICE OPERATORS	<del></del>		<del></del>
6. COMPLETE SOF RELATED SERVICE OFFEATURS	<del></del>		
7. OIL AND GAS EXTRACTION: RELATED SERVICE OPERATORS			
8. SMALE OF EXTRACTION; RELATED SERVICE OPERATORS			
9. NON-NETACLIC MINING: RELATED SERVICE OPERATORS 10. ALL COMBERGET ON			
11. FOOD AND KINDRED PRODUCTS (processors of foods	<del> </del>		
for busine or animal consumption)	1	<u> </u>	
12. LUMBER; WOOD PRODUCTS (loggers, sammils,			
cabinet shows, siscallaneous wood essufacturers)  13. PRINTES AND POBLISHERS: PAPER AND ALLIED	<del> </del>	<b></b>	<del> </del>
PRODUCTS (includes newspaper advertising, etc.)			
14. CHEMICALS; PERMOLEUM REFISERS; BURBER	1		<u> </u>
HANUTAGTURERS			
15. STONE; GLASS; CLAY PRODUCT MANUFACTURERS 16. FARRICATED WETALS; NOW-FLECTRICAL MACRIMERY	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del>}</del> _
MARUTACTURES			:
17. ELECTRICAL HACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT; TRANSPORTATION			
EQUIPMENT; KLECTROWIC INSTRUMENTS AND COMPONENTS	1		
MANUFACTURES	<b></b>		
<ol> <li>ALL OTHER MANUFACTURERS (jewelry, precious metals, musical inscruments, sporting goods, etc.)</li> </ol>			i
19. TRANSPORTATION; AIRLINES; SUS LINES, RAILROADS;	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	
TRUCK LINES; AMBULANCES; U.P.S.; R.E.A.; etc.			
20. U. S. PUSTAL SERVICE 21. COMMUNICATION: RADIO, TELEVISION, TELEPHONE.			
TELEGRAPH	<b>\</b>		
22. BLRESKINGS MATURAL GAS COMPARTES			<del>                                     </del>
23. WATER, SEWERAGE, TRASE REMOVAL SERVICE ENTERPRISES			
Zi. UNITEDALE TRADE (wholeseling intermediaries) 25. AUTOMOBILE DEALERS; GASOLINE SERVICE STATIONS	<del></del>		<del> </del>
25. AUTHODILE DEALERS; GASOLINE SERVICE STATIONS 26. BATTHE AND DELNKING ESTABLISHMENTS; HOTELS;	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>
		L	
MOTELS: OTHER LODGING 27. RETAILED — NOT 2: SEMBERS LISTED			
28. FIRANCE DESTITUTIONS (beaks, trust companies,		(	
credit senncies, brokers, etc.) 29. [NNUMACZ (compenies, agents, brokers)	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
30. MAL ESTATE (owners, lessors, buyers, sallers,		<u> </u>	
seents, developers)	1	<b></b>	
il. HEALTH SERVICE ESTABLISHERY'S (medical, destal, hospitals, laboratories, other patient care			1
facilities)	1	1	į į
32. EDUCATIONAL DESTITUTIONS (primary, secondary,	1		
post-secondary, technical, professional)	<u> </u>		
11. SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCIES 34. ALL CUMER SERVICE ESTABLISHMENTS (legal, personal.	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
data processing, equipment lessing, recreation,		1	1
etc.)			
33. LOCAL AND COUNTY OUVERNMENTS			
36. STATE COVERNMENT	<del></del>	<del> </del>	
37. PROBRAL GOVERNMENT 38. SDUSEBOLDS (direct sales for private consumption)	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	
AND MANAGEMENT (ACTACL MANAGEMENT CANADISTRACTION)			<u></u>

#### APPENDIX F

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Abt Associates, Inc. "Energy Fuel Mineral Resources of the Public Lands." Volume I. "Master Report." (The Public Land Law Review Commission Contract No. 69-C-3.) Cambridge, Massachusetts: Abt Associates, Inc, December 1970. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [public land administration]
- Apodaca, Dan, Jr. "The Economic Feasibility of Medical Instruments
   Manufacturing in Colorado West." (Partially funded by the Four
   Corners Regional Commission.) Grand Junction, Colorado:
   Club 20, May 1973. (Commercial reproduction.)
   [general, economic base]
- 3. Apodaca, Dan, Jr. "The Economic Feasibility of 'Pres-to-Log' Manufacturing in Colorado West." (The Four Corners Regional Commission and Club 20.) Grand Junction, Colorado: Club 20, October 1973. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [general, economic base]
- 4. Bailey, Jean; Bacigalupi, Linda; and Warner, Mark. Local Citizens'

  Participation in Coal Development: A Descriptive Process in

  the North Fork Valley. Denver, Colorado: Foundation for Urban
  and Neighborhood Development, March 1975.

  [12, general, local history, attitudes]
- 5. Bass, N. W.; Eby, J. B.; and Campbell, M. R. Geology and Mineral Fuels of Parts of Routt and Moffat Counties, Colorado. U. S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1027-D, (1961), pp. 143-250. [n-w Colorado, geology]
- 6. Beard, T. N.; Tart, D. B.; and Smith, J. W. "Nahcolite and Dawsonite Resources in the Green River Formation, Piceance Creek Basin, Colorado." Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists 1974 Guidebook, (1974), pp. 101-109.
  [oil shales, associated products]
- 7. Billings, R. Bruce. "The Mathematical Identity of the Multipliers
  Derived from the Economic Base Model and the Input-Output
  Model." Journal of Regional Science 9 (December 1969): 471-73.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 8. Bourque, Philip J., and Cox, Millicent. An Inventory of Regional Input-output Studies in the United States. Occasional Paper No. 22. Seattle, Washington: Graduate School of Business Administration, University of Washington, (1970).

  [bibliography, input-output studies]

- 9. Bradley, Iver E., and Gander, J. P. The Economics of Water
  Allocation in Utah: An Input-Output Analysis. (U. S.
  Department of Interior Matching Grant Agreement No. 14-010001-827.) Salt Lake City, Utah: Bureau of Economic and
  Business Research, University of Utah, December 1968.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 10. Bruckner, Lawrence A., and McKay, Michael D. Preliminary Report on Local Impact Model. (LA-6139-MS.) Los Alamos, New Mexico:
  Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, 1975.
  [methodology, linear programming]
- 11. C-b Shale Oil Project. "Tract C-b: Summary Report." Denver,
  Colorado. Quarterly. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [quarterly progress report, Federal tract C-b]
- 12. Cashion, W. B., and Donnell, J. R. Revision of Nomenclature of the Upper Part of the Green River Formation, Piceance Creek Basin, Colorado, and Eastern Uinta Basin, Utah. U. S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1394-G, (1974).

  [n-w Colorado, Utah, geological nomenclature]
- 13. Club 20. "The Economic Feasibility of Operating a Winery in Colorado West." (Partially funded by the Four Corners Regional Commission.) Grand Junction, Colorado, August 1973. (Commercial reproduction.) [general, economic base]
- 14. Colony Development Operation. Atlantic Richfield, Operator. An

  Environmental Impact Analysis for a Shale Oil Complex at

  Parachute Creek, Colorado. 3 volumes and 17 appendices.

  Denver, Colorado, 1974.

  [EIS, oil shale industry]
- 15. Colony Development Operation. Atlantic Richfield, Operator. Oil
  Shale A symposium for Environmental Leaders: The Colony
  Case Study. Denver, Colorado, April 1974.
  [general, oil shale industry, impacts]
- 16. Colorado. Department of Agriculture. Colorado Crop and Livestock
  Reporting Service. Colorado Agricultural Statistics. Annual.
  [01, 02, 07]
- 17. Colorado. Department of Agriculture. Colorado Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. Colorado Farm Income. March 1975.
  [01, 02]
- 18. Colorado. Department of Agriculture. Colorado Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. Colorado Fruit Tree Survey 1967, by William C. Dobbs. February 1968.
  [01]

- 19. Colorado. Department of Agriculture. Colorado Crop and Livestock Reporting Service. Colorado Peach Tree Survey 1971, by Larry C. Johnson. February 1972.
  [01]
- 20. Colorado. Department of Education. Commission on Higher Education. Files.
  [822]
- 21. Colorado. Department of Education. Revenues and Expenditures:

  Colorado School Districts. Annual.

  [821]
- 22. Colorado. Department of Health. Colorado Vital Statistics. Annual. [vital statistics]
- 23. Colorado. Department of Health. Files. [80]
- 24. Colorado. Department of Highways. Colorado's Annual Highway

  Report. Annual.

  [state highways, state patrol]
- 25. Colorado. Department of Labor and Employment. Colorado Manpower

  Review. Monthly.

  [employment, earnings]
- 26. Colorado. Department of Labor and Employment. Files. [employment, earnings]
- 27. Colorado. Department of Local Affairs. Division of Local
  Government. Local Government Financial Compendium. Annual.
  [local and county governments]
- 28. Colorado. Department of Local Affairs. Division of Property
  Taxation. Annual Report to the Governor and the Legislature.
  Annual.
  [valuations, levies, property tax revenues]
- 29. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Colorado Geological Survey. <u>Tax Lead Time Study: The Colorado Oil Shale Region</u>. 1974.
  [oil shale industry, impacts, tax revenues]
- 30. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Mines.

  <u>A Summary of Mineral Industry Activities in Colorado</u>. Annual.

  [10, 12, 13, 14]
- 31. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Mines.

  Coal. Annual.

  [12]

- 32. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Mines.

  State Coal Mine Inspection. Monthly Report. Monthly.

  [12]
- 33. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Wildlife.

  Colorado Big Game Harvest. Annual.

  [hunting and fishing statistics]
- 34. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. Oil and Gas
  Conservation Commission. Oil and Gas Statistics. Annual.
  [13]
- 35. Colorado. Department of Natural Resources. State Board of Land Commissioners. Summary of Transactions. Annual.

  [pablic land administration]
- 36. Colorado. Department of Planning and Budget. Files. [state government]
- 37. Colorado. Department of Regulatory Agencies. Division of Insurance. Insurance Industry in Colorado: Statistical Report. Annual.

  [63, 64]
- 38. Colorado. Department of Revenue. Annual Report. Annual. [population, income, state tax revenues, motor vehicle registrations]
- 39. Colorado. Department of Social Services. Files. [80, 83, households]
- 40. Colorado. General Assembly. Final Report of the Committee on Oil Shale, Coal, and Related Minerals. Legislative Council Research Publication No. 208, December 1974.

  [oil shale, coal, legislative recommendations]
- 41. Colorado. Office of the Governor. Oil Shale Planning and
  Coordination. Impact: An Assessment of the Impact of Oil
  Shale Development Colorado Planning and Management Region 11.

  5 volumes. December 1974.
  [pil shale industry, economic and social, impacts]
- 42. Colorado. Public Utilities Commission. Files. [40-42, 45-49]
- 43. Colorado. State Auditor. Files.
  [local and county governments, special tax districts]
- 44. Colorado. State Planning Division. Colorado Year Book 1962-64.

  Formerly biennial; ceased publication with this issue.

  [State of Colorado, general information]

- 45. Colorado Energy Research Institute. Future Energy Alternatives
  for Colorado. Summary report and 2 volumes. Golden,
  Colorado, January 1976. Volume II, Appendix C:
  Integrated Economic-Hydrosalinity-Air Quality Analysis for
  Oil Shale and Coal Development in Colorado, by Charles W. Howe,
  Jan F. Kreider, Bernard Udis, Robert C. Hess, Douglas V. Orr,
  and Jeffrey T. Young.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 46. Colorado Energy Research Institute. Future Energy Alternatives
  for Colorado. Summary report and 2 volumes. Golden,
  Colorado, January 1976. Volume II, Appendix D: Use of the
  Colorado Input-Output Model to Analyze the Impacts of
  Alternative Scenarios on Output, Income, Water Use and
  Employment in Colorado's Economy, by S. Lee Gray, J. R.
  McKean, Joseph C. Weber, and Edward M. Sparling.
  [methodology, input-output, linear programming]
- 47. Colorado Health Care Association, Denver, Colorado. Files. [80]
- 48. Colorado School of Mines. Department of Mineral Economics.

  "Economic Impact of Alternative Energy Supply Policies in Colorado." J. P. Mather, Project Coordinator. (Economic Development Administration Project No. 05-06-01465 and the Four Corners Regional Commission.) Golden, Colorado:

  Department of Mineral Economics, Colorado School of Mines, 1975. (Xerox reproduction.)

  [energy sources, methodology]
- 49. Colorado State University. Cooperative Extension Service.

  Trade Areas Study: Northwest Colorado Area. Fort Collins,
  Colorado, May 1966.
  [households, purchase patterns]
- 50. Colorado State University. Cooperative Extension Service.

  Department of Economics. County Information Service.

  Continually updated.

  [county statistical data]
- 51. Colorado State University. State Forest Service. Colorado

  Forest Products Directory. Biennial.

  [directory, forest products]
- 52. Colorado-Ute Electric Association, Inc. <u>Basalt-Aspen 115 KV</u>

  <u>Transmission Line: Applicant's Environmental Analysis.</u>

  <u>Montrose, Colorado, June 1974.</u>

  [EIS. electric transmission line]
- 53. Cooper, Barbara S.; Worthington, Nancy L.; and Piro, Paula A.

  "National Health Expenditures 1929-73." Social Security

  Bulletin, February 1974, 3-19, 48.

  [80]

- 54. County Clerk Offices, respective counties. Files. [65]
- 55. Desbarough, G. A.; Pitman, J. K.; and Huffman, C., Jr.
  "Concentration and Mineralogical Residence of Elements in Rich Oil Shales of the Green River Formation, Piceance Creek Basin, Colorado, and the Unita Basin, Utah a Preliminary Report."
  U. S. Geological Survey Open File Report 74-77, (1974).
  [oil shale geology, Colorado, Utah]
- 56. Donnall, J. R. Tertiary Geology and Oil Shale Resources of the Piceance Creek Basin between the Colorado and White Rivers, Northwestern Colorado. U. S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1030-L, (1961), pp. 835-891.

  [n-w Colorado, geology, oil shale resources]
- 57. Dyni, J. R. "Stratigraphy and Nahcolite Resources of the Saline Facies of the Green River Formation, Rio Blanco County, Colorado." U. S. Geological Survey Open File Report 74-56, (1974).

  [n-w Colorado, geology]
- 58. Eckstain, Otto. Water-Resource Development: The Economics of Project Evaluation. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1958.
  [mathodology, benefit-cost]
- 59. Ellis, Theodore John. "The Potential Role of Oil Shale in the U. S. Energy Mix: Questions of Development and Policy Formulation in an Environmental Age." Ph.D. dissertation, Fort Collins, Colorado: Department of Economics, Colorado State University, September 1972.

  [general, oil shale industry, methodology]
- 60. Environmental Impact Assessment Project of the Institute of
  Ecology. A Scientific and Policy Review of the Final
  Environmental Impact Statement for the Prototype Oil Shale
  Lessing Program of the Department of the Interior. Edited by
  Katherine Fletcher and Malcolm F. Baldwin. Washington, D. C.:
  Environmental Impact Assessment Project, 1973.

  [EIS review. oil shale industry]
- 61. Executive Office of the President. Office of Management and Budget. Standard Industrial Classification Manual 1972.
  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1972.
  [standard industrial classification]
- 62. Federal Communications Commission. <u>Annual Report</u>. Annual. [48]
- 63. Federal Gredit Banks of Wichita. Files. [61]

- 64. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Bank Operating Statistics.
  Annual.
  [60]
- 65. Federal Home Loan Bank Board. Combined Financial Statements Member Savings and Loan Associations of the Federal Home
  Loan Bank System. Annual.

  [61]
- 66. Federal Power Commission. Statistics of Privately Owned Electric
  Utilities in the United States. Washington, D. C.:
  Government Printing Office. Annual.
  [491, 493]
- 67. Federal Power Commission. Statistics of Publicly Owned Electric
  Utilities in the United States. Washington, D. C.:
  Government Printing Office. Annual.
  [491, 493]
- 68. Future Requirements Committee. Future Gas Requirements of the United States. Denver, Colorado: Future Requirements Agency, University of Denver Research Institute. Periodically.

  [natural gas resources]
- 69. Gilmore, John S., and Duff, Mary K. "Working Paper #1 Impacts of Oil Shale Development: Concepts and Remedies." (The Oil Shale Regional Planning Commission.) Denver, Colorado: University of Denver Research Institute, 1973. (Xerox reproduction.) [oil shale industry, development impacts]
- 70. Gilmore, John S., and Duff, Mary K. "Working Paper #3 Impacts of Intensive Oil Shale Development: Concepts and Remedies." (THK Associates, the Oil Shale Regional Planning Commission, and Colorado West Regional Council of Governments.) Denver, Colorado: University of Denver Research Institute, September 1973. (Xerox reproduction.)
  [oil shale industry, development impacts]
- 71. Gray, S. Lee, and McKean, John R. "1970 Flows of Payments in Colorado Agribusiness." Colorado Agricultural Roundup. Fort Collins, Colorado: Cooperative Extension Service, Colorado State University, Summer 1973, p. 23.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 72. Gray, S. Lee, and McKean, John R. "1970 Labor Inputs into Colorado Agri-Business." Colorado Agricultural Roundup. Fort Collins, Colorado: Cooperative Extension Service, Colorado State University, Summer 1973, p. 22.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 73. Gray, S. Lee, and McKean, John R. "An Interindustry Study of the Colorado Economy." Colorado Agricultural Roundup. Fort Collins, Colorado: Cooperative Extension Service, Colorado State University, Summer 1972, pp. 21-22.
  [methodology, input-output]

- 74. Gray, S. Lee, and McKean, John R. "Business Multipliers in the Boulder, Larimer and Weld County Region of Colorado."

  Colorado Agribusiness Roundup. Fort Collins, Colorado:

  Cooperative Extension Service, Colorado State University,
  Summer 1974, pp. 18-19.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 75. Gray, S. Lee, and McKean, John R. "The Development of Water Multiplier Impacts from Input-Output Analysis: An Empirical Example from Boulder, Larimer and Weld Counties, Colorado."

  Water Resources Research 12 (April 1976): 135-140.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 76. Gray, S. Lee, and McKean, John R. "An Economic Analysis of Water Use in Boulder, Larimer and Weld Counties, Colorado with Projections to 1980." (Bureau of Reclamation Contract No. 14-06-700-7182.) Fort Collins, Colorado: Department of Economics, Colorado State University, March 1974. (Xerox reproduction.)
  [mathodology, input-output]
- 77. Gray, S. Lee; McKean, John R.; and Rohdy, Donald D. "Estimating the Impact of Higher Education from Input-Output Models: A Case Study." Rocky Mountain Social Science Journal 12 (January 1975): 1-10.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 78. Gray, S. Lee, and McKean, John R. with contributions by Sparling,
  Rdward M., and Weber, Joseph C. An Economic Analysis of Water
  in Colorado's Economy. (Office of Water Research and
  Tachnology Project No. B-059-COLO.) Fort Collins, Colorado:
  Department of Economics, Colorado State University, 1975.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 79. Hawkins, David, and Simon, Herbert A. "Note: Some Conditions of Macroeconomic Stability." Econometrica 17 (July-October 1949): 245-248.

  [methodology.input-output]
- 80. Harmston, Floyd K., and Lund, Richard E. Application of an Input-Output Framework to a Community Economic System.
  University of Missouri Studies Volume XLII. Columbia, Missouri: University of Missouri Press, 1967.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 81. Hirsch, Werner Z. "Input-Output Techniques for Urban Government Decisions." American Economic Review 58 (May 1968): 162-170. [methodology, input-output]
- 82. Holt, R. D. <u>Bibliography</u>, Coal Resources in Colorado. Colorado Geological Survey Bulletin 34-A, (1972).

  [Colorado, coal resources]

- 83. Hornbaker, A. L., and Holt, R. D. 1972 Summary of Coal Resources in Colorado. Colorado Geological Survey Special Publication No. 3, (1973).
  [Colorado, coal resources]
- 84. Howe, Charles W. Benefit-Cost Analysis for Water System Planning,
  Water Resources Monograph 2. Washington, D. C.: American
  Geophysical Union, 1971.
  [methodology, benefit-cost]
- 85. Howe, Charles W., and Easter, K. William. Interbasin Transfers of Water: Economic Issues and Impacts. (Resources for the Future, Inc.) Baltimore, Maryland: The Johns Hopkins Press, 1971.

  [methodology, interbasin water transfer economics]
- 86. Howe, Charles W., and Orr, Douglas V. "Effects of Agricultural Acreage Reduction on Water Availability and Salinity in the Upper Colorado River Basin." Water Resources Research 10 (October 1974): 893-897.
  [methodology, input-output, water studies]
- 87. Interstate Commerce Commission. Bureau of Accounts. <u>Transport</u>

  <u>Statistics in the United States: Pipe Lines</u>. Annual.

  [46]
- 88. Interstate Commerce Commission. Bureau of Accounts. <u>Transport</u>

  <u>Statistics in the United States: Motor Carriers.</u> Annual.

  [42]
- 89. Isard, Walter; Bramhall, David F.; Carrothers, Gerald A. P.;
  Cumberland, John H.; Moses, Leon N.; Price, Daniel O.; and
  Schooler, Eugene W. Methods of Regional Analysis: An
  Introduction to Regional Science. Cambridge, Massachusetts:
  Technology Press, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1960.
  [methodology, regional economics]
- 90. Isard, Walter; Langford, Thomas W.; and Romanoff, Eliahu.

  Philadelphia Region Input-Output Study. 3 volumes.

  Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Regional Science Research Institute, 1966.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 91. Jones, Lonnie L.; Sporleder, Thomas L.; and Mustafa, Gholam.

  "Estimation Bias in Regional Input-Output Models Using
  Secondary Data." Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics
  20 (February 1972): 10-17.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 92. Landis, E. R., and Cone, G. C. "Coal Reserves of Colorado Tabulated by Bed." U. S. Geological Survey Open File Report 178, (1971). [Colorado, coal reserves]

- 93. Leoutief, Wassily. Input-Output Economics. New York, New York:
  Oxford University Press, 1966.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 94. Leontief, Wassily W. "Quantitative Input and Output Relations in the Economic System of the United States." Review of Economic Statistics 18 (August 1936): 105-126.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 95. Marsh, W. R., and Queen, R. W. "Map showing Localities and Amounts of Matallic Mineral Production in Colorado." U. S. Geological Survey Mineral Investigations Resources Map MR-58, (1974).
  [Colorado, geology, mineral resources]
- 96. Martin, B. R. "Updating Input-Output Table Coefficients."

  Australian Economic Papers 11 (December 1972): 180-186.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 97. Miernyk, William H.; Bonner, Ernest R.; Chapman, John H., Jr.; and Shellharmer, Kenneth. Impact of the Space Program on a Local Economy: An Input-Output Analysis. Parsons, West Virginia: McClain Printing Company, 1967.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 98. Miernyk, William N., and Shellhammer, Kenneth L. "Simulating Regional Economic Development with an Input-Output Model."

  (The Economic Development Administration Grant No. OER 148-6-67-4.) Morgantown, West Virginia: Regional Research Institute, West Virginia University, July 1968. (Stencil reproduction.)

  [methodology, input-output]
- 99. Murray, D. K., ed. Guidebook to the Energy Resources of the

  Piceance Basin. Denver, Colorado: Rocky Mountain Association
  of Geologists, 1975.
  [n-w Colorado, geology]
- Transportation Costs of Supplying Low-sulfur Fuels to

  Midwestern and Eastern Domestic Energy Markets. U. S. Bureau
  of Mines Information Circular 8614, (1973).

  [transportation economics]
- 101. Northwest Colorado Agri-Business Association, Meeker, Colorado. Files.
  [01, 02, 07]
- 102. Office of Economic Opportunity. Federal Outlays in Colorado.
  Annual. (Starting in fiscal 1975 published by Community
  Services Administration.)
  [Federal Government]

- 103. Pederson, John A., and Rudawsky, Oded. "The Role of Minerals and Energy in the Colorado Economy." (U. S. Bureau of Mines Grant No. G-0122090.) Golden, Colorado: Department of Mineral Economics, Colorado School of Mines, 1974. (Xerox reproduction.)
  [10, 12, 13, 14, 29, 32, 33, 491, 493, methodology]
- 104. Prien, Charles H.; Schanz, John J., Jr.; and Doran, Richard K.
  "Working Paper #2 Profile of Development of an Oil Shale
  Industry in Colorado." (THK Associates and the Oil Shale
  Regional Planning Commission.) Denver, Colorado: University
  of Denver Research Institute, February 1973. (Xerox
  reproduction.)
  [oil shale industry, development]
- 105. "Proceedings of the Oil Shale Symposium." Quarterly of the Colorado School of Mines. Annual in spring issue.
  [oil shale industry, technology, economics]
- 106. Railroad Retirement Board. Annual Report. Annual. [households]
- 107. Richardson, Harry W. <u>Input-Output and Regional Economics</u>.

  Trowbridge, Wiltshire, Great Britain: Redwood Press Limited, 1972.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 108. Rio Blanco Oil Shale Project. "Progress Report Summary:
  Tract C-a Oil Shale Development." Denver, Colorado.
  Quarterly. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [quarterly progress report, Federal tract C-a]
- 109. Rio Blanco Oil Shale Project. "Social and Economic Impact Statement for Tract C-a." Denver, Colorado. March 1976. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [oil shale industry, economic and social impacts]
- 110. Robert Morris Associates. Annual Statement Studies.
  Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Annual.
  [financial statements]
- 111. Ryan, J. J., and Welles, J. G. Regional Economic Impact of a
  U. S. Oil Shale Industry. Denver, Colorado: University of
  Denver Research Institute, 1966.
  [oil shale industry, development impacts]
- 112. Shale Country. Denver, Colorado: Mountain Empire Publishing Inc. Monthly.

  [general, oil shale industry, publication]
- 113. Sheshunoff & Company, Inc. <u>The Banks of Colorado</u>. Austin, Texas, 1974.
  [60]

- 114. Stadek, Thomas A. "Recent Trends in Oil Shale Part 1: History,
  Nature, and Reserves." Colorado School of Mines Research
  Institute Mineral Industries Bulletin 17 (November 1974).
  [vil shale industry, general background information]
- 115. Sladek, Thomas A. "Recent Trends in Oil Shale Part 2: Mining and Shale Oil Extraction Processes." Colorado School of Mines Research Institute Mineral Industries Bulletin 18 (January 1975).

  [oil shale industry, technology]
- 116. Sladek, Thomas A. "Recent Trends in Oil Shale Part 3: Shale Oil Refining and Some Oil Shale Problems." Colorado School of Mines Research Institute Mineral Industries Bulletin 18 (March 1975).

  [sil shale industry, technology]
- 118. Solow, Robert. "On the Structure of Linear Models."

  <u>Econometrica</u> 20 (January 1952): 29-46.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 119. Standley, Stacey, III. The Impact of the Vail Ski Resort: An

  Input-Output Analysis. Boulder, Colorado: Business Research

  Division, Graduate School of Business Administration,
  University of Colorado, 1971.

  [mathodology, input-output]
- 120. THK Associates, Inc. "Impact Analysis and Development Patterns for the Oil Shale Region: Mesa, Garfield and Rio Blanco Counties, Colorado." (The Colorado West Area Council of Governments and the Oil Shale Regional Planning Commission.)
  February 1974. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [oil shale industry, impacts, economic and social]
- 121. Tiebout, Charles M. "Regional and Interregional Input-Output Models: An Appraisal." The Southern Economic Journal 24 (October 1957): 140-47.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 122. Tri River Agri-Business Farm and Ranch Management Association, Delta, Colorado. Files.
  [01, 02, 07]
- 123. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. Oil Shale Development. Hearings before the Subcommittee on Mines and Mining, pt. 1, 93rd Cong., 1st sess., 1974.

[oil shale industry, development impacts]

- 124. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. Oil Shale Development. Hearings before the Subcommittee on Mines and Mining, pt. 2, 93rd Cong., 2nd sess., 1974.

  [oil shale industry, development impacts]
- 125. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on Science and Astronautics.

  Energy Facts. Prepared for the Subcommittee on Energy of
  the Committee on Science and Astronautics, Serial H, 93rd
  Cong., 1st sess., 1973.
  [national energy data]
- 126. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on Science and Astronautics.

  Energy Facts II. Prepared for the Subcommittee on Energy of the Committee on Science and Astronautics, Serial H, 94th Cong., 1st sess., 1975.

  [national energy data]
- 127. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on Science and Astronautics.

  Oil Shale Technology. Hearings before the Subcommittee on
  Energy of the Committee on Science and Astronautics on H.R.

  9693, 93rd Cong., 2nd sess., 1974.

  [oil shale industry, technology]
- 128. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service. Annual Report Colorado. Annual. [01, 02]
- 129. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Economic Research Service.

  Impact of the Food Stamp Program on Three Local Economies:

  An Input-Output Analysis. May 1972.

  [methodology, input-output]
- 130. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Rural Electrification

  Administration. Annual Statistical Report: Rural Electric

  Borrowers. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.

  Annual.

  [491]
- 131. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Rural Electrification
  Administration. Annual Statistical Report: Rural Telephone
  Borrowers. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  Annual.
  [48]
- 132. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Rural Electrification
  Administration. Final Environmental Statement 230 KV
  Transmission Tap Line to Steamboat Springs and Substation.
  Washington, D. C., October 1973.
  [EIS, electric transmission line]
- 133. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Rural Electrification

  Administration. Final Environmental Statement Hayden

  Station Unit 2. Washington, D. C., January 1972.

  [EIS, electric generation station]

- 134. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Rural Electrification
  Administration. Final Environmental Statement Yampa
  Project. Washington, D. C., July 1974.

  [ELS, electric generation station and transmission lines]
- 135. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Annual
  Survey of Manufacturers. Washington, D. C.: Government
  Printing Office. Annual.
  [20 39]
- 136. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Agriculture: 1969. Volume 1, Area Reports, part 41, Colorado, section 2, County Data. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1972.
  [01, 02, 07, 08]
- 137. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Cersus of Construction Industries, 1972: Area Series, Mountain States, CC72-A-8. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.
  [15, 16, 17]
- 138. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Construction Industries, 1972: Industry Series, (various titles). Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.
  [15, 16, 17]
- 139. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of
  Governments: 1972. Volume 4, Government Finances. Number 5,
  Compendium of Government Finances. Washington, D. C.:
  Government Printing Office, 1974.
  [local and county governments]
- 140. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of
  Governments: 1972. Volume 4, Government Finances. Number 3,
  Finances of County Governments. Washington, D. C.: Government
  Printing Office, 1974.
  [county governments]
- 141. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Governments: 1972. Volume 4, Government Finances. Number 4, Finances of Municipalities and Township Governments.

  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [local governments]
- 142. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Governments: 1972. Volume 4, Government Finances. Number 1, Finances of School Districts. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [821]

- 143. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Governments: 1972. Volume 3, Public Employment. Number 2, Compendium of Public Employment. Washington, D. C.:

  Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [local and county public employment]
- 144. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Manufacturers, 1972: Area Series, Colorado, MC 72(3)-6.
  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.
  [20 39]
- 145. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Mineral Industries, 1972: Area Series, Mountain States, MIC72(2)-8. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.
  [10, 12, 13, 14]
- 146. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Population, 1970: General Social and Economic Characteristics, Final Report, Colorado, PC(1)-C7. Washington, D. C.:

  Government Printing Office, 1972.
  [households]
- 147. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Retail Trade, 1972: Area Series, Colorado, RC 72-A-6.
  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.
  [52-59]
- 148. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Selected Service Industries, 1972: Area Series, Colorado, 72-A-6. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [70 79, 8072, 81, 891]
- 149. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Census of Wholesale Trade, 1972: Area Series, Colorado, WC 72-A-6.

  Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

  [50 51]
- 150. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Construction
  Reports Housing Authorized by Building Permits and Public
  Contracts. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  Annual.
  [15]
- 151. U. S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. County

  Business Patterns, Colorado. Washington, D. C.: Government

  Printing Office. Annual.

  [employment and earnings]
- 152. U. S. Department of Commerce. Domestic and International Business
  Administration. Bureau of Domestic Commerce. Construction
  Review. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office.
  Monthly.
  [15, 16, 17]

153. U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social Security Administration. Directory - Medicare Providers and Suppliers of Services. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1974.

[directory, institutional health medical care]

154. U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social Security Administration. Medicare: Health Insurance for the Aged - Geographical Index of Reimburgement by State and County. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office. Annual.

[80]

- 155. U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Social Security Administration, Grand Junction, Colorado. Files. [80, households]
- 156. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Land Management.

  Draft Northwest Colorado Coal Environmental Statement.

  4 volumes and 4 appendices. June 1976.

  [RIS, northwest Colorado coal]
- 157. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Land Management.

  Final Environmental Impact Statement, Proposed Federal Cost
  Leasing Program. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing
  Office, 1975.

  [EIS. Federal cost leasing]
- 158. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Land Managemen...

  Public Land Statistics. Washington, D. C.: Government

  Princing Office. Annual.

  [public land administration]
- 159. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Mines. Minerals
  Yearbook, Vol. III, Area Reports, Domestic. Washington,
  D. C.: Government Printing Office. Annual.
  [10, 12, 13, 14]
- 160. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Mines, Subcommittee to Expedite Energy Development. Also, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Socioeconomic Impacts of Natural Resource Development Committee. "A listing of Proposed, Planned or Under Construction Energy Projects in Federal Region VIII."

  (The Committee on Energy and Environment of the Denver Federal Executive Board and the Mountain Plains Federal Regional Council.) August 1975. (Xerox reproduction.)

  [energy resources, site specific identification]
- 161. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation.

  Engineering and Research Center. Report on Water for Energy
  in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Denver, Colorado, July
  1974.
  [water]

- 162. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. Water and Land Resource Accomplishments: Statistical Appendix I. Annual.

  [01, 497]
- 163. U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation. Water and Land Resource Accomplishments: Summary Report. Annual. [01, 491, 497]
- 164. U. S. Department of the Interior. Geological Survey. Area Oil Shale Supervisor's Office, Grand Junction, Colorado. Files. [oil shale industry, Federal tract information]
- 165. U. S. Department of the Interior. Office of the Secretary.

  Final Environmental Statement for the Prototype 0il Shale

  Leasing Program. 6 volumes. Washington, D. C.: Government

  Printing Office, 1973.

  [EIS, oil shale industry]
  - 166. U. S. Department of the Interior. Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel. First Annual Report. Denver, Colorado: U. S. Department of the Interior, June 1975.
    [general, oil shale industry, policy issues]
  - 167. U. S. Department of Labor. Bureau of Labor Statistics. The 1947

    Interindustry Relations Study, Industry Reports: General

    Explanations. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Report No. 9.

    March 1953.

    [methodology, input-output]
  - 168. U. S. Department of Labor. Bureau of Labor Statistics. The 1947

    Interindustry Relations Study, Industry Reports: Manufacturing
    Methodology. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Report No. 10.

    March 1953.

    [methodology, input-output]
  - Operations. Combined Statement of Receipts, Expenditures and Balances of the United States Government for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1975. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.

    [Federal Government finances]
  - 170. U. S. Department of the Treasury. Internal Revenue Service.

    Statistics of Income 1969, ZIP Code Area Data from Individual
    Income Tax Returns. Washington, D. C.: Government Printing
    Office, 1972.
    [households, Federal Government]
  - 171. U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration. Statistical

    Data of the Uranium Industry. Grand Junction, Colorado.

    Annual.

- 172. U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration. <u>Uranium Exploration Expenditures and Plans</u>. Grand Junction, Colorado. Annual.
  [10]
- 173. U. S. Fostal Service. Sectional Center Facility Managers in Golden and Grand Junction, Colorado. Files.
  [43]
- 174. Udis, Bernard, ed. "An Interindustry Analysis of the Colorado River Basin in 1960 with Projections to 1980 and 2010."

  (The Federal Water Pollution Control Administration Contract No. WA 67-4.) Boulder, Colorado: Department of Economics, University of Colorado, 1968. (Stencil reproduction.)

  [mathodology, input-output]
- 175. University of Colorado. Bureau of Economic and Business Research.

  Directory of Colorado Manufacturers. Annual.

  [directory, manufacturers]
- 176. University of Denver. College of Business Administration.

  Denver Metropolitan Area Consumer Price Index. Denver,
  Colorado. Quarterly.

  [consumer price index, Denver]
- 177. University of Denver. College of Law. "Energy Fuel Mineral Resources of the Public Lands, Volume V, Legal Study of Oil Shale on Public Lands." (The Public Land Law Review Commission Contract No. 68-C-12.) December 1970. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [oil shale resources, legal aspects, public lands]
- 178. University of Oklahoma. The Science and Public Policy Program.

  Energy Alternatives: A Comparative Analysis. (Council of Environmental Quality Contract No. EQ4AC434.) Washington,
  D. C.: Government Printing Office, 1975.

  [general, energy industries]
- 179. University of Utah. College of Law (coal). Also Public Land Law Review Commission (geothermal). "Energy Fuel Mineral Resources of the Public Lands, Volume 5, Legal Study of Coal and Geothermal Resources on Public Lands." (Coal report prepared under contract with the Public Land Law Review Commission, Contract No. 68-C-11; geothermal report inhouse.)

  December 1970. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [coal, geothermal steam resources, legal aspects, public lands]
- 180. Upper Colorado Region State-Federal Inter-Agency Group. Upper
  Colorado Region Comprehensive Framework Study. Main report
  and 18 appendices. Pacific Southwest Inter-Agency Committee,
  Water Resources Council, 1971. Appendix IV: Economic Base
  and Projections, by Economic Base and Projections Work Group.
  [methodology, input-output]

- 181. Veterans Administration. Administrator of Veterans Affairs.

  Annual Report. Annual.
  [80]
- 182. VTN Colorado, Inc. Environmental Impact Assessment for Proposed Colowyo Mine, Colowyo Coal Company. (W. R. Grace and Co.)

  Denver, Colorado, December 1975. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [EIS, coal]
- 183. Walters, Forrest, and Ramey, Gary. Colorado Agriculture:
  Business and Economic Activity. General Series 933. Fort
  Collins, Colorado: Experiment Station, Colorado State
  University, December 1973.
  [mathodology, input-output]
- 184. Weeks, J. B.; Leavesley, G. H.; Welder, F. A.; and Saulnier, G. J., Jr. "Simulated Effects of Oil Shale Development on the Hydrology of Piceance Basin, Colorado." U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 908, (1974).
  [n-w Colorado, oil shale, development impacts, water]
- 185. Western Oil Reporter. Rocky Mountain Petroleum Directory.

  Denver, Colorado: Hart Publications, Inc. Annual.

  [directory, petroleum industry]
- 186. White River Shale Project. "Quarterly Report: Environmental Baseline Data Collection and Monitoring Program, Federal Prototype Oil Shale Leasing Program, Tracts U-a and U-b, Utah." Salt Lake City, Utah. Quarterly. (Commercial reproduction.)
  [quarterly progress report, Federal tracts U-a and U-b]
- 187. Williamson, Robert B. "Simple Input-Output Models for Area Economic Analysis." Land Economics 46 (August 1970): 333-338.
  [methodology, input-output]
- 188. Wright, N. Gene; Stubblefield, Thomas M.; Gronewoller, Henry P.;
  Crim, Harry E.; and Swope, Daniel A. Cost of Producing
  Crops in the Irrigated Southwest. Part IV, Colorado.
  Technical Bulletin 221. Tucson, Arizona: Agricultural
  Experiment Station, University of Arizona, September 1975.
  [01]

## COMPLETION REPORT SERIES

No.	<u>Title</u>	Date	Price
1.	Bacterial Response to the Soil Environment	6/69	3.50
2. 3.	Computer Simulation of Waste Transport in Groundwater Aquifers Snow Accumulation in Relation to Forest Canopy	6/69 <b>6</b> /69	2.00 1.50
4.	Runoff From Forest and Agricultural Watersheds	6/69	3.00
5.	Soil Movement in an Alpine Area	6/69	1.00
6.	Stabilization of Alluvial Channels	6/69	3.00
7. 8.	Stability of Slopes with Seepage Improving Efficiency in Agricultural Water Use	6/69 6/69	3.00 1.00
9.	Controlled Accumulation of Blowing Snow	6/69	2.50
10.	Economics and Administration of Water Resources	6/69	2.50
11.	Organizational Adaptation to Change in Public Objectives for Water Management of Cache La Poudre River System	6.160	2.00
12.	Economics and Administration of Water Resources	6/69 6/69	3.00 3.00
13.	Economics of Groundwater Development in the High Plains of Colorado	6/69	1.50
14.	Hydrogeology and Water Quality Studies in the Cache La Poudre Basin, Colorado	6/69	5.90
15.	Hydraulic Operating Characteristics of Low Gradient Border Checks in the Management	6.160	2.00
16.	of Irrigation Water Experimental Investigation of Small Watershed Floods	6/68 <b>6</b> /68	3.00 2.00
17.	An Exploration of Components Affecting and Limiting Policymaking Options in	0,00	2.03
	Local Water Agencies	11/68	5.00
18.	Experimental Investigation of Small Watershed Floods	6/70 6/30	5.00
19. 20.	Hydraulics of Low Gradient Border Irrigation Systems Improving Efficiency in Agricultural Water Use	6/70 7/70	3.00 3.00
21.	Waterfowl-Water Temperature Relations in Winter	6/70	5.00
22.	An Exploration of Components Affecting and Limiting Policymaking Options in		
92	Local Water Agencies  A Systematic Trooptrant of the Broklem of Infiltration	6/70 6/71	3.00
23. 24.	A Systematic Treatment of the Problem of Infiltration Studies of the Atmospheric Water Balance	6/71 8/71	3.0მ 5.00
25.	Evaporation of Water as Related to Wind Barriers	6/71	5.00
26.	Water Temperature as a Quality Factor in the Use of Streams and Reservoirs	12/71	3.00
27.	Local Water Agencies, Communication Patterns, and the Planning Process	9/71	5.00
28. 29.	Combined Cooling and Bio-Treatment of Beet Sugar Factory Condenser Water Effluent Identification of Urban Watershed Units Using Remote Multispectral Sensing	6/71 6/71	5.00 5.00
30.	Geolydraulics at the Unconformity Between Bedrock and Alluvial Aquifers	6/72	5.00
31.	Sedimentation and Contaminant Criteria for Watershed Planning and Management	6/72	5.00
32.	Bacterial Movement Through Fractured Bedrock The Mashacian of Waste Through Fractured Bedrock	7/72	5.00
33. 34.	The Mechanism of Waste Treatment at Low Temperature, Part A: Microbiology The Mechanism of Waste Treatment at Low Temperature, Part B: Sanitary Engineering	<b>8/7</b> 2 8/72	5.00 <b>5.0</b> 0
35.	An Application of Multi-Variate Analysis in Hydrology	8/72	5.00
36.	Urban-Metropolitan Institutions for Water Planning Development and Management	9/72	5.00
37.	Searching the Social Science Literature on Water: A Guide to Selected Information Storage and Retrieval Systems - Preliminary Version	9/72	5.00
38.	Water Quality Management Decisions in Colorado	6/72	5.00
39.	Institutions for Urban-Metropolitan Water Management Essays in Social Theory	11/72	5.60
40.	Selection of Test Variable for Minimal Time Detection of Basin Response to	30.130	2 00
41.	Natural or Induced Changes Groundwater Recharge as Affected by Surface Vegetation and Management	12/72 12/72	3.00 5.00
42.	Theory and Experiments in the Prediction of Small Watershed Response	12/72	5.00
43.	Experiments in Small Watershed Response	12/72	5.00
44.	Economic, Political, and Legal Aspects of Colorado Water Law	2/73	5.00
45. 46.	Mathematical Modeling of Water Management Strategies in Urbanizing River Basins  Evaluation or Urban Water Management Policies in the Denver Metropolitan Area	6/73 6/73	7.50 7.50
47.	Coordination of Agricultural and Urban Water Quality Management in the Utah	0,73	7.30
	Lake Drainage Área	6/73	7.50
48.	Institutional Requirements for Optimal Water Quality Management in Arid Urban Areas	6/73	3.00
49. 50.	Improvements in Moving Sprinkler Irrigation Systems for Conservation of Water Systematic Treatment of Infiltration with Applications	6/73 6/73	7.50 5.00
51.	An Experimental Study of Soil Water Flow Systems Involving Hystersis	8/73	7.00
52.	Consolidation of Irrigation Systems: Phase 1-Engineering, Legal and Sociological		
•	Constraints and/or facilitators	6/73	25.00 7.00
53. 54.	Systematic Design of Legal Regulations for Optimal Surface-Groundwater Usage Geologic Factors in the Evaluation of Water Pollution Potential at Mountain	8/73	7.00
J4.	Dwelling Sites	12/73	10.00
55.	Water Law in Relation to Environmental Quality	3/74	30.00
56.	Evaluation and Implementation of Urban Drainage and Flood Control Projects	6/74	8.00
57. 58.	<ul> <li>Snow-Air Interactions and Management of Mountain Watershed Snowpack</li> <li>Primary Data on Economic Activity and Mater Use in Prototype Oil Shale Development</li> </ul>	6/74	3.00
<b>30.</b>	Areas of Colorado: An Initial Inquiry	6/74	2.00
59.	A System for Geologic Evaluation of Pollution at Mountain Duelling Sites	1/75	3.50