Jessica Clement, Ph.D. jessica.clement@colostate.edu



CONNECTING HUMAN DIMENSIONS RESEARCH TO PLACE-BASED COLLABORATION THROUGH SCIENCE DELIVERY



OUTLINE

Project Description A Paradox Literature Science Delivery Results Summary

HUMAN DIMENSIONS PARADOX

- Agencies unfamiliar/untrained in the methods, uses and integration of social science data.
- Yet mandated in legislation such as NEPA.
- Additional legislation such as HFRA (2004) and CFLRA (2009) make social science imperative tool for collaboration.
- Agencies, local stakeholders and social science discipline still grappling with this.

THIS FELLOWSHIP

- Find ways to integrate social science data collected in 2007 and 2008 for the Bridger Teton National Forest, Wyoming.
- Literature: More to discover but HDNR field is gradually becoming engaged?

Factors:

- Data: Survey, spatial and Q-study data.
- © Context: BTNF, Forest Planning, Oil and Gas
- Stakeholders, internal and external.

KEEP IT SIMPLE

- Hand-outs and maps with place-specific survey data and spatial analyses – instruments also for later use.
- Visits with District Ranger, staff and external constituents.
- Issue-based exploration of data: oil and gas (PXP and 447).

DISCOVERIES

- No idea of methods.
- No idea of uses for projects.
- No idea how to integrate into documents or decision-making.
- Fear of social science if seen as absolute.
- Districts to Leadership: increase curiosity and create "information envy".

LESSONS LEARNED

- Need for internal gate keeper.
- Little time and questionable Relevance to an audience with ADD: very short attention span.
- Application of Andragogy and Adult Learning Theories critical.
- Step away from the projector.
- Need for flexibility and time for social scientist.

OUTCOMES OF SCIENCE DELIVERY

- Application to projects: Ham's Fork Vegetation Management discovery of zone of agreement (used in Washington roadless decision-making).
- Social science to initiate collaboration: Grey's River District and the county commissioners.
- Value of social science data to internal structure and improvement of morale.
- Value of social science for specific, high conflict decision-making: 447 and possible future study.
- Social Science as institutional orientation tool: what is low hanging fruit re. needs, public approval?

CONCLUSION

- Effectiveness will vary across agencies depending on personalities, funding, priorities.
- Paradox: for social science to be truly relevant, accessible and usable need more on-the-ground application: "pracademics" or social science extension.
- Landscape and collaboration: social science valuable as initiation and decision-making tool.
- Landscapes, collaboration and legislation: social science provides context: missing link between landscapes (inc. resources, wildlife species, ecology, watersheds etc.) people and decision makers.

THANKS

- A most rewarding experience as a social scientist –
- Thank You Center for Collaborative Conservation.
- Thanks to USDA Forest Service and Bridger-Teton National Forest.
- Michael Schrotz and Brian Goldberg.
- Colorado Forest Restoration Institute.

QUESTIONS

Jessica Clement, Ph.D. Jessica. clement@colostate.edu