THESIS

STUDIES ON SELENIUM HYPERACCUMULATOR STANLEYA PINNATA AND NONACCUMULATOR STANLEYA ELATA (BRASSICACEAE): FUNCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SELENATE TRANSPORTER SULTR1;2 IN YEAST AND DEVELOPMENT OF A MICROPROPAGATION PROTOCOL

Submitted by

Zackary S Guignardi

Department of Biology

In partial fulfillment of the requirements

For the Degree of Master of Science

Colorado State University

Fort Collins, Colorado

Summer 2017

Master's Committee:

Advisor: Elizabeth Pilon-Smits

Marinus Pilon Tom Santangelo Copyright by Zackary Shiff Guignardi 2017

All Rights Reserved

ABSTRACT

STUDIES ON SELENIUM HYPERACCUMULATOR STANLEYA PINNATA AND NONACCUMULATOR STANLEYA ELATA (BRASSICACEAE): FUNCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SELENATE TRANSPORTER SULTR1;2 IN YEAST AND DEVELOPMENT OF A MICROPROPAGATION PROTOCOL

Stanleya pinnata is an herbaceous perennial species in the family Brassicaceae native to the western United States. This species is classified as a selenium (Se) hyperaccumulator, and can be found thriving on Se-rich soils. Selenium hyperaccumulators are plant species that have the capacity to accumulate Se over 1,000 mg kg⁻¹ dry weight in their tissues, concentrations toxic to non-accumulator plant species as well as to herbivores and pathogens, which may explain why plants hyperaccumulate Se. Due to the chemical similarity of Se to sulfur (S), Se is believed to be transported and metabolized by the same proteins and enzymes, including sulfate transporters and the sulfate assimilation pathway. Selenate (SeO_4^{2-}), the predominant available form of Se in soil, is transported into the roots mainly via the high-affinity membrane transporter SULTR1;2. While most plants do not appear to discriminate between selenate and sulfate, and the two compounds compete for uptake, selenate uptake in Se hyperaccumulators is less inhibited by high sulfate concentrations. Since SULTR1:2 is the main portal of entry for selenate into the plant, it may be hypothesized that SULTR1;2 from the Se hyperaccumulator S. pinnata has intrinsic properties that allow this species to discriminate between sulfate and selenate and preferentially take up selenate. One of the objectives of this thesis project was to test this hypothesis, by means of functional characterization of SULTR1;2 from S. pinnata and from control species Stanleya elata, and Arabidopsis thaliana in the YSD1 yeast mutant which lacks its native sulfate transporters.

A secondary objective in this thesis project was to develop a micropropagation protocol for *Stanleya*. In order to effectively study Se hyperaccumulation in a laboratory setting, sufficient numbers of *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* plants need to be available. However, due to low rates of seed germination, vernalization requirements, self-incompatibility, and ineffectiveness of propagation by cuttings, conventional propagation methods via seed or vegetative cuttings severely limit the number of plants that can be cultivated at a time. In order to overcome these limits, a tissue culture micropropagation protocol for leaf explants of *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* was developed. This protocol will allow for the rapid reproduction of both *Stanleya* species, not only to be used in laboratory experiments, but also in industrial applications such as Se phytoremediation projects, as well as for horticultural and native landscaping purposes.

The first chapter of this thesis reviews plant Se uptake and metabolism, offering an overview of the current understanding of the Se assimilation pathway in plants, including mechanisms of accumulation and tolerance unique to Se hyperaccumulators. This chapter also outlines key proteins and enzymes in the Se assimilation pathway that are candidates for future experiments to determine the mechanisms of Se hyperaccumulation.

The second chapter describes the results from yeast studies, characterizing the selenate and sulfate transport capabilities of SULTR1;2 from hyperaccumulator *S. pinnata* and non-accumulators *S. elata*, and *A. thaliana*, and their selenate specificity, as judged from the effects of sulfate competition on selenate uptake. Interestingly, yeast transformed with SULTR1;2 from *S. pinnata* (SpSultr1;2) showed less inhibition of selenate uptake by high sulfate concentration, indicating that this species' selenate selectivity may be facilitated by the SULTR1;2 protein. While apparently more Se-specific, yeast transformed with *SpSultr1;2* overall took up less Se when compared to yeast expression SULTR1;2 from non-accumulators. It is feasible that a

iii

mutation that changes the substrate specificity of SpSULTR1;2 also reduced its overall activity. In *S. pinnata*, *SpSultr1;2* transcript was found in earlier studies to be ~10-fold up-regulated when compared to *S. elata*, which may compensate for decreased activity. Identification of a selenatespecific transporter has applications for Se phytoremediation and biofortification. Constitutive overexpression of a hyperaccumulator selenate transporter in other plant species may increase their uptake of Se, even in the presence of high environmental S levels.

The third chapter of this thesis outlines the development of a fast and efficient tissue culture micropropagation protocol for *S. pinnata* and *S. elata*. Through the testing of multiple concentrations of hormones on *in vitro* callus formation, shoot induction and elongation, and root formation, followed by *ex vitro* acclimatization, both species of *Stanleya* were shown to be very amenable to micropropagation. Both exhibited rapid callus, shoot, and root induction under a wide range of 1-napthaleneacetic acid (NAA), 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP), and indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) concentrations. Future experiments could explore the genetic transformation of *S. elata* plants with genes from *S. pinnata* to test their importance for Se accumulation and tolerance in this related non-accumulating species. This micropropagation protocol also opens up the possibility to cultivate the *Stanleya* species at a large scale for multiple applications including biofortification, phytoremediation, and native landscaping.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge generous funding from the National Science Foundation, and Colorado State University for making the completion of this thesis possible. I want to thank my graduate committee members Marinus Pilon and Tom Santangelo for their valuable insight during the completion of these studies. I would also like to thank Mario Guignardi, Corey Ahern, Kimberly Shiff, and Alexandra Abchal for their support and encouragement; lab members Alyssa Cochran, Gretchen Kroh, Jason Reynolds, Leonardo Lima, Ying Jiang, Ali El Mehdawi and Michela Schiavon for their insight and assistance during my time as a graduate student. Finally, I would like to acknowledge my graduate advisor Dr. Pilon-Smits for all of her guidance, instruction, knowledge, and support that she has provided me during my two years spent on this thesis.

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
1. CHAPTER 1- BIOCHEMISTRY OF PLANT SE UPTAKE AND METABOLISM	1
1.1 Uptake and Translocation of Selenium	1
1.2 Conversion of Inorganic Selenium into Organic Forms:	
The First Steps of Selenium Assimilation	4
1.3 Formation and Processing of Seleno-Amino Acids: Mechanisms of Preventing	
Selenium Toxicity	7
REFERENCES	14
2. CHAPTER 2- CHARACTERIZATION OF SELENATE TRANSPORT OF ROOT	
MEMBRANE TRANSPORTER SULTR1;2 FROM SELENIUM HYPERACCUMULAT	OR
STANLEYA PINNATA AND NONACCUMULATORS STANLEYA ELATA AND	
ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA	27
2.1 Introduction	27
2.2 Materials and Methods	30
2.3 Results	38
2.4 Discussion	55
REEFERENCES	60
3. CHAPTER 3: A TISSUE CULTURE MICROPROPAGATION AND REGENERATION	
PROTOCOL FOR SELENIUM HYPERACCUMULATOR STANLEYA PINNATA ANI	D
NON-ACCUMULATOR STANLEYA ELATA	64
3.1 Introduction	64
3.2 Materials and Methods	65
3.3 Results	68
3.4 Discussion	78
REFERENCES	81
4. APPENDIX A: Location of Relevant Plasmids and Glycerol Stocks	84
5. APPENDIX B: SD-S Media Components	86
6. APPENDIX C: DNA sequences of tagged and untagged Sultr1;2 genes from S. pinnata,	
S. elata, and A. thaliana.	89

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	
Table 2.2	40
Table 2.3	41
Table 2.4	
Table 2.5	55
Table 2.6	55

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	13
Figure 2.1	44
Figure 2.2	45
Figure 2.3	47
Figure 2.4	
Figure 2.5	
Figure 2.6	
Figure 2.7	51
Figure 2.8	
Figure 2.9	53
Figure 2.10	54
Figure 3.1	70
Figure 3.2	71
Figure 3.3	72
Figure 3.4	73
Figure 3.5	74
Figure 3.6	75
Figure 3.7	76
Figure 3.8	77

CHAPTER 1: BIOCHEMISTRY OF PLANT SE UPTAKE AND METABOLISM

1.1 Uptake and Translocation of Selenium

Plants take up Se primarily in two forms, either as selenate (SeO₄²⁻) or selenite (SeO₃²), but they have the capacity to take up organic Se compounds as well. However, plants are unable to take up elemental Se or metal selenide compounds (White & Broadley, 2009). Selenate is the most common form of Se taken up by plants and is the predominant bioavailable form in alkaline and well-oxidized soils, while selenite is the main identifiable bioavailable form in anaerobic soils and wetlands (Mikkelsen et al., 1989; White et al., 2007b; Fordyce, 2012). Due to its chemical similarities to sulfur (S), Se in the form of selenate is transported throughout the plant via the sulfate transport system. Sulfate transporters were first characterized in Arabidopsis thaliana selenate-resistant mutants (Shibagaki, 2002) and can be clustered into 4 main groups. Group 1 includes high affinity sulfate transporters, SULTR1;1 and SULTR1;2, which are the best-characterized and primarily found in the roots (Buchner, 2004). Group 2 transporters have a low affinity for sulfate, are found throughout the plant, and have a role in sulfate loading into the vascular systems, and thus in translocation. Two isoforms have been identified in A. thaliana, SULTR2:1 and SULTR2:2, both expressed in leaves and roots. AtSULTR2;1 localizes to the xylem parenchyma, as well as the phloem cells in leaves and pericycle cells in roots, while AtSULTR2;2 is found in the phloem cells in roots and the bundle sheath cells in leaves (Takahashi, 2000; Buchner, 2004). Group 3 sulfate transporters are only found in leaves, and do not show responsiveness to the sulfur status of the plant (Buchner, 2004). AtSULTR3;1 localizes to the chloroplasts, and loss of this transporter greatly reduced the sulfate uptake capacity of these organelles (Cao et al., 2013). Group 4 includes sulfate transporters localized in tonoplasts. In A. thaliana, AtSULTR4;1 and AtSULTR4;2 have been characterized as low affinity sulfate

transporters playing a role in sulfate vacuolar efflux, which may make sulfate more available for export via the vasculature; thus, AtSULTR4;1 and AtSULTR4;2 have been implicated to contribute to root-shoot translocation and the delivery of sulfate to developing seeds (Zuber et al., 2010).

Selenate enters the roots through the high affinity sulfate transporters SULTR1;1 and SULTR1;2, which are proton-sulfate symporters; for every molecule of selenate that enters the roots, 3 protons are also taken up (Lass and Ulrich-Eberius, 1984; Hawkesford et al., 1993) (Figure 1.1). The expression of SULTR1;1 and SULTR1;2 is controlled by the sulfur status of the plant. SULTR1;1 expression is lower and upregulated under S-deficient conditions, while SULTR1;2 is highly expressed under both S-sufficient and S-deficient conditions (White et al., 2007; El Kassis et al., 2007). Both SULTR1 transporters have the capacity to mediate selenate transport from the soil into the root cells, but there is unequal functional redundancy between these two transporters (Barberon, 2008). Arabidopsis thaliana sultr1;2 mutants displayed a higher tolerance to selenate compared to *sultr1;1* mutants and wild-type plants, while *sultr1;1*sultr1;2 double mutants exhibited the greatest tolerance to selenate (Barberon, 2008). This suggests that SULTR1;2 is the main portal for selenate entry into the plant, compared to SULTR1;1. SULTR1;2 shares 70% amino acid homology with other high-affinity plant sulfate transporters, and is localized in the root hairs as well as the root epidermis and cortex (Takahashi, 2002). AtSULTR1;2 was found to complement the function of two yeast sulfate transporters located in the plasma membrane (Takahashi, 2002).

Recent research suggests that SULTR1 homologs found in Se hyperaccumulator species may have a preference for selenate transport over sulfate, which may explain the high Se/S ratio and Se hyperaccumulator status of these plants (White, 2015). SULTR1 sequences isolated from

several hyperaccumulator species in the genus *Astragalus* (Fabaceae) contain an alanine residue instead of the glycine found in SULTR1 isoforms of non-accumulating angiosperms, which may play a role in the preferential uptake of selenate over sulfate reported in these species (White, 2015; Cabannes et al., 2011).

While the high-affinity sulfate transporters are responsible for the transport of selenate into the plant, selenite is taken up through a separate pathway. It is believed that selenite uptake is mediated by root phosphate transporters. Studies in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L. cv. Evening Shade) and strawberry clover (*Trifolium fragiferrum* L. cv. O'Conner) showed that selenite uptake was reduced by up to 50% in response to a 10-fold increase in phosphate treatment (Hopper & Parker, 1999). Another study has shown that the K_m of selenite influx increased in the presence of phosphate in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) (Li et al., 2008). These results indicate the existence of competition for uptake between selenite and phosphate, suggesting the two molecules share a common transporter, as has been reported for yeast (Lazard et al., 2010).

Plants also have the capacity to take up organic forms of Se via amino acid permeases, which are plasma membrane-localized transporters mediating the uptake of amino acids in the cell (Figure 1.1). Two common forms of organic Se are selenocysteine (SeCys) and selenomethionine (SeMet). Normally, these products are formed from inorganic pools of Se through the S assimilation pathway, but there is evidence that plants can take up organic selenocompounds directly. Studies in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum*) and spring canola (*Brassica napus*) showed that organic forms of Se, specifically selenomethionine and selenocystine, were taken up at rates over 20-fold higher than selenate or selenite (Zayed, et al., 1998; Kikkert & Berkelaar, 2013). A broad specificity amino acid permease isolated from *A*.

thaliana complemented proline uptake in yeast mutant strains, with the strongest competitors for proline uptake being cysteine and methionine (Frommer et al., 1993). It is conceivable that selenocysteine and selenomethionine are taken up by this amino acid transporter as well.

1.2 Conversion of Inorganic Selenium into Organic Forms: The First Steps of Selenium Assimilation

After uptake into the roots, selenate needs to be converted into a biologically active form for assimilation into the plant. This is carried out by the enzyme ATP sulfurylase, which couples selenate (or sulfate) to ATP, forming adenosine 5'-phosphoselenate (APSe) or adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate (APS) (Leustek, 1994; Pilon-Smits et al., 2009; Schiavon et al., 2015) (Figure 1.1). This step, which was found to be rate limiting in Se assimilation (Pilon-Smits et al., 2009) occurs in both the cytosol and plastids (White et al., 2007b; Pilon-Smits & LeDuc, 2009; Pilon-Smits, 2012).

First characterized during studies of S assimilation, ATP sulfurylase was found to be derepressed by a selenate concentration 1/10th that of sulfate, indicating it is responsible for the assimilation of both molecules (Reuveny, 1977). There have been 4 isoforms of ATP sulfurylase identified in *A. thaliana* (APS1-4), all localizing to the plastids of cells (Anjum et al., 2015), but *A. thaliana* APS2 was found to have dual localization to both the plastids and cytosol (Bohrer et al., 2015).

ATP sulfurylase has been a target for genetic engineering of plants with higher Se uptake capacity, with the aim of developing plants for use in phytoremediation. Transgenic Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) overexpressing APS1 from *A. thaliana* showed increased selenate reduction, with roots and shoots containing mostly organic Se compounds compared to wild-type plants which mostly accumulated selenate (Pilon-Smits, 1999). Greenhouse experiments

conducted with *B. juncea* APS transgenics grown on naturally seleniferous soils demonstrated that these plants accumulated Se up to 3-fold higher than wild type plants (Van Huysen et al., 2004). Field experiments in California on Se-contaminated soil confirmed these findings, with APS transgenics accumulating 4-fold more Se than wild type plants (Bañuelos et al., 2005).

APSe is converted to selenite by the activity of APS reductase (APR) (Figure 1.1). This reaction happens exclusively in the plastids. APR is an essential enzyme and is reported to be another rate-limiting step in selenate assimilation (Setya et al., 1996; Suter et al., 2000; Sors et al., 2005). The reaction equilibrium of ATP sulfurylase favors the reverse direction, and so the products of this reaction need to be converted rapidly in order for assimilation to proceed (Sors et al., 2005; Saito, 2004). While native expression of APR in several Astragalus species was not found to correlate with Se hyperaccumulation, transgenic experiments have shown that overexpression of APR enhances selenate reduction into organic forms, thus suggesting a role for this enzyme in selenate assimilation (Sors et al., 2005). APR's role in the Se assimilation pathway is also supported by the fact that increased activity of this enzyme contributed to increased Se flux through the plant (Sors et al., 2005). Apr2-1 Arabidopsis mutants showed enhanced levels of selenate, but decreased levels of selenite, implicating APR2 in converting APSe into selenite (Grant et al., 2011). These mutants also had decreased selenate tolerance due to decreased levels of glutathione, which helps to prevent the formation of damaging superoxides in the cell (Grant et al., 2011).

The next step in the Se assimilation pathway is the reduction of selenite to selenide, for incorporation into organic molecules such as amino acids. The conversion of selenite into selenide may occur either enzymatically or non-enzymatically. Sulfite reductase (SiR) is responsible for the conversion of sulfite to sulfide during reductive sulfate assimilation

(Yarmolinsky et al., 2012), so it is not out of the question for the same enzyme to catalyze the reduction of selenite (Pilon-Smits, 2012; White, 2015) (Figure 1.1). There is a single copy of the gene coding for SiR in A. thaliana (Khan et al., 2010), and it has been found to localize to plastids (Armengaud et al., 1995; Bork et al., 1998). The conversion to selenide may also occur non-enzymatically through an interaction between selenite and reduced glutathione (GSH) (Anderson, 2001; Terry, 2000; Pilon-Smits, 2012). This conversion takes place in multiple steps, with selenite first converted to the organic molecule GSSeSG non-enzymatically, which is then converted to GSSeH and finally to selenide through the action of glutathione reductase (GR) using NADPH as a reductant (Hsieh & Ganther, 1975) (Figure 1.1). In support of a GR role in Se assimilation, yeast glutathione was shown to reduce selenite to selenide (Hsieh & Ganther, 1975). Thus, while the reduction of selenite may be non-enzymatic, the regeneration of reduced glutathione is mediated by the enzyme GR. It belongs to the oxidoreductase family of proteins, which require NADP+ or NAD+ to transfer electrons from one molecule to another (Price & Stevens, 1999). Glutathione reductase is responsible for converting glutathione from its oxidized state back to its reduced form, which is essential in numerous cellular processes such as combating oxidative stress, promoting enzyme stability, and the regulation of cell metabolism (Jocelyn, 1972; Williams, 1976). In plants, this enzyme is active in chloroplasts and cytosol (Foyer and Halliwell, 1976). The reduction of oxidized glutathione by GR in chloroplasts has been reported to be coupled to photosynthetic electron transport (Jablonski & Anderson, 1978; Schaedle and Bassham, 1977) and may suggest that the reduction of selenite to selenide occurs in the chloroplasts as part of a light-dependent reaction (Ng & Anderson, 1978).

Se toxicity in plants can be attributed to many factors, including oxidative stress, but the main cause is considered to be the misincorporation of selenoamino acids into proteins (Pilon-

Smits, 2012). Selenium can replace sulfur in the amino acids cysteine (Cys) and methionine (Met) to produce selenocysteine (SeCys) and selenomethionine (SeMet). The prevention of incorporating these selenoamino acids into proteins is a key feature of Se hyperaccumulator species, and is instrumental for their high Se tolerance (Brown & Shrift, 1982).

1.3 Formation and Processing of Seleno-Amino Acids: Mechanisms of Preventing Selenium Toxicity

The first step in the formation of selenoamino acids is carried out by the enzyme complex Cysteine synthase (CS), which catalyzes the formation of SeCys from O-acetylserine (OAS) and selenide (White, 2015; Pilon-Smits, 2012). This process occurs in the chloroplasts of cells, but also in the cytosol and mitochondria (Ng & Anderson, 1978; Wirtz et al., 2001) (Figure 1.1). During S assimilation, Cys is formed by the reaction between OAS and hydrogen sulfide (Giovanelli, 1990). Selenocysteine formation is identical to this reaction, with the substitution of hydrogen selenide as a reactant. Cysteine synthase is a complex formed by the association of two enzymes, serine acetyltransferase (SAT) and OAS thiol-lyase (OAS-TL) (Bogdanova & Hell, 1997). SeCys can be incorporated into proteins nonspecifically, which can lead to disruption of protein function and thus Se toxicity (Stadtman 1990; Neuhierl & Bock, 1996; Van Huysen et al., 2003). The prevention of non-specific incorporation of SeCys into proteins is crucial in preventing Se toxicity. The methylation of SeCys to form methyl-SeCys (MeSeCys) is a key mechanism used by hyperaccumulator species to reduce the amount of SeCys available for incorporation into proteins (Pilon-Smits et al., 2009). The enzyme SeCys methyltransferase (SMT) is responsible for this conversion (Neuhierl and Bock 1995). SMT is homologous to other enzymes with similar functions, such as YagD in Escherichia coli, a homocysteine methyltransferase (HMT) able to methylate both SeCys and homocysteine, and belongs to a class

of methyltransferases involved in the metabolism of S-methylmethionine (Neuhierl et al., 1999; Sors et al., 2005). SMT was also found to be highly homologous to HMTs isolated from A. thaliana and Oryza sativa (Sors et al., 2005), and is localized in the chloroplasts (Sors et al., 2009). SMT also shows a preference for the methylation of SeCys over Cys by at least 3 orders of magnitude (Neuhierl & Bock, 1996), further solidifying its role in conferring Se tolerance to plants (Neuhierl et al., 1999). SMT has been identified in multiple non-accumulator and Se hyperaccumulator species of Astragalus but only the isoform from the hyperaccumulators had the ability to produce MeSeCys, indicating its essential role in the ability to tolerate and accumulate high levels of Se (Sors et al., 2009). In fact, the main form of Se found in the hyperaccumulators A. bisulcatus and Stanleya pinnata is MeSeCys, due to the high activity of the SMT enzyme (Neuhierl et al., 1999; Birringer et al., 2002; Pickering et al., 2003; Sors et al., 2005; Freemen et al., 2006, 2010; Lindblom et al., 2013; Alford et al., 2014; White 2015), while selenate was the major Se compound found in related non-accumulator species (de Souza et al., 1998; Freeman et al., 2006; Pilon-Smits 2012). Although SMT is found to be highly expressed specifically in hyperaccumulators (Sors et al., 2009), some Se accumulator species, such as Brassica oleracea (Broccoli) also have an SMT enzyme, but it is expressed only in the presence of Se (Lyi et al., 2005; Pilon-Smits 2012). SMT has been used in transgenic studies to confer increased Se accumulation and tolerance in non-accumulating species. SMT isolated from A. *bisulcatus* induced the accumulation of MeSeCys and γ -glutamyl-MeSeCys in A. *thaliana*, and increased Se accumulation and volatilization in *B. juncea* (LeDuc et al., 2003; Ellis et al., 2004).

While the production of MeSeCys is critical to Se tolerance in plants, further processing of this molecule into volatile compounds serves as another mechanism by which plants tolerate high levels of Se. The volatile compound dimethyldiselenide (DMDSe) is formed by oxidation

and methylation of MeSeCys (Meija et al., 2002; Sors et al., 2005). First, MeSeCys is converted to methylselenocysteineselenideoxide (MeSeCysSeO), whose sulfur analog methylcysteinesulfoxide (MeCysSO) is responsible for many *Brassica* varieties' characteristic flavors (Chin & Lindsay, 1994). This compound is then converted to another key intermediate methaneselenol (CH₃SeH) via the action of the enzyme Cys sulfoxide lyase (Chin & Lindsay, 1994; Griffiths et al., 2002; Ellis & Salt, 2003). DMDSe production occurs in the leaves, and has been detected in the Se hyperaccumulator *Astragalus racemosus* (Evans et al., 1967). Volatile Se compounds have been hypothesized to aid in defense against herbivory. This is supported not only by the fact that the production of these volatiles occurs in the leaves, but that it also occurs primarily after tissue injury (Ellis & Salt, 2003).

The formation of SeMet occurs through the enzymatic conversion of SeCys. There are multiple steps involved in the synthesis of SeMet, which include potential targets for transgenic phytoremediation efforts. First, SeCys is converted to Se-cystathionine by the enzyme cystathionine-γ-synthase (CGS) (Pilon-Smits, 2012). CGS catalyzes the formation of Se-cystathionine via the condensation of O-phosphohomoserine (OPH) and SeCys (Van Huysen et al., 2013; Sors et al., 2005). CGS was shown to be a rate-limiting enzyme in the conversion of SeCys to volatile DMSe (Van Huysen et al., 2003). Transgenic *B. juncea* overexpressing CGS had 2-3 fold higher Se volatilization rates and concurrent 20-40% lower shoot and 50-70% lower root Se levels compared to wild type plants, highlighting the value of this approach for applications in Se phytoremediation (Van Huysen et al., 2003, 2004). Se-cystathionine is converted to Se-homocysteine via a reaction between Se-cystathionine and water, mediated by the enzyme cystathionine beta-lyase. This enzyme is shared in both the Se and S assimilation pathways, evidenced by the fact that cystathionine beta-lyase isolated from both Se

hyperaccumulator and non-accumulator plant species had the capacity to cleave both Secystathionine and cystathionine into Se-homocysteine and homocysteine, respectively (Sors et al., 2005; McCluskey et al., 1986). Finally, the conversion of Se-homocysteine to SeMet is catalyzed by the enzyme Met synthase. Met synthase has been isolated from plants from various angiosperm taxa, including *A. thaliana, Catharanthus roseus,* and *Coleus blumei* (Eichel et al., 1995; Petersen et al., 1995; Ravanel et al., 1998). Using methyl-tetrahydrofolate as a carbon donor, Met synthase catalyzes the conversion of Se-homocysteine to SeMet (Cossins & Chen, 1997).

Like SeCys, SeMet is subject to further processing steps that reduce its incorporation into proteins. The volatile Se compound DMSe is synthesized via the S volatilization pathway starting from SeMet (Tagmount et al., 2002). Enzymes involved in the S volatilization pathway and formation of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) have also been discovered to be involved in the production of DMSe (Terry & Zayed, 1994; Tagmount et al., 2002). The production of DMSe in plants is important not only as a defense against herbivores, but it also diverts large pools of potentially toxic SeMet to the significantly less toxic DMSe. DMSe was found to be almost 600 times less toxic than inorganic Se compounds (McConnell & Portman, 1952; Wilber, 1980). DMSe is the main volatile Se compound isolated from non-accumulator plant species, while DMDSe is primarily produced in hyperaccumulators (Pilon-Smits & LeDuc, 2009). The first step in the synthesis of DMSe is the methylation of SeMet to form Se-methyl Se-Met (SeMM) by the enzyme S-adenosyl-L-Met:Met-S-methyltransferase (MMT) (Tagmount et al., 2002). SeMM can be converted to DMSe by one of two pathways. SeMM may first be converted to the intermediate molecule 3-dimethylselenoniopropionate (DMSeP) (Kocsis et al., 1998). The sulfur analog DMSP is a biologically important molecule, playing important roles in osmoprotection of

plants and bacteria (Mason & Blunden, 1989; Paquet et al., 1994; Kocsis et al., 1998). The synthesis of DMSP has been detected in members of the family Poaceae, such as *Spartina alterniflora* (Kocsis et al., 1998), as well as members of the Asteraceae including *Melanthera biflora* (syn. = *Wollastonia biflora*) (Hanson et al., 1994; James et al., 1995) and *Ratibida pinnata* (Paquet et al., 1995). The synthesis of DMSe may also proceed directly from SeMM via the enzyme methylmethionine hydrolase (Mudd et al., 1990; Meija et al., 2002; Ellis & Salt, 2003).

Aside from volatilization, plants have another mechanism to help prevent Se toxicity. Selenocysteine lyase (SL) is an enzyme that breaks down SeCys into elemental Se and alanine, reducing the amount of free SeCys available for misincorporation into proteins (Van Hoewyk et al., 2005). Selenocysteine lyases are analogous to NifS-like Cys desulfurase proteins characterized in Arabidopsis (Ye et al., 2005), whose main role is to generate free S from Cys for the formation of FeS clusters (Pilon-Smits et al., 2002). There are two isoforms of SeCys lyase found in plants, with different subcellular localization patterns; one isoform localizes to the cytosol (Kushnir et al., 2001), and the other to mitochondria and plastids (Pilon-Smits et al., 2002). Overexpression of a chloroplast-localizing NifS protein from Arabidopsis (AtCpNifS) was found to increase Se tolerance by 1.9-fold and increased Se accumulation by 2.2-fold (Van Hoewyk, 2005). Similarly, expression of a mouse SL caused a 2-fold reduction in Se incorporation into proteins and a 1.5-fold increase in shoot Se concentration in Arabidopsis (Pilon, 2003), as well as a 2-fold increase in Se accumulation in Indian mustard in both lab (Garifullina et al., 2003) and field (Bañuelos et al., 2007) studies. Selenocysteine lyases not only help to reduce Se toxicity in plants, but also appear to be promising enzymes to exploit for phytoremediation purposes.

The mechanisms by which plants accumulate, assimilate, and tolerate Se mirror aspects of the S assimilation pathway, but the roles these two elements play in the plant are very different. By better understanding the pathways of Se assimilation, new approaches to developing plants for phytoremediation and biofortification can be exploited, and mechanisms that hyperaccumulator species exploit in their uptake and assimilation of Se can be further elucidated.



Figure 1.1) Schematic model of Se assimilation and metabolism in plant mesophyll cells. Red text and arrows indicate Se hyperaccumulator processes. Asterisks indicate enzymes overexpressed via genetic engineering. Sultr: sulfate/selenate cotransporters; APSe: adenosine phosphoselenate; GSH: glutathione; SAT: serine acetyltransferase; OAS: O-acetylserine; (Se)Cys: (seleno)cysteine; OPH: O-phosphohomoserine; (Se)Met: (seleno)methionine; MMT: methylmethionine methyltransferase; DMSeP: dimethylselenoproprionate; DM(D)Se: dimethyl(di)selenide (volatile); SMT: selenocysteine methyltransferase. Adapted from Schiavon & Pilon-Smits, 2016.

REFERENCES

Alford E R, Lindblom SD, Pittarello M, Freeman JL, Fakra SC, Marcus MA, Broeckling C, Pilon-Smits EAH, Paschke MW (2014) Roles of rhizobial symbionts in selenium hyperaccumulation in *Astragalus* (Fabaceae). Amer J Bot 101:1895-1905

Anderson JW, Mcmahon PJ (2001) The role of glutathione in the uptake and metabolism of sulfur and selenium. In: Grill D, Tausz, Michael M, de Kok LJ (eds) Significance of glutathione to plant adaptation to the environment. Plant Ecophysiology, vol 2. Springer, Netherlands, pp 57-99

Armengaud J, Gaillard J, Forest E, Jouanneau Y (2008) Characterization of a 2[4Fe-4S] ferredoxin obtained by chemical insertion of the Fe-S clusters into the apoferredoxin II from *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. Eur J Biochem 231:396-404

Barberon M, Berthomieu P, Clairotte M, Shibagaki N, Davidian J, Gosti F (2008) Unequal functional redundancy between the two *Arabidopsis thaliana* high-affinity sulphate transporters SULTR1;1 and SULTR1;2. New Phytol 180:608-619

Bañuelos G, Leduc D, Pilon-Smits EAH, Terry N (2007) Transgenic indian mustard overexpressing selenocysteine lyase or selenocysteine methyltransferase exhibit enhanced potential for selenium phytoremediation under field conditions. Environ Sci Technol 41:599-605 Bañuelos G, Terry N, Leduc D, Pilon-Smits EAH, Mackey B (2005) Field trial of transgenic indian mustard plants shows enhanced phytoremediation of selenium-contaminated sediment. Environ Sci Technol 39:1771-1777 Birringer M, Pilawa S, Flohé L (2002) Trends in selenium biochemistry. Nat Prod Rep 19:693-718

Bogdanova N, Hell R (1997) Cysteine synthesis in plants: Protein-protein interactions of serine acetyltransferase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 11:251-262

Bork C, Schwenn JD, Hell R (1998) Isolation and characterization of a gene for assimilatory sulfite reductase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Gene 212:147-153

Brown TA, Shrift A (1982) Selenium: Toxicity and tolerance in higher plants. Biol Rev 57:59-84

Buchner P (2004) Regulation of sulfate uptake and expression of sulfate transporter genes in *Brassica oleracea* as affected by atmospheric H2S and pedospheric sulfate nutrition. Plant Physiol 136:3396-3408

Cabannes E, Buchner P, Broadley MR, Hawkesford MJ (2011) A comparison of sulfate and selenium accumulation in relation to the expression of sulfate transporter genes in *Astragalus* species. Plant Physiol 157:2227-2239

Cao MJ, Wang Z, Wirtz M, Hell R, Oliver DJ, Xiang CB (2012) SULTR3;1 is a chloroplastlocalized sulfate transporter in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 73:607-616

Chin HW, Lindsay RC (1994) Mechanisms of formation of volatile sulfur compounds following the action of cysteine sulfoxide lyases. J Agric Food Chem 42:1529-1536

Cossins EA, Chen L (1997) Folates and one-carbon metabolism in plants and fungi. Phytochemistry 45:437-452 Eichel J, Gonzalez JC, Hotze M, Matthews RG, Schroder J (1995) Vitamin-B12-independent methionine synthase from a higher plant (*Catharanthus roseus*). Molecular characterization, regulation, heterologous expression, and enzyme properties. Eur J Biochem 230:1053-1058 Ellis DR, Salt DE (2003) Plants, Selenium and Human Health. Curr Opin Plant Biol 6:273-279 Ellis DR, Sors TG, Brunk DG, Albrecht C, Orser C, Lahner B, Wood KV, Harris HH, Pickering IJ, Salt DE (2004) Production of Se-methylselenocysteine in transgenic plants expressing selenocysteine methyltransferase. BMC Plant Biol 4:1471-2229

Evans CS, Asher CJ, Johnson CM (1968) Isolation of dimethyl diselenide and other volatile selenium compounds from *Astragalus racemosus* (Pursh.). Aust J BioL Sci 21:13-20

Fordyce FM (2012) Selenium deficiency and toxicity in the environment. In: Selinus O (ed) Essentials of Medical Geology, 3rd edn. Springer, Netherlands, pp 375-416

Foyer CH, Halliwell B (1976) The presence of glutathione and glutathione reductase in chloroplasts: A proposed role in ascorbic acid metabolism. Planta 133: 21-25

Freeman JL, Tamaoki M, Stushnoff C, Quinn CF, Cappa JJ, Devonshire J, Fakra SC, Marcus MA, Mcgrath SP, Van Hoewyk D, Pilon-Smits EAH (2010) Molecular mechanisms of selenium tolerance and hyperaccumulation in *Stanleya pinnata*. Plant Physiol 153:1630-1652.

Freeman JL (2006) Spatial imaging, speciation, and quantification of selenium in the hyperaccumulator plants *Astragalus bisulcatus* and *Stanleya pinnata*. Plant Physiol 142:124-134.

Frommer WB, Hummel S, Riesmeier JW (1993) Expression cloning in yeast of a cDNA encoding a broad specificity amino acid permease from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:5944-5948

Garifullina GF, Owen JD, Lindblom SD, Tufan H, Pilon M, Pilon-Smits EAH (2003) Expression of a mouse selenocysteine lyase in *Brassica juncea* chloroplasts affects selenium tolerance and accumulation. Physiol Plant 118:538-544

Giovanelli J (1990) Regulatory aspects of cysteine and methionine synthesis. In: Rennenberg H, et al., (eds.) Sulfur Nutrition and Sulfur Assimilation in Higher Plants: Fundamental Environmental and Agricultural Aspects. SPB Academic Pub, The Hague, the Netherlands

Grant K, Carey NM, Mendoza M, Schulze J, Pilon M, Pilon-Smits EAH, Van Hoewyk D (2011) Adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase (APR2) mutation in *Arabidopsis* implicates glutathione deficiency in selenate toxicity. Biochem J 438:325-335

Hanson A, Rivoal J, Paquet L, Gage DA (1994) Biosynthesis of 3-dimethylsulfoniopropionate in *Wollastonia biflora* (L.) DC. Evidence that S-methylmethionine is an intermediate. Plant Physiol 105:103-110

Hawkesford M, Davidian JC, Grignon C (1993) Sulphate/proton cotransport in plasmamembrane vesicles isolated from roots of *Brassica napus* L.: increased transport in membranes isolated from sulphur-starved plants. Planta 190:297-304

Hopper JL, Parker DR (1999) Plant availability of selenite and selenate as influenced by the competing ions phosphate and sulfate. Plant Soil 210:199-207

Hsieh SH, Ganther HE (1975) Acid-volatile selenium formation catalyzed by glutathione reductase. Biochem 14:1632-1636

Huysen T, Terry N, Pilon-Smits EAH (2004) Exploring the selenium phytoremediation potential of transgenic indian mustard overexpressing ATP sulfurylase or cystathionine-γ-synthase. Int J of Phytoremed 6:111-118

Huysen T, Abdel-Ghany SE, Hale KL, Leduc D, Terry N, Pilon-Smits EAH (2003) Overexpression of cystathionine-γ-synthase enhances selenium volatilization in *Brassica juncea*. Planta 218:71-78

Jablonski PP, Anderson JW (1978) Light-dependent reduction of oxidized glutathione by ruptured chloroplasts. Plant Physiol 61:221-225

James F, Paquet L, Sparace SA, Gage DA, Hanson AD (1995) Evidence implicating dimethylsulfoniopropionaldehyde as an intermediate in dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis. Plant Physiol 108:1439-1448

Jocelyn PC (1972) Biochemistry of the SH group; the occurrence, chemical properties, metabolism and biological function of thiols and disulphides. London, New York, Academic Press

Kassis E, Cathala, Rouached NH, Fourcroy P, Berthomieu P, Terry N, Davidian JC (2007) Characterization of a selenate-resistant *Arabidopsis* mutant. Root growth as a potential target for selenate toxicity. Plant Physiol 143:1231-1241

Kikkert J, Berkelaar E (2013) Plant uptake and translocation of inorganic and organic forms of selenium. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 65:458-465

Kocsis MG (1998) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis in *Spartina alterniflora* (L.) Evidence that S-methylmethionine and dimethylsulfoniopropylamine are intermediates. Plant Physiol 117:273-81

Kushnir S, Babiychuk E, Storozhenko S, Davey MW, Papenbrock J, De Rycke R, Engler G, Stephan UW, Lange H, Kispal G, Lill R, Van Montagu M (2001) A mutation of the mitochondrial ABC transporter Sta1 leads to dwarfism and chlorosis in the *Arabidopsis* mutant starik. Plant Cell 13:89–100

Lass B, Ullrich-Eberius CI (1984) Evidence for proton/sulfate cotransport and its kinetics in *Lemna gibba* G1. Planta 161:53-60

Lazard M, Blanquet S, Fisicaro P, Labarraque G, Plateau P (2010) Uptake of selenite by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* involves the high and low affinity orthophosphate transporters. J Biol Chem 285:32029–32037

Leduc DL, Abdelsamie M, Móntes-Bayon M, Wu CP, Reisinger SJ, Terry N (2006) Overexpressing both ATP sulfurylase and selenocysteine methyltransferase enhances selenium phytoremediation traits in indian mustard. Environ Pollut 144:70-76

Leustek T (1994) Cloning of a cDNA encoding ATP sulfurylase from *Arabidopsis thaliana* by functional expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Plant Physiol 105:897-902

Li HF, Mcgrath SP, Zhao FJ (2008) Selenium uptake, translocation and speciation in wheat supplied with selenate or selenite. New Phytol 178:92-102

Lindblom SD, Valdez-Barillas JR, Fakra SC, Marcus MA, Wangeline AL, Pilon-Smits EAH (2013) Influence of microbial associations on selenium localization and speciation in roots of *Astragalus* and *Stanleya hyperaccumulators*. Environ Exp Bot 88:33-42

Lyi SM, Zhou X, Kochian LV, Li L (2007) Biochemical and molecular characterization of the homocysteine S-methyltransferase from broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. italica). Phytochem 68: 1112-1119

Lyubenova L, Sabodash X, Schröder P, Michalke B (2015) Selenium species in the roots and shoots of chickpea plants treated with different concentrations of sodium selenite. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:16978-16986

Mason TG, Blunden G (1989) Quaternary ammonium and tertiary sulphonium compounds of algal origin as alleviators of osmotic stress. Bot Mar 32:313-316

McCluskey TJ, Scarf AR, Anderson JW (1986) Enzyme catalysed α,β-elimination of selenocystathionine and selenocystine and their sulphur isologues by plant extracts. Phytochem 25: 2063-2068

McConnell KP, Portman OW (1952) Toxicity of dimethyl selenide in the rat and mouse. Exp Biol Med 79: 230-231

Meija J, Montes-Bayón M, Le Duc D, Terry N, Caruso JA (2002) Simultaneous monitoring of volatile selenium and sulfur species from Se accumulating plants (wild type and genetically modified) by GC/MS and GC/ICPMS using solid-phase microextraction for sample introduction. Anal Chem 74:5837-5844

Mikkelsen RL, Page AL, Bingham FT (1989) Factors affecting selenium accumulation by agricultural crops. In: Jacobs L (ed) Selenium in agriculture and the environment, Soil Science Society of America & American Society of Agronomy pp 65-94

Mudd SH, Datko AH (1990) The S-methylmethionine cycle in *Lemna paucicostata*. Plant Physiol 93:623-630

Neuhierl B, Thanbichler M, Lottspeich F, Bock A (1999) A family of S-methylmethioninedependent thiol/selenol methyltransferases: Role in selenium tolerance and evolutionary relation. J Biol Chem 274: 5407-414

Neuhierl B, Bock A (1996) On the mechanism of selenium tolerance in selenium-accumulating plants. Purification and characterization of a specific selenocysteine methyltransferase from cultured cells of *Astragalus bisculatus*. Eur J Biochem 239:235-238

Ng B, Anderson JW (1979) Light-dependent incorporation of selenite and sulphite into selenocysteine and cysteine by isolated pea chloroplasts. Phytochem 18:573-580

Paquet L, Lafontaine PJ, Saini HS, James F, Hanson AD (1995) Évidence en faveur de la présence du 3-diméthylsulfoniopropionate chez une large gamme d'angiospermes. Can J Bot 73: 1889-1896

Petersen M, Van Der Straeten D, Bauw G (1995) full-length cDNA clone from *Coleus blumei* (accession No. Z49150) with high similarity to cobalamin-independent methionine synthase. Plant Physiol 109:338

Pickering IJ (2003) Chemical form and distribution of selenium and sulfur in the selenium hyperaccumulator *Astragalus bisulcatus*. Plant Physiol 131:1460-1467

Pilon M, Owen JD, Garifullina GF, Kurihara T, Mihara H, Esaki N, Pilon-Smits EAH (2003)
Enhanced Selenium Tolerance and Accumulation in Transgenic *Arabidopsis* Expressing a Mouse
Selenocysteine Lyase. Plant Physiol 131:1250–1257

Pilon-Smits EAH, De Souza MP, Hong G, Amini A, Bravo RC, Payabyab SB, Terry N (1999) Selenium volatilization and accumulation by twenty aquatic plant species. J Environ Qual 28:1011-1018

Pilon-Smits EAH, Garifullina GF, Abdel-Ghany SE, Kato SI, Mihara H, Hale KL, Burkhead JL, Esaki N, Kurihara T, Pilon M (2002) Characterization of a NifS-Like Chloroplast Protein from *Arabidopsis* . Implications for Its Role in Sulfur and Selenium Metabolism. Plant Phyiol 130:1309–1318

Pilon-Smits EAH, Quinn CF (2010) Selenium Metabolism in Plants. In: Hell, Rudiger, Mendel,Ralf-Rainer (eds.) Plant Cell Monographs. Cell Biology of Metals and Nutrients, vol 17.Springer, The Netherlands, pp 225-241

Pilon-Smits EAH, Le Duc D (2009) Phytoremediation of selenium using transgenic plants. Curr Opin Biotechnol 20:207-212

Pilon-Smits EAH (2012) Plant selenium metabolism. In: Wong M (ed) Environmental contamination: health risks and ecological restoration, CRC Press, USA, pp 295-312

Price NC, Stevens L (1999) Fundamentals of enzymology: the cell and molecular biology of catalytic proteins. Oxford Press

Ravanel S, Gakiere B, Job D, Douce R (1998) The specific features of methionine biosynthesis and metabolism in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:7805-7812

Reuveny Z (1977) Derepression of ATP sulfurylase by the sulfate analogs molybdate and selenate in cultured tobacco cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 74:619-622

Saito K (2004) Sulfur assimilatory metabolism. The long and smelling road. Plant Physiol 136:2443-2450

Schaedle M, Bassham JA (1977) Chloroplast glutathione reductase. Plant Physiol 59:1011-1012 Schiavon M, Pilon M, Malagoli M, Pilon-Smits EAH (2015) Exploring the importance of sulfate transporters and ATP sulphurylases for selenium hyperaccumulation: A comparison of *Stanleya pinnata* and *Brassica juncea* (Brassicaceae). Front Plant Sci 6:1-13

Setya A, Murillo M, Leustek T (1996) Sulfate reduction in higher plants: molecular evidence for a novel 5'-adenylylsulfate reductase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:13383-13388

Shibagaki N, Rose A, Mcdermott JP, Fujiwara T, Hayashi H, Yoneyama T, and Davies JP, (2002) Selenate-resistant mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* identify sultr1;2, a sulfate transporter required for efficient transport of sulfate into roots. Plant J 29:475-486

Sors TG, Martin CP, Salt DE (2009) Characterization of selenocysteine methyltransferases from *Astragalus* species with contrasting selenium accumulation capacity. Plant J 59:110-122

Sors TG, Ellis DR, Nam Na G, Lahner B, Lee S, Leustek T, Pickering IJ, Salt DE (2005) Analysis of sulfur and selenium assimilation in *Astragalus* plants with varying capacities to accumulate selenium. Plant J 42:785-797

Sors TG, Ellis DR, Salt DE (2005) Selenium uptake, translocation, assimilation and metabolic fate in plants. Photosynth Res 86:373-389

De Souza MP (2000) Selenium assimilation and volatilization from dimethylselenoniopropionate by indian mustard Plant Physiol 122:1281-1288

Stadtman T (1990) Selenium biochemistry. Annu Rev Biochem 59:111-127

Suter M, Von Ballmoos P, Kopriva S, Den Camp RO, Schaller J, Kuhlemeier C, Schurmann P, Brunold C (2000) Adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate sulfotransferase and adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase are identical enzymes J Biol Chem 275:930-936

Tagmount A (2002) An essential role of S-adenosyl-L-methionine:L-methionine Smethyltransferase in selenium volatilization by plants. Methylation of selenomethionine to selenium-methyl-L-selenium-methionine, the precursor of volatile selenium. Plant Physiol 130:847-856

Takahashi H, Watanabe-Takahashi A, Smith FW, Blake-Kalff M, Hawkesford MJ, Kazuki S (2000) The roles of three functional sulphate transporters involved in uptake and translocation of sulphate in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 23:171-182

Terry N, Zayed MA, De Souza MP, Tarun AS (2000) Selenium in higher plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 51:401-432

Van Hoewyk D (2013) A tale of two toxicities: malformed selenoproteins and oxidative stress both contribute to selenium stress in plants. Ann Bot 112:965-972

Van Hoewyk D, Garifullina GF, Ackley AR, Abdel-Ghany SE, Marcus MA, Fakra S, Ishiyama K, Inoue E, Pilon M, Takahashi H, Pilon-Smits EAH (2005) Overexpression of AtCpNifS Enhances Selenium Tolerance and Accumulation in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 139:1518–1528 White PJ (2015) Selenium accumulation by plants. Ann Bot 117.2:217-235

White PJ, Broadley MR (2009) Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in human diets - iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and Iodine. New Phytol 182:49-84

White PJ, Bowen HC, Marshall B, Broadley MR (2007) Extraordinarily high leaf selenium to sulfur ratios define 'Se-accumulator' plants." Ann Bot 100:111-118

White PJ, Broadley MR, Bowen HC, Johnson SE (2007) Selenium and its relationship with sulfur. In: Hawkesford Malcolm K., De Kok, Luit J. (eds.) Plant Ecophysiology. Sulfur in Plants: An Ecological Perspective, vol 6. Springer, The Netherlands, pp 225-252

Wilber CG (1980) Toxicology of selenium: A review. Clin Toxicol 17:171-230

Williams CH (1976) 3 Flavin-containing dehydrogenases. In: Boyer P (ed) The Enzymes, vol 13. Elsevier, Netherlands, pp 89-173

Yarmolinsky D, Brychkova G, Fluhr R, Sagi M (2012) Sulfite reductase protects plants against sulfite toxicity. Plant Physiol 161:725-743

Ye H, Garifullina GF, Abdel-Ghany SE, Lihong Z, Pilon-Smits EAH, Pilon M (2005) The chloroplast NifS-like protein of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is required for iron--sulfur cluster formation in ferredoxin. Planta 220:602–608

Zayed AM, Terry N (1994) Selenium volatilization by plants. Plant Physiol 143:8-14

Zhu YG, Pilon-Smits EAH, Zhao FJ, Williams PN, Meharg AA (2009) Selenium in higher plants understanding mechanisms for biofortification and phytoremediation. Trends Plant Sci 14:436-442 Zuber H, Davidian JC, Wirtz M, Hell R, Belghazi M, Thompson R, Gallardo K (2010) Sultr4;1 mutant seeds of *Arabidopsis* have an enhanced sulphate content and modified proteome suggesting metabolic adaptations to altered sulphate compartmentalization. BMC Plant Biol 10:78

CHAPTER 2: CHARACTERIZATION OF SELENATE TRANSPORT OF ROOT MEMBRANE TRANSPORTER SULTR1;2 FROM SELENIUM HYPERACCUMULATOR STANLEYA PINNATA AND NONACCUMULATORS STANLEYA ELATA AND ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA

2.1 Introduction

Selenium (Se) is a trace element naturally occurring in soils at concentrations between 0.1 and 5 parts per million (ppm) (https://mrdata.usgs.gov/geochem/doc/averages/se/usa.html). While Se has been found to be an essential microelement for animals and bacteria (Romero et al, 2005), it has not yet been found to be essential for plants (Schiavon et al, 2017). However, due to its chemical similarity to sulfur (S) plants still have the capacity to take up Se via the S assimilation pathway. Selenium can be found in soils in various forms, including the inorganic forms selenate (Se0₄²⁻) and selenite (SeO₃²⁻), and organic forms including methyl-selenocysteine and methyl-selenomethionine (Pyrzynska, 1996; Bauer, 1997). Selenate is the predominant form found in oxidizing conditions, and is the primary form taken up by plants (Bauer, 1997). Some plants have the capacity to accumulate and tolerate high levels of Se that would be fatal to other plant species. These species occur across different orders and families (Cappa et al, 2014, and are known as Se hyperaccumulators.

Selenium hyperaccumulators are defined as having concentrations of Se of over 1,000 mg kg⁻¹ dry weight (El Mehdawi et al, 2012), with some species accumulating up to 10,000 mg kg⁻¹ (Quinn et al, 2010). These elevated levels of Se can have adverse effects on overall plant health (Brown & Shrift, 1982), but hyperaccumulators have multiple mechanisms to circumvent and mitigate Se toxicity. They generally accumulate the methylated form of the seleno-amino acid selenocysteine (methylselenocysteine). Methylation of selenocysteine prevents its substitution
for cysteine in proteins, which likely disrupts proper protein folding due to inhibition of disulfide bridge formation (LeDuc et al, 2004). Hyperaccumulators also show different patterns of Se localization when compared to nonaccumulators, with hyperaccumulators preferentially sequestering Se in the outer margins of the leaves in epidermal cells, segregated from sensitive metabolic processes (Cappa et al, 2015). Another key difference observed in Se hyperaccumulators is elevated Se: S ratios when compared to nonaccumulators (White et al, 2007) and compared to their growth substrate, which may suggest that hyperaccumulators have the ability to preferentially accumulate Se over S analogues.

Due to its chemical similarity to S, Se is believed to be transported into plants via S transporters, and metabolized through many of the enzymes found in the S assimilation pathway (White, 2016). Both sulfate (SO₄²⁻) and selenate are first transported from the soil into the roots via the high-affinity sulfate transporters SULTR1;1 and SULTR1;2, with SULTR1;2 being constitutively expressed over a wide range of sulfate concentrations in *Arabidopsis* and SULTR1;1 expressed only under S deficiency (Yoshimoto et al, 2002). Based on experiments exploring changes in root length in *Arabidopsis* knockouts grown on varying concentrations of selenate, SULTR1;2 has also been found to be the main portal of entry for selenate into the plant (El Kassis et al, 2007). In experiments exploring the effects of sulfate concentrations on selenate uptake, it has been shown that selenate concentrations in non-accumulator plants are significantly reduced when high sulfate levels are present in the environment (White et al, 2007). However, this level of reduction is variable across plant species, with Se hyperaccumulator species (White et al, 2004; White et al, 2007). This ability to preferentially

transport higher levels of selenate even in the presence of high sulfate may be due to properties inherent to the SULTR1;2 homolog found in hyperaccumulators (Cabannes et al, 2011).

SULTR1;2 is a membrane protein belonging to the sulfate permease (SulP) family, found across many kingdoms of life including plants, animals, bacteria, fungi, and archaea (Alper & Sharma, 2013). SULTR1;2 is a sulfate/ proton cotransporter, transporting 3 protons across the plasma membrane for one sulfate ion (Shibagaki, 2002). This protein is characterized by having 12 membrane spanning domains, and a C-terminal Sulfate Transporter and Anti-Sigma factor antagonist (STAS) domain (Aravind & Koonin, 2000), which is responsible for sulfate transport (Rouached et al, 2005) and interactions with other sulfate assimilation enzymes such as cysteine synthase (Shibagaki & Grossman, 2010). While enzyme kinetics measurements for sulfate transport have been performed on SULTR1;2 isolated from the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana (Yoshimoto et al, 2002), and selenate resistance has been found in sultr1;2 Arabidopsis knockouts (Shibagaki, 2002), this protein has not been characterized in the Se hyperaccumulator Stanleya pinnata. Based on RNA-seq data (Pilon-Smits lab, unpublished), it appears that Sultr1;2 from S. pinnata is constitutively expressed under S-deficient and S-sufficient conditions, and that its expression is upregulated 10-fold compared to the non-hyperaccumulator Stanleya elata.

Previous studies on the transport activity of SULTR1;2 have utilized radiolabeled sulfate in order to effectively determine the kinetics of sulfate transport for this protein (Yoshimoto et al, 2002). However, no commercial source of radiolabeled selenate is available. In order to effectively measure the selenate transport activity of SULTR1;2, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) can be utilized. ICP-MS can potentially detect concentrations of elements to 100 parts per trillion (ppt) (Shrivastava & Gupta, 2011), and in conjunction with

relatively low background levels of selenium in the environment, serves as a viable platform to test for the selenate transport activity of SULTR1;2.

The goal of this study is to determine if SULTR1;2 from the Se hyperaccumulator S. *pinnata* has altered selenate transport capabilities in the presence of high and low sulfate concentrations when compared to the non-hyperaccumulator species S. elata and A. thaliana. To explore this hypothesis, the Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast sulfate uptake mutant YSD1 can be used (Yoshimoto et al, 2002). YSD1 is a yeast strain first isolated because of its resistance to selenate, and was later characterized by having mutations in the sulfate transporter gene Sull (Smith et al, 1995). The SUL1 protein is one of two sulfate transporters found in S. cerevisiae, and is a high affinity sulfate transporter ($K_m = 7.5 \pm 0.6 \ \mu M \ SO_4^{2-}$) with 12 membrane domains, similar to Sultr1;2 in plants (Smith et al., 1995). This yeast strain and the yeast inducible expression vector pYES2 have been previously used to characterize sulfate uptake of plant transporters (Yoshimoto et al, 2002). However, the selenate transport activity of plant sulfate transporters has not been previously explored using this system. By using YSD1 to study the selenate transport activity of SULTR1;2, we can determine if the properties of SULTR1;2 from S. pinnata enable the yeast cell to discriminate between selenate and sulfate in high and low sulfate growth conditions.

2.2 Materials and Methods

2.2.1 Yeast Strains and Growth Conditions

The strains used for these studies are listed in Table 1. Media components were purchased from Difco (Detroit, MI), Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), and Thermofisher (Waltham, MA). Yeast media types are listed in Table 3. Media for plates was supplemented with 2% agar. All yeast liquid cultures and plates were incubated in an incubator set to 30° C. The OD_{600nm} of all cultures was measured using a Beckman DU 530 Spectrophotometer. Selenate added to media was from a 0.1 M stock solution prepared by dissolving 9.45 g sodium selenate (Acros Organics) in 500 ml of distilled H₂O.

2.2.2 E. coli and Yeast Transformation

DH5- α competent *E. coli* cells were used for all bacterial transformations (Taylor et al, 1993). Vectors were transformed into these cells via CaCl₂/ heat shock transformation. Ligated vector was added to 200 µL of cells in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf microcentrifuge tube on ice for 45 minutes. Then, the cells were heat shocked at 42°C on a VWR digital heatblock for 45 seconds, and then placed on ice for 5 minutes. 1 ml of liquid LB media was added to the cells, and they were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. The cells were then plated on LB agar media supplemented with 100 ug / ml ampicillin. YSD1 yeast cells were used for all yeast transformations, and all transformations were carried out via the LiAc-mediated transformation procedure outlined in the Clontech Yeast Protocols Handbook (Clontech, 2008).

2.2.3 Sultr1;2 Amplification, Cloning, Plasmids, and Plasmid Purification

Primers used for amplification of Sultr1;2 cDNA from these three species are listed in Table 4. Previously generated cDNA from root tissue of *S. pinnata* (Western Native Seed, Coaldale, CO), *S. elata* (El Mehdawi et al, 2012), and *A. thaliana* (Genbank AB042322) was used for isolation of the Sultr1;2 open reading frame. PCR reactions were prepared and executed with the Novagen KOD HotStart DNA Polymerase kit per the manufacturer's instructions. PCR was performed in a Eppendorf Mastercycler gradient thermocycler with these cycling conditions; Initial denaturation for 2 minutes at 95°C followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 10 seconds, and extension 70°C for 40 seconds, with a final extension at 70°C for 10 minutes. All restriction digestions were performed with Thermofisher FastDigest restriction enzymes incubated at 37°C on a Thermolyne Dri-Bath heating block for 15 minutes. To purify restriction fragments and PCR products, samples were loaded onto a 1% agarose TBE gel and run at 90V for 40 minutes. DNA bands were illuminated with a Fotodyne FOTO/ UV 26 illuminator, excised with a scalpel, and moved to a 1.5 ml Eppendrof microcentrifuge tube. The DNA was purified from the gel piece using a Qiagen Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). All ligations were performed with T4 Ligase (Thermofisher) at room temperature for 30 minutes.

After each ligation, plasmids were initially transformed into *E. coli* for screening on positive transformants and plasmid amplification. Plasmids were purified using the Qiagen Plasmid Miniprep kit, and sequence verified via Sanger sequencing through GeneWiz (http://www.genewiz.com) using the pYES2_F2, pYES2_R2, SpinSultr1;2_5FW_EcoRI, SpinelaSultr1;2_3Rev_EcoRI, AtSultr1;2_5Fw_EcoRI, AtSultr1;2_3Rev_EcoRI, SelaSultr1;2_5FW_EcoRI, Spin_Sultr1;2_QuarterFw, Spin_Sultr1;2_QuarterRev, SpinelaSultr1;2_centerFw, SpinelaSultr1;2_centerFw, SpinSultr1;2_ThreequarterFw, SpinSultr1;2_ThreequarterRev, AtSultr1;2_centF, AtSultr1;2_centR primers (Table 4). After verification of the plasmid sequences, plasmids were then cloned into YSD1 yeast cells for expression studies.

Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 2. Sultr1;2 from *S. pinnata* was amplified using the 5'-SpSultr1;2_EcoRI and 3'-SpSultr1;2_EcoRI primer set, and *Sultr1;2* from *A. thaliana* was amplified using the 5'-AtSultr1;2_EcoRI 3'-AtSultr1;2_EcoRI primer set. After gel purification of the PCR products and the pYES2 plasmid digested with EcoRI, the 2.1-kb EcoRI

fragments containing the Sultr1;2 sequence from *S. pinnata* or *A. thaliana* were digested using the EcoRI restriction enzyme and cloned into pYES2 (digested with EcoRI and dephosphorylated) to produce pEPY1 and pEPY2. To generate variants of these plasmids with a Myc/6x His sequence for immunoblotting, the 339bp AvrII-SphI from pET28-At was ligated to pEPY2 that was digested with AvrII and SphI to create pEPY21. pEPY1 was used as the starting template for a PCR with the 5'-SpSultr1;2_EcoRI and 3'-SpSultr1;2_nostop_PacI primer set to generate a copy of Sultr1;2 from *S. pinnata* without the stop codon. This amplicon and pEPY21 were digested with the EcoRI and PacI restriction enzymes, and the 2.1kb PCR fragment and 5.9kb pEPY21 fragment were ligated together to generate pEPY11. pEPY31 was generated by first amplifying Sultr1;2 from *S. elata* cDNA using the 5'-SeSultr1;2_EcoRI/ 3'-SeSultr1;2_nostop_PacI primer set. The amplicon was purified via gel extraction, and was digested with the EcoRI and PacI restriction enzymes along with the pEPY21 vector. The DNA fragments from these reactions were separated via gel electrophoresis, purified via gel extraction, and the 2.1kb PCR fragment and the 5.9kb pEPY21 fragment were ligated to create pEPY31.

2.2.4 Sulfate Uptake Complementation

For the initial assessment of sulfate uptake complementation, single colonies of yeast strains SpSultr1;2, AtSultr1;2, and YSD1pY were streaked on SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²⁻) plates supplemented with a final concentration of 2% glucose or 2% galactose and grown for 5 days at 30°C. Growth of the cells in the presence of glucose (no expression of plant transporters) and galactose (induced expression of plant transporters) was assessed after 3 and 5 days. Complementation of sulfate uptake with SpSultr1;2, AtSultr1;2, and YSD1pY was repeated three times.

After the results of the first sulfate complementation experiment, single colonies of strains SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY were streaked on SD-S ($0.1 \text{ mM SO}_4^{2^-}$) plates supplemented with a final concentration of 2% galactose and grown for 5 days at 30°C. Growth of the cells was assessed after 3 and 5 days. Complementation of sulfate uptake with SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY was repeated twice.

2.2.5 Selenate Tolerance Assay

The YSD1 yeast strain, lacking sulfate transport activity, and yeast strain 22574d, which contains a functional copy of the Sul1 transporter (Jauniaux & Grenson, 1990) were used to assess the selenate tolerance of yeast. Cultures of yeast strains YSD1 and 22574d were grown overnight in either SD-U or SD-S media (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}). 10 ul of serial dilutions of the overnight cultures were plated on SD-S media (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) supplemented with 0 μ M, 50 μ M, 100 μ M, 500 μ M, 1,000 μ M, 2,500 μ M, 5,000 μ M, or 10,000 μ M selenate. The cells were initially normalized to an OD_{600nm} of 1.0 before 10-fold serial dilutions were prepared to a final concentration of 1.0 x 10⁻⁵. The cells were incubated at 30°C and growth of the cells was assessed after 3 and 5 days. Assessment of selenate tolerance in YSD1 and 22574d was conducted once.

Serial dilutions of strains SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY were incubated on SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²⁻) plates supplemented with either 0 μ M, 10 μ M, 25 μ M, 50 μ M, or 100 μ M selenate. The cells were initially normalized to an OD_{600nm} of 1.0, before being diluted 10-fold to a final concentration of 1.0 x 10⁻⁵. The cells were incubated at 30°C and growth of the cells was assessed after 3 and 5 days. Assessment of selenate tolerance in SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY was conducted twice.

2.2.6 Yeast Growth Curve Assay

To determine the effect of selenate on the growth of yeast cells in liquid culture, strains SpSultr1;2, AtSultr1;2, and YSD1pY were grown in the presence or absence of selenate and their growth curve was plotted over time. For the first experiment, 250 ml of SD-S (0.1 mM SO4^{2^-}) with or without 50 µM selenate was inoculated with SpSultr1;2, AtSultr1;2, or YSD1pY cells to a starting average OD_{600nm} of 0.03. The cultures were grown at 30°C in a shaking incubator set to 180 rpm, and the OD_{600nm} was measured at 15, 24, 39, 47, and 65 hours. For the second experiment cultures of SpSultr1;2, AtSultr1;2, or YSD1pY cells were inoculated and grown under the same conditions as the previous experiment, and the OD_{600nm} was measured at 22, 27, 46, 52, and 72 hours. These experiments were used to determine at what OD_{600nm} logarithmic growth began for these yeast strains.

2.2.7 Quantification of Selenate Uptake

SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY cells were inoculated in 175ml of SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²⁻) or SD-S (1.0 mM SO₄²⁻) media and grown to log-phase overnight in 500 ml Erlenmeyer flasks at 30°C in a shaking incubator set to 180 rpm. After measuring the OD_{600nm}, the larger cultures were divided into three 250 ml baffled Nalgene Erlenmeyer flasks so that each flask contained 50 ml. Selenate was added to these flasks to a final concentration of 0 μ M, 10 μ M, 25 μ M, 50 μ M, or 100 μ M, and the cells were incubated at 30°C in a shaking incubator set to 180 rpm for an additional hour. After one hour of incubation, the cultures were transferred to 50 ml conical tubes, and centrifuged in an Allegra 21R centrifuge at room temperate at 2,500 rpm for 5 minutes. The supernatant was removed and the cells were washed and re-suspended twice in 30ml of ice-cold 25 mM sodium phosphate (Thermofisher) monobasic buffer set to pH 7.5. The cells were centrifugated at 2,500 rpm for 5 min between each wash, and the supernatant

was removed. The pellets were transferred to 1.5 ml Eppendorf microcentrifuge tubes by resuspending in 1ml ice-cold 25 mM sodium phosphate monobasic buffer, centrifugated in an Eppendorf 5415D centrifuge at 2,500 rpm for 5 minutes, and the supernatant was removed. The cell pellets were dried overnight in a Fisher Scientific Isotemp Incubator set to 55°C. The dry weight of the cell pellets was measured before acid digestion and ICP-MS elemental analysis. Three biological replicates for each yeast strain were analyzed for total Se content. To determine the statistical significance of selenate uptake data for the yeast strains tested, a 2-tailed student's T-test was performed. Values that were significantly different (p < 0.05), were indicated by different letters and asterisks in figures and tables.

2.2.8 ICP-MS Analysis

Elemental analysis was performed following a protocol previously developed for plant material (Prins et al, 2011) modified for yeast cells. Dried yeast cells (5-25 mg) were transferred to 50 ml glass test tubes and digested in 500 μ l of 70% trace metal grade nitric acid (Thermofisher) at 60°C for 2 hours, followed by 130°C for 6 hours. The digested samples were diluted to 15 mL with distilled H₂O and analyzed for total Se and S via inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (HP Agilent 4500 ICP-MS) according to the manufacturer's instructions, using appropriate controls and standards. The detection limit of this machine was approximately 0.1 μ g L⁻¹ (ppb) in the digest. All values shown in the figures were well above this detection limit.

2.2.9 Protein Extraction, Quantification, and Immunodetection

The yeast protein extraction protocol was slightly modified from the protocol published by Zhang et al, 2011. SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t and YSD1pY yeast strains were grown to an OD_{600nm} of 0.8 - 1.0 in 20 ml SD-U media supplemented with 2% galactose to induce expression of Sultr1;2. The cultures were centrifugated at 2,500 rpm for 5 minutes, and the supernatant was removed. The cell pellets were re-suspended in 5 ml ice-cold 2.0 M lithium acetate (ICN Biomedicals) for 5 minutes on ice before centrifugation and decanting of the supernatant. The cell pellets were re-suspended in 5 ml ice-cold 0.4 M sodium hydroxide (Thermofisher) for 5 minutes on ice, centrifuged, and the supernatant was removed. The cell pellets were then re-suspended in 100 µl extraction buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Acros Organics), and 1 tablet of cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) (50 mg/ ml antipain, 40 mg/ ml bestatin, 20 mg/ ml chymostatin, 10 mg/ml E64, 10 mg/ml phosphoramidon, 50 mg/ ml pefabloc SC, and 2 mg/ ml aprotinin) in a total volume of 50 ml. The samples were then boiled in a water bath for 5 minutes, centrifugated, and the supernatant consisting of total yeast protein was moved to a clean 1.5 ml Eppendorf microcentrifuge tube. The concentration of total protein was quantified using the PierceTM BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermofisher) with a 5 µl aliquot of the protein extract. The remaining 95 ul of protein extract was diluted 2-fold with 95 ul of 2x Laemmli solubilization buffer consisting of 125 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 50 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) (Sigma-Aldrich), 20% (w/v) glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich), 4% (w/v) SDS, and bromophenol blue (Bio-Rad). A protein extract of E. coli expressing Myc/6xHis tagged ATP sulfurylase 2 (APS2) from S. pinnata was used as a positive control for immunodetection.

20 ug of total protein was separated via SDS-PAGE (10%) (Bio-Rad) set at a constant current of 20 mA during the stacking phase, followed by 40 mA during the running phase for a total duration of 90 minutes. The proteins on the SDS gel were transferred to a 0.2μ M nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad) for 2 hours in a TE 22 Mini Tank Transfer Unit (GE) filled with blotting buffer (see Appendix) at a constant voltage of 50 V. After blotting, the nitrocellulose membrane was incubated with an Anti-His mouse primary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich), then with a secondary antibody coupled to alkaline phosphatase (Sigma-Aldrich), followed by incubation of the membrane in detection buffer consisting of 1 NBT/BCIP tablet (Roche) dissolved in 10 ml distilled H₂O for 10 minutes for immunodetection.

A dot-blost assay was also performed with the protein extracts to determine the detection limit and quality of detection for a horseradish peroxidase monoclonal secondary antibody (Thermofisher). 10 ug of total protein was pipetted directly onto a nitrocellulose membrane and incubated in an Anti-His mouse primary antibody (Thermofisher), followed by incubation with the horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody. After incubation the nitrocellulose membrane was imaged via a chemiluminescent protocol using a Bio-Rad gel imager.

2.3 Results

2.3.1 Sultr1;2 Amplification, Cloning and Polypeptide Alignment

Initially, the full-length cDNAs of *Sultr1;2* from *S. pinnata* and *A. thaliana* were amplified using the SpinSultr1;2_5FW_EcoRI / SpinelaSultr1;2_3Rev_EcoRI and AtSultr1;2_5Fw_EcoRI / AtSultr1;2_3Rev_EcoRI primer sets (Table 4), respectively. The PCR products were restriction-digested and cloned into *E.coli* – yeast shuttle vector pYES2. After sequence analysis, the plasmids were transformed into yeast. YSD1 transformed with these constructs did not show complementation of sulfate uptake on SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²⁻)(results not shown). Comparison of these sequences to published sequences of *A. thaliana Sultr1;2* in pYES2 (Yoshimoto et al, 2002) pointed to the presence of truncated 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTR) in the new constructs as a possible reason for the lack of complementation, if they inhibit expression. After determining that these constructs were non-functional in YSD1, new constructs were designed, using primers that began at the start and stop codons. Primers 5'-

SpSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR / 3'-SpSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR and 5'-AtSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR / 3'-AtSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR (Table 4) were used to amplify the *Sultr1;2* genes from the previously cloned *S. pinnata* and *A. thaliana, Sultr1;2* sequences, removing the flanking UTR sequences. These new constructs, pEPY1 and pEPY2, served as templates for further construction of the tagged constructs pEPY11, pEPY21, and pEPY31. An illustration of the pEPY11, pEPY21, and pEPY31 constructs is presented in Figure 2.1. Comparison of the polypeptide sequences of SpSULTR1;2, SeSULTR1;2, and AtSULTR1;2 indicates that SpSULTR1;2 and SeSULTR1;2 share 96.85% homology, SpSULTR1;2 and AtSULTR1;2 share 92.78% homology, and AtSULTR1;2 and SeSULTR1;2 and SeSULTR1;2 share 93.12% homology. SpSULTR1;2, with 1 unique residue in the third membrane spanning domain, and 3 unique residues in the C-terminal STAS domain (Figure 2.2).

Strain	Genotype	Source/ reference
YSD1	(MATα, his3, leu2, ura3, sul1)	Smith et al, 1995,
		Donated from
		Takahashi Lab
22574d	(matα ura3-1 gap1-1 put4-1 uga4-1)	Jauniaux & Grenson,
		1990, Donated from
		Bush Lab

Table 2.1) Strains	used for	this	study
-----------	-----------	----------	------	-------

SpSultr1;2	Same as YSD1, but with Sultr1;2 from S.	This study
	pinnata	
AtSultr1;2	Same as YSD1, but with Sultr1;2 from A.	This study
	thaliana	
SpSultr1;2t	Same as SpSultr1;2, but with a	This study
	Myc/6xHis tag added at the C-terminus.	
SeSultr1;2t	Same as YSD1, but with Sultr1;2 from	This study
	S. elata with a Myc/6xHis tag added at	
	the C-terminus.	
AtSultr1;2t	Same as AtSultr1;2, but with a	This study
	Myc/6xHis tag added at the C-terminus.	

Table 2.2) P	Plasmids us	ed for this study
---------------------	-------------	-------------------

Plasmid	Description	Source/ reference
pYES2	Yeast expression vector	Thermofisher
pET28-At	Plasmid containing 339bp DNA	Genscript
	sequence consisting of the 3'	
	end of Sultr1;2 from A. thaliana	
	with the stop codon removed	
	and a Myc/6x His sequence at	
	the C-terminus.	
pEPY1	pYES2 with Sultr1;2 from S.	This study
	<i>pinnata</i> inserted at the EcoRI	
	restriction site.	

pEPY2	pYES2 with Sultr1;2 from A.	This study
	thaliana inserted at the EcoRI	
	restriction site.	
pEPY11	pYES2T with Sultr1;2 from S.	This study
	pinnata inserted at the EcoRI /	
	Pacl restriction sites.	
pEPY21	pYES2T with Sultr1;2 from	This study
	A. thaliana inserted at the	
	EcoRI / PacI restriction sites.	
pEPY31	pYES2T with Sultr1;2 from	This study
	S. elata inserted at the EcoRI	
	/ PacI restriction sites.	

 Table 2.3) Yeast media used for this study

Media	Components	Source/ reference
YPD	10 g L ⁻¹ yeast extract, 20 g L ⁻¹	Pilon et al, 1997
	peptone, 100 ml 20% w/v	
	glucose	
SD-U	U 1.92 g L ⁻¹ Yeast Synthetic Drop-	
	out Medium Supplements (-ura),	
	5.0 g L ⁻¹ ammonium sulfate	
	(Sigma-Aldrich), 1.7 g L ⁻¹ Yeast	
	Nitrogen Base without amino	

	acids and ammonium sulfate	
	(Difco)	
SD-S (0.1 mM SO ₄ ²⁻)	Appendix 2	Yoshimoto et al, 2002
SD-S (1.0 mM SO ₄ ²⁻)	Appendix 2	Yoshimoto et al, 2002

Table 2.4) Primers used for this study

Primer Names	Primer Sequence	Source/
		reference
5'-SpSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR	5'-GAGCGAATTCATGCCCGCGAGAGCTCATCCTATG-3'	This study
3'-SpSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR	5'-GAGCGAATTCTCAGACCTCGTCGGAGAGTTTTG-3'	This study
3'-SpSultr1;2_nostop_Pacl	5'-GAGCTTAATTAAGACCTCGTCGGAGAGTTTTGG-3'	This study
5'-SeSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR	5'-GAGCGAAATCATGCCCGAGAGAGCTCATCCTATG-3'	This study
3'-SeSultr1;2_nostop_Pacl	5'-GAGCTTAATTAAGACCTCGTCGGAGAGTTTTGG-3'	This study
5'-AtSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR	5'-GAGCGAATTCATGTCGTCAAGAGCTCACCC-3'	Yoshimoto
3'-AtSultr1;2_EcoRI_noUTR	5'-GCGCGAATTCTCAGACCTCGTTGGAGAG-3'	et al, 2002
		Yoshimoto
		et al, 2002
pYES2_F2	5'-AACCCCGGATCGGACTACTA-3'	This study
pYES2_R2	5'-CTTTTCGGTTAGAGCGGATG-3'	This study
SpinSultr1;2_5FW_EcoRI	5'-TGCAGAATTCACATTTAAGTCACCTACAAACCCA-3'	This study
SpinelaSultr1;2_3Rev_EcoRI	5'-TGCAGAATTCATTTCAGACCTCGTCGGAGAG-3'	This study
AtSultr1;2_5Fw_EcoRI	5'-GAGCGAATTCATGTCGTCAAGAGCTCACCC-3'	This study

AtSultr1;2_3Rev_EcoRI	5'-GCGCGAATTCTCAGACCTCGTTGGAGAG-3'	This study
SelaSultr1;2_5FW_EcoRI	5'-TGCAGAATTCACATTTAAGTCACCTACAAATCCA-3'	This study
Spin_Sultr1;2_QuarterFw	5'-CGGTTTATATTCGAGTTTTGTTCC-3'	This study
Spin_Sultr1;2_QuarterRev	5'-GGAACAAAACTCGAATATAAACC-3'	This study
SpinelaSultr1;2_centerFw	5'-CCTTAACAGAAGCTGTAGCGAT-3'	This study
SpinelaSultr1;2_centralRev	5'-GAAGAGCAATGTCAAGAGAACG-3'	This study
SpinSultr1;2_ThreequarterFw	5'-CCTGAAGCCACTATGGTTCCAG-3'	This study
SpinSultr1;2_ThreequarterRev	5'-CCCTGGAACCATAGTGGCTTC-3'	This study
AtSultr1;2_centF	5'-GACCTTCCTCACGTCTAAGA-3'	This study
AtSultr1;2_centR	5'-CCCTTAGCAAGGTTATCACCAG-3'	This study



Figure 2.1) Outline of tagged Sultr1;2 constructs in yeast expression vector pYES2. The tagged open reading frame of Sultr1;2 was inserted into the EcoRI and SphI restriction sites behind the galactose inducible promoter PGAL1. The Myc/6xHis tag is separated from the rest of the Sultr1;2 gene body by a PacI restriction site. The URA3 gene codes for uracil production for selection after yeast transformation. The Ampicillin gene codes for ampicillin resistance in *E. coli*. The pUC ori is the origin of replication for *E. coli*, and 2μ ori is the origin of replication for yeast. Adapted from the pYES2 illustration developed by Thermofisher.

pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	MPARAHPMDGDAASATDGGDVPIKSSPHRHKVGVPFKQNMFHDFMYTFKETFFHDDPLRH MPERAHPMDGDAASATDGGDVPIKSSPHRHKVGVPFKQNMFHDFMYTFKETFFHDDPLRH MSSRAHPVDGSPATDGGHVPMKPSPTRHKVGIPFKQNMFKDFMYTFKETFFHDDPLRD *. ****:** :.*****
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	FKDQPKSKQFMLGLQS <mark>L</mark> FPVFDWGRNYNLKKFRGDLIAGLTIASLCIPQDIGYAKLANLD FKDQPKSKQFMLGLQSVFPVFDWGRNYNLKKFRGDLIAGLTIASLCIPQDIGYAKLANLD FKDQPKSKQFMLGLQSVFPVFDWGRNYTFKKFRGDLISGLTIASLCIPQDIGYAKLANLD ***************
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	PKYGLYSSFVPPLVYACMGSSRDIAIGPVAVVSLLLGTLLQAEIDPNTNPDEYLRLAFTA PKYGLYSSFVPPLVYACMGSSRDIAIGPVAVVSLLLGTLLRAEIDPNTNPDEYLRLAFTA PKYGLYSSFVPPLVYACMGSSRDIAIGPVAVVSLLLGTLLRAEIDPNTSPDEYLRLAFTA *******************
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	TFFAGVTEAALGFFRLGFLIDFLSHAAVVGFMGGAAITIALQQLKGFLGIKQFTKKTDII TFFAGVTEAALGFFRLGFLIDFLSHAAVVGFMGGAAITIALQQLKGFLGIKQFTKKTDII TFFAGITEAALGFFRLGFLIDFLSHAAVVGFMGGAAITIALQQLKGFLGIKKFTKKTDII *****
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	AVLESVFSSAHHGWNWQTILIGASFLTFLLTSKIIGKK <mark>N</mark> KKLFWIPAIAPLISVIISTFF AVLDSVFSSAHHGWNWQTILIGASFLTFLLISKIIGKKSKRLFWIPAIAPLISVIISTFF SVLESVFKAAHHGWNWQTILIGASFLTFLLTSKIIGKKSKKLFWVPAIAPLISVIVSTFF :**:***.:****************
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	VYITRADKQGVQIVKHLDKGINPSSFDKIYFSGDYLAKGVRIGVVAGMVALTEAVAIGRT VYITRADKQGVQIVKHLDKGINPSSFDKIYFSGDYLAKGVRIGVVAGMVALTEAVAIGRT VYITRADKQGVQIVKHLDQGINPSSFHLIYFTGDNLAKGIRIGVVAGMVALTEAVAIGRT *******************
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	FAAMKDYQIDGNKEMVALGVMNVVGSMSSCYVATGSFSRSAVNFMAGCQTAVSNIIMSIV FAAMKDYQIDGNKEMVALGVMNVVGSMSSCYVATGSFSRSAVNFMAGCQTAVSNIIMSIV FAAMKDYQIDGNKEMVALGMMNVVGSMSSCYVATGSFSRSAVNFMAGCQTAVSNIIMSIV
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	VLLTLLFLTPLFKYTPNAILAAIIINAVIPLIDIQAAVLIFKVDKLDFVACMGAFFGVIF VLLTLVFLTPLFKYTPNAILAAIIINAVIPLIDIQAAVLIFKVDKLDFVACMGAFFGVIF VLLTLLFLTPLFKYTPNAILAAIIINAVIPLIDIQAAILIFKVDKLDFIACIGAFFGVIF *****:************
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	VSVEIGLLIAVSISFAKILLQVTRPRTAVLGNIPRTSVYRNIQQYPEATMVPGVLMIRVD VSVEIGLLIAVSISFAKILLQVTRPRTAVLGNIPRTSVYRNIQQYPEATMVPGVLMIRVD VSVEIGLLIAVSISFAKILLQVTRPRTAVLGNIPRTSVYRNIQQYPEATMVPGVLTIRVD ******
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	SAIYFSNSNYVRERIQRWLQEEEEKVKAASL <mark>H</mark> RIQFLI <mark>L</mark> EMSPVTDIDTSGIHALEDLYK SAIYFSNSNYVRERIQRWLLEEEEKVKAASLPSIQFLIIEMSPVTDIDTSGIHALEDLYK SAIYFSNSNYVRERIQRWLHEEEEKVKAASLPRIQFLIIEMSPVTDIDTSGIHALEDLYK *****************
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	SLQKRDIQLILANPGPLVIGKLHLSHFADMLGQDNIFLTVADAVESCCPKLSDEVLIKEQ SLQKRDIQLILANPGPLVIGKLHLSHFADMLGHDNIFLTVADAVESCCPKLSDEVLIKEQ SLQKRDIQLILANPGPLVIGKLHLSHFADMLGQDNIYLTVADAVEACCPKLSNEVLIKEQ ************************************
pEPY11 pEPY31 pEPY21	KLISEEDLHHHHHH KLISEEDLHHHHHH KLISEEDLHHHHHH

Figure 2.2) Amino acid alignment of the pEPY11, pEPY21, and pEPY31 yeast expression vectors. Membrane spanning domains are highlighted in red, C-terminal STAS domains are highlighted in green. Amino acid differences in *S. pinnata* are highlighted in yellow. Conserved arginine residues reported earlier to be important for sulfate binding are highlighted in blue.

2.3.2 Sulfate Uptake Complementation

SpSultr1;2, and AtSultr1;2 yeast strains showed complementation of the no-growth phenotype of YSD1 and of the negative control cells harboring the pYES2 vector on SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²-) plates (Figure 2.3a). Growth in the Sultr1;2 yeast lines was observed after 3 and 5 days. On SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²⁻) plates supplemented with 2% glucose, no growth was observed for all of the yeast lines after 3 and 5 days (Figure 2.3b), indicating that the ability to grow on SD-S depended on the expression of the *Sultr1;2* plant genes. Similar to the untagged versions of the genes, SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, and AtSultr1;2t yeast strains also showed complementation of the no-growth phenotype after 3 and 5 days on SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²⁻) plates supplemented with 2% galactose (Figure 2.4). Together these results indicate that the proteins are all expressed and have sulfate transport capacity; also, the presence of a 3' protein purification tag does not appear to inhibit sulfate transport capacity.

2.3.3 Selenate Tolerance Assay

The 22574d yeast cells (containing a functional Sul1 gene) showed a reduction in growth when exposed to selenate concentrations upwards of 50 - 100 μ M (Figure 2.5a). The reduction in growth was apparent starting at the 1 x 10⁻² serial dilution. The YSD1 yeast cells did not grow on this medium, due to the lack of a functional SUL1 sulfate transporter (Figure 2.5a). Growth of YSD1 strains SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, or AtSultr1;2t was reduced at selenate concentrations upwards of 50 - 100 μ M, as evidenced between the 1 x 10⁻¹ and 1 x 10⁻² serial dilution (Figure 2.5b). Based on these results, 50 μ M selenate was chosen for future selenate uptake experiments as it is the concentration at which growth starts to be impeded in the wildtype yeast.



Figure 2.3) Functional complementation of sulfate uptake in SpSultr1;2, AtSultr1;2, and YSD1pY yeast cells. a) Complementation of sulfate uptake of SpSultr1;2 (top left sector) and AtSultr1;2 (top right sector) yeast cells on SD-S (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) media supplemented with 2% galactose to induce *Sultr1;2* gene expression. Growth was documented after 3 days of incubation at 30°C. No growth was observed for the YSD1pY cells after 3 days (bottom sector). b) Lack of sulfate uptake complementation of SpSultr1;2 (top right sector), AtSultr1;2 (top left sector), and YSD1pY (bottom sector) yeast cells on SD-S (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) media supplemented with 2% glucose. The plate was imaged after 3 days of incubation at 30°C.



Figure 2.4) Functional complementation of sulfate uptake in SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY yeast cells. Complementation of sulfate uptake of SpSultr1;2t (top left sector), SeSultr1;2t (top right sector), and AtSultr1;2t (bottom right sector) yeast cells on SD-S (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) media supplemented with 2% galactose to induce *Sultr1;2* gene expression. Growth was documented after 3 and 5 days of incubation at 30°C. No growth was observed for the YSD1pY cells after 3 and 5 days (bottom left sector).



Figure 2.5) Selenate tolerance of yeast strains on SD-S (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) plates supplemented with varying concentrations of selenate. a) Sulfate transport deficient strain YSD1 (top 2 rows) and sulfate transport sufficient strain 22574d (bottom two rows) were gown either in sulfate sufficient (SD-U) or sulfate deficient (SD-S) media before being plated on SD-S (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) media with selenate concentrations ranging from 0 μ M to 1000 μ M. Serial dilutions of yeast cells range from a concentration of OD_{600nm} 1.0 (farthest left) to 1.0 x 10⁻⁵ (farthest right). b) Yeast strains SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY were grown on SD-S (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) media with selenate concentrations ranging from 0 μ M to 1000 μ M. Serial dilutions of yeast cells range from a concentration ranging from 0 μ M to 100 μ M.

2.3.4 Yeast Growth Curve Assay

Both SpSultr1;2 and AtSultr1;2 strains grew better in the SD-S (0.1 mM SO4^{2-}) media when compared to YSD1pY (Fig. 2.6). In the absence of selenate, AtSultr1;2 yeast cells grew the best, compared to SpSultr1;2 and YSD1pY (Fig. 2.6, 2.7). Both SpSultr1;2 and AtSultr1;2 cells showed a significant reduction in growth in the presence of selenate, whereas YSD1pY showed no reduction in growth (Fig. 2.6, 2.7). The increased growth on SD-S, combined with the reduction in growth in the presence of selenate confirm that both SULTR1;2 homologs from *S*. *pinnata* and *A. thaliana* have the capability to transport both sulfate and selenate. These experiments also were used to estimate at which cell density logarithmic growth was occurring for future selenate uptake experiments. From the growth curves illustrated in Figures 2.6 and 2.7, it was determined that the logarithmic phase of growth began at an OD_{600nm} between 0.1 and 0.2



Figure 2.6) Growth curve of SpSultr1;2, AtSultr1;2, and YSD1pY yeast grown in the presence or absence of 50 μ M selenate. The cultures were grown in a shaking incubator set to 30°C and 180 rpm for a total duration of 65 hours. Growth curves of cells grown in the presence of selenate are denoted with squares. Growth curves of cells grown in the absence of selenate are denoted with circles.



Figure 2.7) Growth curve of SpSultr1;2, AtSultr1;2, and YSD1pY yeast grown in the presence or absence of 50 μ M selenate. The cultures were grown in a shaking incubator set to 30°C and 180 rpm for a total duration of 72 hours. Growth curves of cells grown in the presence of selenate are denoted with squares. Growth curves of cells grown in the absence of selenate are denoted with circles.

2.3.5 Sultr1;2 Immunodetection

The MycHis tag was added to the constructs so as to be able to compare their expression levels using immunoblotting. However, the SULTR1;2 protein from the YSD1 strains SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, and AtSultr1;2t was not detectable by the alkaline phosphatase immunodetection protocol (Fig. 2.8). The positive control consisting of Myc/6x His tagged ATP sulfurylase (APS) 2 did produce a visible band on the nitrocellulose membrane after incubation of the membrane in AP buffer for 10 minutes. Thus, the relative expression of the plant proteins could not be determined using this method, perhaps because expression was low. For the dotblot, incubation with the horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody did result in positive detection of the SULTR1;2 proteins (Fig. 2.9). However, due to the varying concentrations of the protein extracts, different volumes of the protein extracts were loaded onto the membrane for each of the yeast lines. This resulted in diffusion of some of the protein extracts across the membrane surface, leading to fainter detection of SULTR1;2 in some of the yeast lines (Fig. 2.9). In subsequent selenate uptake experiments the strains were normalized on a yeast dry weight basis instead.



Figure 2.8) Coomassie Brilliant Blue stain of the protein gel (total protein), Ponceau S stain of the blotted proteins (total protein), and Immunodetection using anti-His antibody of protein extracts from yeast lines SpSultr1;2t (1), SeSultr1;2t (2), AtSultr1;2t (3), YSD1pY (4), and *E. coli* cells expressing Myc/6xHis tagged APS2 from *S. pinnata* (5). The expected Sultr1;2-MycHis protein size is predicted to be 78 kDa.



Figure 2.9) Immunodetection of tagged SULTR1;2 proteins from SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t. and YSD1pY yeast strains. 10 µg of total protein was loaded directly onto the nitrocellulose membrane, followed by incubation with an anti-His primary antibody and horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody. The membrane was detected via chemiluminescence for 5 minutes using a Bio-Rad gel imaging system.

2.3.6 Quantification of Selenate Uptake

After 1 hour of incubation in low (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) or high (1.0 mM SO_4^{2-}) sulfate conditions and 50 uM selenate, yeast strains SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, and AtSultr1;2t all showed a significant (p < 0.05) increase in selenate content when compared to the negative control YSD1pY cells at 1.0 mM sulfate (Figure 2.10). SpSultr1;2t cells had significantly lower rates of uptake at both the 0.1 mM and 1.0 mM sulfate concentrations when compared to SeSultr1;2t or AtSultr1;2t cells (Figure 2.10). AtSultr1;2t, and the YSD1pY cells showed a significant (p < 0.05) reduction in selenate uptake between the 0.1 mM and 1.0 mM sulfate treatments, while reduction in selenate uptake in the SeSultr1;2t strain was not significant (p = 0.06). However, this reduction in selenate uptake between high and low sulfate treatments was not observed in the SpSultr1;2t cells (Fig. 2.10).



Figure 2.10) Selenate accumulation by yeast strains SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY (negative control). Yeast cells were grown to log-phase in either SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²⁻) or SD-S (1.0 mM SO₄²⁻) media before incubation for 1 hour with 50 μ M selenate at 30°C while shaking at 180 rpm. Total Se content of dried yeast pellets was analyzed via ICP-MS. Three biological replicates for each yeast strain were analyzed for total Se content. Significant differences (p < 0.05) between the 0.1 mM SO₄²⁻ and 1.0 mM SO₄²⁻ treatments within each strain are denoted with an asterisk. Significant differences (p < 0.05) for Se levels between SpSultr1;2t, SeSultr1;2t, AtSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY at the 0.1 mM SO₄²⁻ treatment are denoted with lowercase letters. Significant differences (p < 0.05) for Se levels between SpSultr1;2t, and YSD1pY at the 1.0 mM SO₄²⁻ treatment are denoted with uppercase letters.

Table 2.5) Statistical analysis of selenate uptake between yeast lines under 0.1 mM or 1.0 mM SO_4^{2-} conditions.

[SO4 ²⁻]	SpSultr1;2t	SeSultr1;2t	AtSultr1;2t	YSD1pY
0.1 mM SO ₄ ²⁻	39.32a	116.99b	84.88c	35.97a
1.0 mM SO4 ²⁻	43.15A	84.12B	60.44AB	21.63C

Table 2.6. Statistical anlysis of selenate uptake under 0.1 mM or 1.0 mM SO_4^{2-} conditions within yeast lines.

Yeast Strain	0.1 mM SO4 ⁻	1.0 mM SO 4 ²⁻	p < 0.05
SpSultr1;2t	39.32	43.15	
SeSultr1;2t	116.99	84.12	
AtSultr1;2t	84.88	60.44	*
YSD1pY	35.97	21.63	*

2.4 Discussion

The results presented in this study indicate that SULTR1;2 from *S. pinnata* may have enhanced selenate to sulfate substrate specificity when compared to SULTR1;2 from *S. elata* and *A. thaliana*. Based on the data from the selenate uptake experiment, the SpSultr1;2t yeast cells had no significant difference in selenate uptake in the presence of both high (1.0 mM SO_4^{2-}) or low (0.1 mM SO_4^{2-}) sulfate concentrations, and showed higher Se accumulation than the negative control strain expressing the empty vector (YSD1pY). In contrast, selenate uptake in SeSultr1;2t and AtSultr1;2t strains as well as the YSD1pY control yeast strains all showed a significant reduction in selenate uptake between low and high sulfate conditions. This tentative conclusion is based on one uptake experiment with three biological replicates, and therefore still preliminary, yet encouraging. While SpSULTR1;2 may have enhanced selenate specificity, it is not an exclusive selenate transporter: it also transports sulfate, evidenced by its capacity to complement growth of YSD1 cells on SD-S media.

These results help support and explain previous findings of enhanced Se-specific uptake in Se hyperaccumulators, as evidenced by higher Se: S tissue ratios when compared to nonhyperaccumulators (Schiavon et al, 2017). The observation of a similar selenate-specificity in yeast transgenics transformed with *SpSultr1;2* suggests that Se specificity in *S. pinnata* is determined during uptake of selenate from the soil via this root membrane transporter, one of the first, key steps of the Se assimilation pathway in plants.

While SpSultr1;2t showed evidence of higher selenate specificity, it also appeared to have reduced overall transport activity, as indicated by the observed lower yeast Se accumulation of SpSultr1;2t compared to SeSultr1;2t and AtSultr1;2t. These results were also seen in the untagged SpSultr1;2 and AtSultr1;2 strains, where SpSultr1;2 grew less well on SD-S (0.1 mM SO4²⁻) media when compared to AtSultr1;2 (Fig. 2.5, 2.6). It should be noted that it is not certain whether all proteins were expressed at the same level; the data are currently normalized based on yeast biomass. However, assuming equal expression of these proteins in yeast, the hyperaccumulator SULTR1;2 appears less active as a transporter. The intuitively contradicting findings that the hyperaccumulator takes up more selenate, yet has a less active transporter may be explained by observations of gene expression *in planta*. Based on RNA-seq and RT-PCR data

generated in the Pilon-Smits lab (unpublished), *S. pinnata* has at least 10-fold higher expression of *Sultr1;2* compared to *S. elata*. The overexpression of *Sultr1;2* in the hyperaccumulator may have evolved as an adaptation to compensate for a decrease in overall transport activity that co-occurred with enhanced selenate uptake specificity. The possible presence of multiple copies of *Sultr1;2* in the *S. pinnata* genome, with one or more of these copies having higher selenate specificity, could also explain the results generated in this study. It is possible that there are multiple copies of *Sultr1;2* in *S. pinnata*, with only some conferring selenate specificity due to mutations in the amino acid sequence, allowing this species to accumulate high levels of Se without sacrificing sulfate uptake. Gene duplication events of transporters have been previously reported for some metal hyperaccumulators (Cappa et al, 2014).

If indeed SpSULTR1;2 has enhanced selenate specificity but lower overall transport capacity, as compared to SeSULTR1;2 and AtSULTR1;2, the differences in the amino acid sequence of SULTR1;2 from *S. pinnata* as compared to the other two species may explain these kinetic differences. Studies on the structure of the C-terminal STAS domain of *A. thaliana* Sultr1;2 have identified the Thr-587, Cys-645, and Cys-646 amino acid residues as essential for sulfate transport and protein-protein interactions (Rouached et al, 2005). However, no studies have explored the effects of modifications to the STAS domain on selenate transport activity. Amino acid differences in the STAS domain of Sultr1;2 from *S. pinnata*, specifically His-570 (proline in *S. elata* and *A. thaliana*) and Leu-577 (histidine in *S. elata* and *A. thaliana*) (Figure 2.2) may be responsible for altered selenate transport activity. Comparison of the selenate transport capacity of YSD1 expressing with *SULTR1;2* from *S. pinnata* with amino acid substitutions at these positions may help to identify the key amino acid residues responsible for discriminating between sulfate and selenate in the presence of high sulfate concentrations.

Follow up studies may also include expression of the SpSULTR1;2t in transgenic plants, and analysis of the effects on selenate to sulfate uptake specificity. If the capacity of *S. pinnata* for Se enrichment could be transferred to other plant species, this may hold great promise for future phytoremediation and biofortification applications. Previous studies have shown that expression of enzymes involved in Se assimilation in crop species can enhance the overall Se concentration found in the plants (LeDuc et al, 2004). Since SULTR1;2 is the main portal of entry for selenate into the plant (El Kassis et al, 2007), expression of SpSULTR1;2 in other species may also increase overall selenate concentrations.

While functional complementation of sulfate uptake was observed in the tagged and untagged *Sultr1;2* constructs (Fig. 2.3a,b; Fig. 2.4), it is not known if the C-terminal Myc/6xHis tag interferes with protein localization or sulfate transport. The tagged *Sultr1;2* constructs should be used to confirm equal expression of these proteins in YSD1, but future selenate uptake experiments to confirm these results should (also) be done with YSD1 transformed with the untagged Sultr1;2 constructs. This would ensure that selenate uptake is not affected by any interactions between the Myc/6x His protein tag and the STAS domain. However, it may be important to consider that while it has been shown that mutations and deletions in the STAS domain affect sulfate transport activity (Rouached et al, 2005) it has not been reported that additions to the STAS domain affect sulfate transport. Furthermore, SULTR1;2-GFP fusion proteins have been found to function and localize properly in *Arabidopsis* mutants (Yoshimoto et al, 2002).

In conclusion, this study of sulfate-dependent selenate transport activity of plant transporters in a yeast model system provides preliminary evidence for selenate specificity in *S. pinnata* SULTR1;2. This finding is of significance, since selenate specificity in a sulfate

transporter has not been reported until now. Furthermore, these findings help to further elucidate the mechanisms and evolution of Se hyperaccumulation, and may have applications in future Se phytoremediation or biofortification.

REFERENCES

Alper SL, Sharma AK (2013) The SLC26 gene family of anion transporters and channels. Mol Aspects Med 34:494-515.

Aravind L, Koonin EV (2000) The STAS domain - a link between anion transporters and antisigma-factor anatagonists. Curr Biol 10:53-55.

Banuelos G, LeDuc DL, Pilon-Smits EAH, Terry N (2007) Transgenic Indian Mustard Overexpressing Selenocysteine Lyase or Selenocysteine Methyltransferase Exhibit Enhanced Potential for Selenium Phytoremediation under Field Conditions. Environ Sci and Technol 41:599-605.

Brown TA, Shrift A. Selenium: toxicity and tolerance in higher plants (1982) Biol Rev 57:59-84.

Cabannes E, Buchner P, Broadley MR, Hawkesford MJ (2011) A comparison of sulfate and selenium accumulation in relation to the expression of sulfate transporter genes in *Astragalus* species. Plant Physiol 157:2227-2239.

Cappa JJ, Pilon-Smits EAH (2014) Evolutionary aspects of elemental hyperaccumulation. Planta 239:267-275.

Cappa JJ, Yetter C, Fakra S, Cappa PJ, DeTar R, Landes C, Pilon-Smits EAH, Simmons MP (2015) Evolution of selenium hyperaccumulation in *Stanleya* (Brassicaceae) as inferred from phylogeny, physiology and X-ray microprobe analysis. New Phytol 205:583-595.

Clontech (2008) Yeast Protocols Handbook. PDF Document <http://download.bioon.com.cn/upload/month_0812/20081212_14884cc2b24e2458c6beaHMeT 8k3EWPd.attach.pdf>. El Kassis E, Cathala E, Rouached H, Fourcroy P, Berthomieu P, Terry N, Davidian JC (2007) Characterization of a selenate-resistant *Arabidopsis* mutant. Root growth as a potential target for selenate toxicity. Plant Physiol 143:1231-1241.

El Mehdawi AF, Pilon-Smits EAH (2012) Ecological aspects of plant selenium hyperaccumulation. Plant Biol 14:1-10.

F, Bauer (1997) Selenium and soils in the western United States. http://escholarship.org/uc/item/0856v8tw>.

Jauniaux JC, Grenson M (1990) GAP1, the general amino acid permease gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nucleotide sequence, protein similarity with other bakers yeast amino acid permeases, and nitrogen catabolite repression. Eur J Biochem 190:39-44.

K, Pyrzynska (1996) Speciation analysis of some organic selenium compounds: A review. Analyst 121:77-83.

LeDuc DL, Tarun AS, Montes-Bayon M, Meija J, Malit MF, Wu CP, AbdelSamie M, Chiang CY, Tagmount A, deSouza M, Neuhierl B, Bock A, Caruso J, Terry N (2004) Overexpression of selenocysteine methyltransferase in *Arabidopsis* and Indian mustard increases selenium tolerance and accumulation. Plant Physiol 135:377-383.

Quinn CF, Freeman JL, Reynolds RJB, Cappa JJ, Fakra SC, Marcus MA, Lindblom SD, Quinn EK, Bennett LE, Pilon-Smits EAH (2010) Selenium hyperaccumulation offers protection from cell disruptor herbivores. BMC Ecol 10:1-11.

Romero H, Zhang Y, Gladyshev VN, Salinas G (2005) Evolution of selenium utilization traits. Genome Biol 6:1-11. Rouached H, Berthomieu P, El Kassis E, Cathala N, Catherinot V, Labesse G, Davidian JC, Fourcroy P (2005) Structural and functional analysis of the C-terminal STAS (sulfate transporter and anti-sigma antagonist) domain of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* sulfate transporter SULTR1.2. J Biol Chem 280:15976-15983.

Schiavon M, Pilon-Smits EAH (2017) The fascinating facets of plant selenium accumulation – biochemistry, physiology, evolution and ecology. New Phytol 213:1582-1596.

Shibagaki N, Grossman AR (2010) Binding of cysteine synthase to the STAS domain of sulfate transporter and its regulatory consequences. J Biol Chem 285:25094-25102.

Shibagaki N, Rose A, McDermott JP, Fujiwara T, Hayashi H, Yoneyama T, Davies JP (2002) Selenate-resistant mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* identify Sultr1;2, a sulfate transporter required for efficient transport of sulfate into roots. Plant J 29:475-486.

Shrivastava A, Gupta VB (2011) Methods for the determination of limit of detection and limit of quantitation of the analytical methods. Chron Young Sci 2:21-25.

Smith FW, Hawkesford MJ, Prosser IM, Clarkson DT (1995) Isolation of a cDNA from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that encodes a high affinity sulphate transporter at the plasma membrane. Mol Genet Genomics 247:709-715.

Taylor RG, Walker DC, McInnes RR (1993) *E. coli* host strains significantly affect the quality of small scale plasmid DNA preparations used for sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res 21:1677-1678.

White PJ, Bowen HC, Marshall B, Broadley MR (2007) Extraordinarily high leaf selenium to sulfur ratios define 'Se-accumulator' plants. Ann Botany 100:111-118.

White PJ, Bowen HC, Parmaguru P, Fritz M, Spracklen WP, Spiby RE, MEacham MC, Mead A, Harriman M, Trueman LJ, Smith BM, Thomas B, Broadley MR (2004) Interactions between selenium and sulphur nutrition in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Journal Exp Bot 55:1927-1937.

White, PJ (2016) Selenium accumulation by plants. Ann Botany 117:217-235.

Yoshimoto N, Takahashi H, Smith FW, Yamaya T, Saito K (2002) Two distinct high-affinity sulfate transporters with different inducibilities mediate uptake of sulfate in *Arabidopsis* roots. Plant J 29:465-473.
CHAPTER 3: A TISSUE CULTURE MICROPROPAGATION AND REGENERATION PROTOCOL FOR SELENIUM HYPERACCUMULATOR STANLEYA PINNATA AND NON-ACCUMULATOR STANLEYA ELATA

3.1 Introduction

Stanleya pinnata is in the mustard family Brassicaceae, and is native to the United States from the western Plains states to California. Commonly known as Prince's Plume, it is typically found on selenium-rich soils, and has long been characterized as a selenium (Se) hyperaccumulator, with the ability to accumulate over 1,000 mg / kg dry weight of this normally toxic element (Mehdawi et al, 2011; Galeas et al, 2007). However, not all varieties of S. pinnata accumulate high levels of Se, and the hyperaccumulation phenotype is variable among S. pinnata accessions (Feist & Parker, 2001; Cappa et al., 2014). By potentially identifying ecotypes of this species with high Se accumulation capacity, tissue culture can allow for the large-scale production of Se hyperaccumulating plants to be used in experimental studies, as well as phytoremediation or biofortification projects. Stanleya elata, commonly known as Panamint Prince's Plume, is a closely related species to S. pinnata native to Nevada, Arizona and California in the United States (Cappa et al., 2015). Because S. elata does not accumulate high levels of Se, it has been frequently used as a contrasting species for S. pinnata to investigate mechanisms of Se hyperaccumulation (El Mehdawi et al, 2012; Cappa et al, 2014; Cappa et al, 2015). While commercial seed sources are available for *S. pinnata*, no seed source is available for S. elata, making seed collection laborious and difficult, as it is necessary to travel to remote desert locations to collect seeds from wild populations. Rates of germination for these two species have been found to be relatively low, requiring large quantities of seeds to be sown to grow enough plants for research applications. Obtaining more seeds from established plants is

also a challenge, due to a long vernalization period needed before flowering, self-incompatibility and low seed yields. Vegetative propagation is also difficult, especially in *S. pinnata*, due to this plant's propensity to form basal rosettes and short internode lengths. Because of difficulties surrounding the current cultivation of these two species, tissue culture micropropagation offers a potential avenue for the large scale production these plants to be used for research and horticultural applications.

While no protocol for the micropropagation and transformation of *Stanleya* species has yet been reported, there have been many publications on tissue culture in other Brassicaceae species, which served as a starting point for the development of this protocol. Many experiments have been previously conducted in the crop species Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) showing high frequency of callus induction (Glimelius, 1984) and shoot regeneration (Hachey et al, 1991; Pua et al, 1993; Guo et al, 2005). Outlined in this manuscript is a rapid and efficient protocol for callus induction, shoot regeneration, rooting, and acclimatization of two species in the genus *Stanleya*, the Se hyperaccumulator *S. pinnata*, and the closely related non-accumulator *S. elata*.

3.2 Materials and Methods

All media were formulated with 4.43 g L⁻¹ Murashige and Skoog (MS) basal salts (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) (Murashige & Skoog, 1962) supplemented with 1 mL L⁻¹ Gamborg's B5 vitamins (Sigma-Aldrich G1019), 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich S0389), and 6.8 g L⁻¹ Phyto Agar (RPI A20300). This basal medium was diluted to $\frac{1}{2}$ strength for seed germination and root induction. The MS medium was supplemented with varying concentrations of benzylaminopurine (BAP) (Sigma-Aldrich B3408), 1-Napthaleneaceticacid (NAA) (Sigma-Aldrich N0640), and Indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) (Sigma-Aldrich I5386) for callus, shoot, and root induction experiments. 50 mg / ml stocks of NAA, BAP, and IBA were prepared by first

dissolving 50 mg of the salt stocks in 1 -2 ml of 1 N NaOH before being brought up to a final volume of 50 ml with distilled H₂O in 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. The hormone stocks were then filter-sterilized using a 50 ml syringe (Thermofisher) and 0.22 μ m nylon 25 mm diameter syringe filter (Thermofisher) into a 50 ml sterile conical tube (Falcon) Seeds and explants were cultured either on 100 x 15 mm petri plates (VWR 25384-088) or Magenta GA-7 vessels (Sigma-Aldrich V8505). All explants were grown in a Percival CU36L growth chamber with 4100K 17W T8 fluorescent bulbs (Sylvania 21770 – FO17/741/ECO) set at 25.1°C on a 16 hour light, 8 hour dark light cycle. All explants were cut using #10 Carbon steel blades (Glassvan 2001T-10) mounted to a #3 scalpel handle (Glassvan ISO 7740).

3.2.1 Seed Germination and Callus Induction

Seeds of *S. pinnata* were ordered from Western Native Seed while seeds of *S. elata* were collected from wild populations in remote locations between Las Vegas and Reno, Nevada along US Route 95. Approximately 100 seeds of *S. pinnata* and 200 seeds of *S. elata* were surface sterilized in 50 ml conical tubes by washing once with 25 ml 70% v/v ethanol for one minute, followed by one wash with 25 ml 10% v/v household bleach (Clorox) for 15 minutes, and 4 washes with 25 ml sterile distilled H₂O for 5 minutes each. Seeds were stratified in the fridge at 4°C in the dark for 7 days before being sown on ½ strength MS media with 15 g/L sucrose in Magenta boxes for 2 weeks. Germination occurred after 5 days for both species. The seedlings grew 3 sets of true leaves in the first 2 weeks, which were used to cut 1 cm³ leaf squares. The leaf explants were cultivated on full-strength MS medium with 0.5 mg/L NAA and 0.5 mg/L BAP, 1.0 mg/L NAA and BAP, 1.5 mg/L NAA and BAP, or 2.0 mg/L NAA and BAP. Previous protocols published for Brassicaceae species report similar ranges of these plant growth regulators (Pua et al, 1993; Guo et al, 2005). The leaf explants were inserted perpendicular to the

media, with half of the cut edge exposed to the media and the other half exposed to the air. 15 explants were cultured on a single 100 x 15 mm petri plate with 4 plates used for each different hormone formulation, for a total of 40 explants per treatment or 160 explants total for each species. The number of leaf explants that produced visible callus was assessed every 2 days for a total duration of 15 days.

3.2.2 Shoot Initiation and Elongation

Callus explants produced from the callus induction experiment were excised from the initial leaf explant and cultured on full-strength MS media in 100 x 15 mm petri plates supplemented with 0.5 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L NAA, 1.0 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L NAA, 1.5 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L NAA, or 2.0 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L NAA for a total of 50 explants per treatment, or 250 explants total for each species. The callus explants were assessed for average number of explants that formed shoots, as well as average number of shoots formed from each explant every 2 days for a total duration of 15 days. After 15 days, the explants were sub-cultured and moved to magenta boxes with the same media formulations to undergo elongation for a period of 15 days.

3.2.3 Root Induction

After 15 days on the different shoot induction media, the shoots that formed from the callus material were excised from the callus and cultured on full-strength MS media in magenta vessels with no hormones, or supplemented with 0.5 mg/ L, 1.0 mg/ L, or 2.0 mg/ L IBA, with 3 shoots per magenta box for a total of 27 shoots per treatment, and 108 shoots for each species. The shoot explants were assessed for average number of shoots that formed roots over a total period of 30 days.

3.2.4 Acclimatization

Explants that formed roots *in vitro* were moved to an *ex vitro* 5 L hydroponic system filled with distilled H₂O to begin the acclimatization (hardening) process. A total of 12 plants were in each hydroponic system, and the plants were covered with a plastic dome to maintain high humidity for a week before being opened gradually over a period of 3 weeks to reduce the humidity. The plastic dome remained over the plants for a total duration of 3 weeks before being removed. After 2 weeks, the distilled H₂O in the hydroponics bucket was switched to 1/10 strength Hoagland's solution (Hoagland & Arnon, 1938) for 1 week before being switched to 1/5 strength Hoagland's solution for the remainder of the experiment. Plants moved to the *ex vitro* hydroponic system were assessed for percent mortality over a total period of 30 days.

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Seed germination and callus Induction

Approximately 11% of *S. pinnata* seeds and 64% of *S. elata* seeds had germinated after 10 days. All of the hormone treatments tested resulted in callus induction after 15 days, for both *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* (Fig. 3.1a,b). *S. elata* leaf explants exhibited faster initiation of callus induction, with callus being observed after 5 days on the various callus induction media formulations. No callus was observed on the *S. pinnata* leaf explants until 9 days after being cultured on the various callus induction media formulations. MS media supplemented with 1.0 mg/L BAP and NAA or 2.0 mg/L BAP and NAA resulted in 100% of the *S. pinnata* leaf cuttings producing callus after 15 days (Fig. 3.1a). However, the 2.0 mg/L BAP and NAA media formulation resulted in a slightly higher percentage of leaf explants forming callus in 7 days compared to the 1.0 mg/L BAP and NAA media formulation (Fig. 3.1a). For *S. elata*, the 0.5

mg/L BAP and NAA, 1.5 mg/L BAP and NAA, and 2.0 mg/L BAP and NAA media formulations resulted in 100% callus induction after 15 days (Fig. 3.1b). However, the 1.5 mg/L BAP and NAA media formulation resulted in the fastest induction of callus from leaf explants, with 92% of the leaf explants showing callus formation after 5 days (Fig. 3.1b).

3.3.2 Shoot Initiation / Elongation

Stanleya pinnata callus explants responded the best to the 1.5 mg/L BAP 0.1 mg/L NAA hormone treatment, with an average of 88% of calli forming shoots after 15 days (Fig. 3.2a). This hormone treatment also resulted in the highest number of shoots per callus explant, with an average of 3.3 shoots formed from each callus (Fig. 3.2b). *Stanleya elata* callus explants also responded best to the 1.5 mg/L BAP 0.1 mg/L NAA hormone treatment, with 92% of calli forming shoots after 15 days (Fig. 3.3a). However, the 0.5 mg/L BAP 0.1 mg/L NAA hormone treatment resulted in the most shoots per callus for *S. elata*, with an average of 3.7 shoots per callus formed compared to an average of 2.8 shoots per callus formed on the 1.5 mg/L BAP 0.1 mg/L NAA hormone treatment (Fig. 3.3b). Overall, *S. elata* callus explants responded better to the shoot induction media formulations, with more of the *S. elata* calli forming shoots, as well as more shoots per callus when compared to *S. pinnata* callus explants. After being moved to Magenta boxes containing the same hormone concentrations for shoot induction, shoots of both species elongated at similar rates over 15 days (Fig. 3.4a,b).



Figure 3.1) Callus induction from leaf explants of *S. pinnata* (a) and *S. elata* (b). 40 explants for each hormone treatment were grown on petri plates for 15 days. Assessment of callus formation was performed every 2 days (N = 160).



Figure 3.2) Rates of shoot induction (a) and average number of shoots per callus (b) from callus explants of *S. pinnata*. 50 explants for each hormone treatment were initially grown on petri plates for 15 days, then moved to Magenta boxes for another 15 days for elongation (N = 200).



Figure 3.3) Rates of shoot induction (a) and average number of shoots per callus (b) from callus explants of *S. elata.* 50 explants for each hormone treatment were initially grown on petri plates for 15 days, then moved to Magenta boxes for another 15 days for elongation (N = 200).



Figure 3.4) Shoot Induction of *S. pinnata* (a, left) and *S. elata* (b, left) callus explants after 15 days on MS media supplemented with 1.0 mg/ L BAP and 0.1 mg/ L NAA (*S. pinnata*) or 2.0 mg/ L BAP and 0.1 mg/ L NAA (*S. elata*). Elongation of shoots of *S. pinnata* (a, right) and *S. elata* (b, right) was done in Magenta boxes on MS media supplemented with 0.5 - 2.0 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L NAA for 15 days.

3.3.3 Root Induction

Shoots of *S. pinnata* exhibited the highest percentage of root induction on full-strength MS supplemented with 0.5 mg/L IBA, with 53% of explants forming roots after a period of 30 days (Fig. 3.5). Shoots of *S. elata* showed the highest percentage of root induction on full-strength MS supplemented with 1.0 mg/L IBA, with 75% of the explants forming roots after 30 days (Fig. 3.5). Both *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* exhibited the lowest percentage of root induction on full-strength MS without hormones, at 17% and 13.3% root induction, respectively (Fig. 3.5). The number of *S. pinnata* shoots that formed roots across the various hormone treatments was lower compared to *S. elata* (Fig. 3.5). Based on visual observation, *S. pinnata* plants generally formed longer roots *in vitro* whereas *S. elata* roots were shorter with more root hairs (Fig. 3.6).



Figure 3.5) Root Induction of *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* shoots. 27 shoots per hormone treatment were grown in Magenta boxes for a period of 30 days (N = 216).



Figure 3.6) Root Induction of *S. pinnata* (a) and *S, elata* (b) shoots grown on MS media supplemented with 1.0 mg/ L IBA for 30 days.

3.3.4 Acclimatization / Hardening

Both *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* exhibited a high percentage of survivability during the acclimatization process, with 83% of *S. pinnata* and 92% of *S. elata* plants acclimating to the *ex vitro* environment over a period of 30 days. In general, fully acclimatized *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* plants produced through the micropropagation protocol exhibited no visible differences when compared to plants grown from seed. However, *S. pinnata* plants produced from tissue culture

did seem to have longer internodes when compared to plants grown from seed in the same hydroponic system (Fig. 3.7).



Figure 3.7) Full acclimatization of *S. pinnata* (a) and *S. elata* (b) plants in the hydroponic system after 30 days.

Stanleya pinnata

Stanleya elata



Figure 3.8) Flowchart outlining micropropagation protocol for *S. pinnata* and *S. elata*. Instructions for micropropagation of *S. pinnata* are on the left side, and instructions for micropropagation of *S. elata* are on the right. Steps in which the process is the same for both species are in the middle of the diagram.

3.4 Discussion

This study outlines an efficient and effective callus induction and plant regeneration protocol for the micropropagation of two Brassicaceae species, the Se hyperaccumulator S. pinnata, and related non-hyperaccumulator S. elata. In the case of S. pinnata, this protocol allows for the identification and clonal propagation of high Se-accumulating lines, which could pave the way for production of clones at a large scale to be used for industrial applications. Populations of S. pinnata grown via tissue culture could be planted in areas with high levels of Se as a method of phytoremediation to reduce Se levels. Alternatively, large quantities of Seladen S. pinnata could be harvested and applied as green manure to agricultural fields to increase the Se content in crop species. S. pinnata synthesizes higher levels of Se-methylselenocysteine (SeMC) compared to nonaccumulator plant species (Freeman et al, 2010), which is a known anticarcinogen and positively affect human health (Yang & Jia, 2014). Because of this, a fertilizer consisting of ground up S. pinnata could be applied to crop fields to enhance their nutritive qualities. Having a protocol for callus induction and regeneration also provides a first step toward developing a genetic transformation protocol for both species. Through such systems, novel genes from S. pinnata can be introduced and expressed in S. elata or could be knocked out in *S. pinnata* via CRISPR / Cas9 to further elucidate the mechanisms of Se hyperaccumulation. Both species of *Stanleya* also show extreme drought tolerance and the ability to thrive in poor soils, produce large number of flowers, and attract various pollinators, making them excellent candidates for use in native landscaping (Kratsch and Hunter, 2009). The use of micropropagation for these species may lead to the introduction of *Stanleya* to the horticultural industry.

Both *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* exhibited equal, high responses in terms of callus induction. High rates of callus induction in the presence of a range of BAP and NAA concentrations have been previously reported for other Brassicaceae species (Murata & Orton, 1987; Burbulis et al, 2009), and these rates are similar to the results from callus induction trials of *Stanleya* presented in this study. Previous studies have also shown that callus induction is affected more by different plant growth regulators, as opposed to a variation in the concentration of these plant growth regulators (Burbulis et al, 2009). The results seen for these species of *Stanleya*, which showed similar rates of callus induction across the ranges of BAP and NAA concentrations tested, is similar to results published for micropropagation of other Brassicaceae species (Ravanfar et al, 2017). *S. pinnata* had a lower percentage of shoot induction, as well as a lower number of shoots per callus when compared to *S. elata*. A strong genotype influence on shoot induction and general amenability to tissue culture has been reported for various Brassicaceae species, which may explain this variability in species of *Stanleya* (Glimelius, 1984; Murata & Orton, 1987; Akasaka-Kennedy et al, 2005).

Stanleya pinnata also displayed a significantly lower rate of root induction compared to *S. elata.* The morphology of the roots formed in culture was also different between the two species, with *S. pinnata* generally forming longer roots with little to no root hairs and *S. elata* generally forming shorter roots with a relatively high density of root hairs. Both species showed similar amenability to acclimating to the *ex vitro* environment, indicating that the physiology of the roots did not influence the overall health of the plant. Possible modifications to the rooting protocol that may increase the percentage of root induction include reducing the concentration of MS salts and sucrose by ½, and supplementing IBA with another auxin, such as NAA. Studies in other Brassicaceae species have shown efficient root induction with NAA, but usually in

conjunction with other auxins (Prevalek-Kozlina et al, 1997; Kaviani et al, 2011; Massoumi & Klerk, 2013). As a whole, the rate of root induction in these species of *Stanleya* using this protocol are comparable to the results from other studies in Brassicaceae species. In general, both *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* show high amenability to the tissue culture process, as has been reported for many species in the mustard family (Poulsen, 1996).

Both *S. pinnata* and *S. elata* are currently considered relatively obscure plants, and have only been used for research in an academic setting. However, through the utilization of tissue culture micropropagation, the regeneration protocol outlined above paves the way for the large-scale production of these species to be used in a variety of applications to benefit human health, and the environment.

REFERENCES

- Akasaka-Kennedy Y, Yoshida H, Takahata Y (2005) Efficient plant regeneration from leaves of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.): the influence of AgNO3 and genotype. Plant Cell Rep 24:649-654.
- Burbulis N, Blinstrubiene A, Kupriene R, Jonytiene V, Rugienius R, Staniene G (2009) In vitro regeneration of Brassica napus L. shoots from hypocotyls and stem segments. Zemdirbyste 96:176-185.
- Cappa JJ, Pilon-Smits EAH (2014) Evolutionary aspects of elemental hyperaccumulation. Planta 239:267-275.
- Cappa JJ, Yetter C, Fakra S, Cappa PJ, DeTar R, Landes C, Pilon-Smits EAH, Simmons MP (2015) Evolution of selenium hyperaccumulation in *Stanleya* (Brassicaceae) as inferred from phylogeny, physiology and X-ray microprobe analysis. New Phytol 205:583-595.
- El Mehdawi AF, Pilon-Smits EAH (2012) Ecological aspects of plant selenium hyperaccumulation. Plant Biol 14:1-10.
- Feist LJ, Parker DR (2001) Ecotypic variation in selenium accumulation among populations of Stanleya pinnata. New Phytol 149:61-69.
- Freeman JL, Tamaoki M, Stushnoff C, Quinn CF, Cappa JJ, Devonshire J, Fakra SC, Marcus MA, McGrath SP, Can Hoewyk D, Pilon-Smits EAH (2010) Molecular mechanisms of selenium tolerance and hyperaccumulation in *Stanleya pinnata*. Plant Physiol 153:1630-1652.

- Galeas ML, Zhang LH, Freeman JL, Wegner M, Pilon-Smits EAH (2007) Seasonal fluctuations of selenium and sulfur accumulation in selenium hyperaccumulators and related nonaccumulators. New Phytol 173:517-525.
- GB, Poulsen (1996) Genetic transformation of *Brassica*. Plant Breeding 115:209-225.
- Guo DP, Zhu ZJ, Hu XX, Zheng SJ (2005) Effect of cytokinins on shoot regeneration from cotyledon and leaf segment of stem mustard (*Brassica juncea* var. *tsatsai*). Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 83:123-127.
- Hachey JE, Sharma KK, Moloney MM (1991) Efficient shoot regeneration of *Brassica* campestris using cotyledon explants cultured in vitro. Plant Cell Rep 9:549-554.
- Hoagland DR, Arnon DI (1938) The water culture method for growing plants without soil. California Agricultural Experiment Station Circulation 347:32.
- K, Glimelius (1984) High growth rate and regeneration capacity of hypocotyl protoplasts in some Brassicaceae. Physiol Plant 61:38-44.
- Kaviani B, Hesar AA, Kharabian-Masouleh A (2011) *In vitro* propagation of *Matthiola incana* (Brassicaceae)-an ornamental plant. Plant Omics 4:435-440.
- Kratsch H, Hunter G (2009) Prince's Plume in the Landscape. *Utah State University Extension*. <https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/boise/research/shrub/projects/GBNPSIP_TechNotes/Horticult ure/HG_Native_Plants_2009-05.pdf>.
- Massoumi M, De Klerk GJ (2013) Adventitious root formation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: development of a model system. Acta Hort 988:99-105.

- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant 15:473-497.
- Murata M, Orton TJ (1987) Callus initiation and regeneration capacities in *Brassica* species. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 11:111-123.
- Prevalek-Kozlina B, Kostovic-Vranjes V, Slade D (1997) In vitro propagation of Fibigia triquetra (DC.) Boiss., a rare stenoendemic species. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 51:141-143.
- Pua EC, Chi GL (1993) De novo shoot morphogenesis and plant growth of mustard (*Brassica juncea*) *in vitro* in relation to ethylene. Physiol Plant 88:467-474.
- Ravanfar SA, Orbovic V, Moradpour M, Abdul AM, Karan R, Wallace S, Parajuli S (2017)
 Improvement of tissue culture, genetic transformation, and applications of biotechnology
 to *Brassica*. Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev 2:1-22.
- Yang H, Jia X (2014) Safety evaluation of Se-methylselenocysteine as nutritional selenium supplement: acute toxicity, genotoxicity and subchronic toxicity. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 70:720-727.

APPENDIX A

Location of Relevant Plasmids and Glycerol Stocks. List and diagram of location of glycerol stocks within the box labelled "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017" stored in the - 80°C freezer, and list and diagram of location of relevant plasmids stored in the -20°C freezer in the box labelled "ZG pYES2 plasmids 05/30/2017".

SpSultr1;2 in *E. coli*: -80°C freezer, "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017", 2-1 AtSultr1;2 in *E. coli*: -80°C freezer, "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017", 3-1 SpSultr1;2t in yeast: -80°C freezer, "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017", 4-6 SeSultr1;2t in yeast: -80°C freezer, "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017", 5-6 AtSultr1;2t in yeast: -80°C freezer, "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017", 6-6 YSD1pY in yeast: -80°C freezer, "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017", 7-6 22574d yeast: -80°C freezer, "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017", 2-4 YSD1 yeast: -80°C freezer, "ZG Sultr1;2 strain Glycerol Stocks 05/30/2017", 3-4

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1		SpSultr1;2	AtSultr1;2				
		#8 no UTR	#8 no UTR				
		E. coli	E. coli				
2							
3							
4		22574d	YSD1				
		S. cerevisiae	S. cerevisiae				
5							

6		SpSultr1;2t	SeSultr1;2t	AtSultr1;2t #1	YSD1pY
		#1	#1	S. cerevisiae	(pYES2)
		S. cerevisiae	S. cerevisiae		S. cerevisiae

pEPY1 (SpSultr1;2 no tag): -20°C freezer, "ZG pYES2 plasmids 05/30/2017", 2-2

pEPY2 (AtSultr1;2 no tag): -20°C freezer, "ZG pYES2 plasmids 05/30/2017", 3-2

pEPY11 (SpSultr1;2 tag): -20°C freezer, "ZG pYES2 plasmids 05/30/2017", 2-4

pEPY21 (AtSultr1;2 tag): -20°C freezer, "ZG pYES2 plasmids 05/30/2017", 3-4

pEPY31 (SeSultr1;2 tag): -20°C freezer, "ZG pYES2 plasmids 05/30/2017", 4-4

pYES2: -20°C freezer, "ZG pYES2 plasmids 05/30/2017", 4-2

pET28-At (AtSultr1;2 3' end with tag): -20°C freezer, "ZG pYES2 plasmids 05/30/2017", 5-4

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1									
2		pEPY1	pEPY2	pYES2					
3									
4		pEPY11	pEPY21	pEPY31	pET28-At				
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									

APPENDIX B

SD-S Media Components, and instructions for preparation of 1 L of SD-S (0.1 mM SO₄²⁻) yeast media.

100 X KNP stock		(g/100 mL)
ammonium chloride	NH ₄ Cl	20
potassium phosphate dibasic	K ₂ HPO ₄	1.25
potassium phosphtate monobasic	KH ₂ PO ₄	8.75

100 X Ca Na Mg stock		(g/100mL)
sodium chloride	NaCl	1
calcium chloride dihydrate	$CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	1
magnesium chloride hexahydrate	$MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	4

1000 X micro element stock		(mg/100mL)
boric acid	H ₃ BO ₃	50.0
copper chloride	CuCl ₂	3.0
potassium iodide	KI	10.0
manganese chlororide tetrahydrate ammonium molybdate	MnCl2 ·4H2O (NH4)6 M07O24	35.0
tetrahydrate	$\cdot 4H_2O$	15.0
zinc chloride	ZnCl ₂	20.0
EDTA ferric sodium salt	NaFe EDTA	50.0

1000 X vitamin stock	(mg/100ml)
biotin	2.0
calcium pantothenate	200
folic acid	0.20
myo-inositol	1000
nicotinic acid (niacin)	40
4-aminobenzoic acid	20
pyridoxine hydrochloride	40
riboflavin	20
thiamine hydrochloride	40

100 X MgCl ₂ stock		(g/100mL)
magensium chloride hexahydrate	$MgCl_2 \bullet 6H_2O$	4.07

100 X MgSO4 stock		(g/100mL)
magensium sulfate heptahydrate	$MgSO_4 \bullet 7H_2O$	4.93

100 X Amino Acids		(mg/100ml)
isoleucine	Ile	300
valine	Val	1500
adenine hydrochloride	Ade	200
arginine	Arg	200
histidine	His	200
leucine	Leu	1000
lysine	Lys	300
methionine	Met	200
phenylalanine	Phe	500
threonine	Thr	2000
tryptophan	Trp	200
tyrosine	Tyr	300
uracil	Ura	200

20% (w/v)		
Galactose		(g/L)
galactose	Galactose	200

Autoclave all stock solutions except 20% (w/v) galactose, which should be filter-sterilized.

SD-S (0.1 mM SO4²⁻) [1L]:

- 10 ml 100x KNP
- 10 ml 100x Ca Na Mg
- 1 ml 1000x micro elements
- 1 ml 1000x vitamins
- 9.5 ml 100x MgCl₂
- 0.5 ml 100x MgSO₄

Bring volume up to 858 ml with distilled H₂O, and autoclave. After autoclaving, add:

- 100 ml 20% (w/v) filter-sterilized galactose
- 10 ml 100x Amino Acid stock solution

APPENDIX C

Compiled sequences of tagged and untagged open reading frames of Sultr1;2 from S. pinnata, S.

elata, and A. thaliana. Raw Sanger sequencing reads and chromatograms are located in the

Pilon-Smits lab folder on the CSU Biology Pangaea server.

>AtSULTR1;2

ACCCACTCGGCATAAAGTTGGAATCCCACCAAAGCAAAACATGTTCAAGGATTTCATGTACACATTCAAAGAAACTT ${\tt TCTTTCATGATGATCCTCTTAGGGATTTTAAGGATCAGCCTAAGTCTAAGCAGTTTATGCTCGGTCTCCAATCCGTC$ TTCCCGGTCTTCGATTGGGGACGTAACTACACTTTCAAGAAGTTCCGAGGTGATCTCATCTCCGGTTTAACCATTGC AAGTCTCTGCATTCCTCAGGATATTGGATACGCTAAGTTGGCGAATCTTGATCCCAAATACGGTTTATATTCGAGTT TTGTTCCTCCATTGGTGTATGCTTGTATGGGAAGTTCTAGGGATATAGCAATAGGACCTGTCGCTGTGGTTTCGCTG TTGCTAGGCACATTGCTTCGAGCTGAGATTGATCCAAACACAAGTCCAGATGAATATCTCCGCCTTGCCTTCACTGC TACGTTTTTCGCCGGTATAACCGAAGCAGCCCTTGGATTCTTCAGATTAGGATTCTTGATCGATTTCCTTTCCCACG CGGCTGTGGTTGGCTTCATGGGCGGCGCGCGCAGCCATCACTATCGCTCTTCAGCAGCTTAAAGGCTTCCTCGGGATCAAG AAATTCACCAAGAAAACTGATATTATTTCTGTTCTTGAATCCGTTTTCAAAGCAGCTCATCACGGCTGGAATTGGCA GACTATACTCATTGGTGCATCATTCTTGACCTTCCTTCTCACGTCTAAGATCATTGGGAAGAAGAGCAAGAACTA TTCTGGGTACCAGCTATTGCGCCATTGATATCAGTTATCGTTTCCACCTTCTTTGTCTACATAACCCGAGCCGACAA ACAAGGAGTCCAAATCGTGAAACACCTTGACCAAGGAATCAACCCTTCCTCGTTCCATCTAATCTACTTCACTGGTG ATAACCTTGCTAAGGGCATCCGCATCGGTGTAGTCGCTGGCATGGTCGCTTTAACAGAAGCTGTAGCGATTGGAAGA ACCTTTGCTGCAATGAAAGACTACCAAATCGACGGTAACAAAGAGATGGTAGCATTAGGTATGATGAACGTAGTTGG ATCGATGTCTTCTTGCTACGTAGCTACCGGATCTTTCTCAAGATCAGCTGTCAATTTCATGGCTGGATGTCAAACAG CGGTTTCAAACATCATAATGTCAATTGTTGTTCTCTTGACATTGCTCTTCCTTACTCCTCTTCAAGTACACTCCA AACGCCATCCTCGCAGCTATCATCATCAACGCTGTGATTCCTTTGATCGATATCCAAGCTGCTATTTTGATCTTCAA GGTTGATAAGCTCGATTTCATCGCCTGTATTGGAGCATTCTTTGGCGTCATCTTTGTTTCTGTTGAGATCGGACTTC TTATTGCCGTCTCGATCTCGTTTGCTAAGATCCTCTTGCAAGTAACAAGACCTAGAACTGCAGTTCTCGGAAATATT CCAAGAACTTCGGTTTACAGAAATATTCAACAGTATCCTGAAGCCACTATGGTTCCAGGGGTTCTTACTATTCGTGT TGACTCCGCCATTTACTTCTCCAACTCAAATTATGTTAGAGAAAGGATCCAGAGATGGCTACATGAGGAAGAAGAAA AGGTAAAAGCAGCCAAGCCTACCTAGGATTCAGTTTCTCATCATCGAAATGTCACCTGTTACGGACATCGATACAAGT GGTATTCACGCATTAGAAGACTTATACAAGTCTCTCCAGAAAAGAGACATTCAGTTGATTCTGGCGAATCCTGGACC ATGCCGTCGAGGCTTGCTGTCCAAAACTCTCCAACGAGGTCTGAGAATTC

>Sp Sultr1;2

>AtSultr1;2t

ACCCACTCGGCATAAAGTTGGAATCCCACCAAAGCAAAACATGTTCAAGGATTTCATGTACACATTCAAAGAAACTT TCTTTCATGATGATCCTCTTAGGGATTTTAAGGATCAGCCTAAGTCTAAGCAGTTTATGCTCGGTCTCCAATCCGTC TTCCCGGTCTTCGATTGGGGACGTAACTACACTTTCAAGAAGTTCCGAGGTGATCTCATCTCCGGTTTAACCATTGC AAGTCTCTGCATTCCTCAGGATATTGGATACGCTAAGTTGGCGAATCTTGATCCCAAATACGGTTTATATTCGAGTT TTGTTCCTCCATTGGTGTATGCTTGTATGGGAAGTTCTAGGGATATAGCAATAGGACCTGTCGCTGTGGTTTCGCTG TTGCTAGGCACATTGCTTCGAGCTGAGATTGATCCAAACACAAGTCCAGATGAATATCTCCGCCTTGCCTTCACTGC TACGTTTTTCGCCGGTATAACCGAAGCAGCCCTTGGATTCTTCAGATTAGGATTCTTGATCGATTTCCTTTCCCACG CGGCTGTGGTTGGCTTCATGGGCGGCGCGCGCAGCCATCACTATCGCTCTTCAGCAGCTTAAAGGCTTCCTCGGGATCAAG AAATTCACCAAGAAAACTGATATTATTTCTGTTCTTGAATCCGTTTTCAAAGCAGCTCATCACGGCTGGAATTGGCA GACTATACTCATTGGTGCATCATTCTTGACCTTCCTTCTCACGTCTAAGATCATTGGGAAGAAGAGCAAGAAAC TATTCTGGGTACCAGCTATTGCGCCATTGATATCAGTTATCGTTTCCACCTTCTTTGTCTACATAACCCGAGCCGAC AAACAAGGAGTCCAAATCGTGAAACACCTTGACCAAGGAATCAACCCTTCCTCGTTCCATCTAATCTACTTCACTGG TGATAACCTTGCTAAGGGCATCCGCATCGGTGTAGTCGCTGGCATGGTCGCTTTAACAGAAGCTGTAGCGATTGGAA GAACCTTTGCTGCAATGAAAGACTACCAAATCGACGGTAACAAAGAGATGGTAGCATTAGGTATGATGAACGTAGTT GGATCGATGTCTTCTTGCTACGTAGCTACCGGATCTTTCTCAAGATCAGCTGTCAATTTCATGGCTGGATGTCAAAC AGCGGTTTCAAACATCATAATGTCAATTGTTGTTCTCTTGACATTGCTCTTCCTTACTCCTCTTCAAGTACACTC ${\tt CAAACGCCATCCTCGCAGCTATCATCATCAACGCTGTGATTCCTTTGATCGATATCCAAGCTGCTATTTTGATCTTC}$ AAGGTTGATAAGCTCGATTTCATCGCCTGTATTGGAGCATTCTTTGGCGTCATCTTTGTTTCTGTTGAGATCGGACT TCTTATTGCCGTCTCGATCTCGTTTGCTAAGATCCTCTTGCAAGTAACAAGACCTAGAACTGCAGTTCTCGGAAATA TTCCAAGAACTTCGGTTTACAGAAATATTCAACAGTATCCTGAAGCCACTATGGTTCCAGGGGTTCTTACTATTCGT GTTGACTCCGCCATTTACTTCTCCAACTCAAAATTATGTTAGAGAAAGGATCCAGAGATGGCTACATGAGGAAGAAG AAAAGGTAAAAGCAGCAAGCCTACCTAGGATTCAGTTTCTCATCATCGAAATGTCACCTGTTACGGACATCGATACA AGTGGTATTCACGCATTAGAAGACTTATACAAGTCTCTCCAGAAAAGAGACATTCAGTTGATTCTGGCGAATCCTGG ATCTGCATCACCATCACCATCACTGATGCAGATATCCATCACACTGGGCATGC

>SelaSultr1;2t

ATCAAGCAATTCACCAAGAAAACCGATATCATCGCTGTTCTAGATTCCGTGTTCAGCTCAGCTCATCACGGCTGGAA GACTGTTCTGGATTCCAGCGATCGCGCCATTGATATCAGTTATCATTTCCACCTTCTTTGTTTATATAACCCGAGCC CGGCGATTACCTTGCAAAGGGTGTCCGCATCGGTGTAGTCGCTGGAATGGTCGCCTTAACAGAAGCTGTAGCGATCG GAAGAACGTTTGCTGCAATGAAAGACTATCAAATCGATGGTAACAAAGAGATGGTAGCACTAGGTGTTATGAACGTT GTTGGGTCGATGTCTTCTTGCTACGTAGCGACTGGATCGTTCTCGAGATCAGCTGTAAATTTCATGGCTGGATGTCA AACTGCTGTTTCAAACATCATAATGTCAATCGTCGTTCTCTTGACATTGGTCTTTCTCACTCCGCTATTTAAGTACA GCTTCTCATTGCCGTATCTATATCATTTGCTAAGATTCTCTTGCAAGTAACAAGACCTAGAACCGCGGTTCTCGGAA ATATTCCTAGAACTTCAGTTTACCGAAATATCCAACAGTATCCTGAAGCCACTATGGTTCCAGGGGGTTCTTATGATT CGTGTTGACTCCGCCATTTACTTCTCCCAACTCAAATTACGTCAGAGAAAGGATCCAAAGATGGTTACTAGAGGAAGA AGAGAAGGTGAAAGCAGCAAGCCTACCTAGTATCCAGTTTTTGATCATCGAAATGTCACCTGTTACGGACATCGATA CAAGTGGTATCCACGCCCTAGAAGACTTATACAAATCTCTCCAGAAGAGAGATATTCAGCTGATTCTTGCGAATCCT GGACCGTTGGTGATAGGCAAGCTACACTTGTCGCACTTTGCTGACATGTTAGGACACGACAATATCTTTCTGACGGT GGATCTGCATCACCATCACCATCACTGATGCAGATATCCATCACACTGGGCATGC

>SpinSultr1;2t

GAATTCATGCCCGCGAGAGCTCATCCTATGGACGGTGATGCAGCTTCAGCAACGGATGGTGGAGATGTTCCGATCAA ATCGTCGCCTCACCGACATAAAGTTGGGGTCCCACCGAAGCAAAACATGTTTCATGATTTCATGTACACATTCAAAG AAACTTTCTTCCACGATGATCCTCTCAGACATTTTAAGGATCAGCCTAAGTCCAAGCAGTTCATGCTCGGTCTCCAG TCTCTCTCCCGGTGTTCGACTGGGGGACGCAACTATAATCTCAAGAAGTTTCGTGGTGATCTCATTGCCGGTTTAAC TATAGCCAGCCTTTGTATCCCTCAGGATATTGGATACGCTAAGCTCGCGAATCTGGACCCTAAATACGGTTTATATT CGAGTTTTGTTCCTCCCTTGGTGTATGCTTGTATGGGGAGTTCTAGGGATATAGCAATCGGACCTGTCGCTGTGGTC CCCACGCGGCTGTGGTTGGATTCATGGGTGGTGCAGCCATCACAATCGCTCTTCAGCAGCTCAAAGGTTTCCTCGGG ATAAAGCAATTCACCAAGAAAACCGACATCATCGCTGTTCTAGAATCCGTGTTCAGCTCAGCTCATCACGGCTGGAA TTGGCAGACTATACTCATTGGTGCATCCTTCTTGACCTTCCTCACCTCTAAGATCATTGGGAAAAAGAACAAAA AACTGTTCTGGATTCCAGCGATCGCGCCATTGATATCAGTTATTATTTCCACATTCTTTGTTTATATAACCCGAGCC CGGCGATTACCTTGCAAAGGGTGTCCGCATCGGTGTAGTCGCTGGAATGGTCGCCTTAACAGAAGCTGTAGCGATCG GAAGAACGTTTGCTGCAATGAAAGACTATCAAATCGATGGTAACAAAGAGATGGTAGCACTAGGTGTTATGAACGTT GTTGGGTCGATGTCTTCTTGCTACGTAGCGACTGGATCGTTCTCGAGATCAGCTGTAAATTTCATGGCTGGATGTCA AACTGCGGTTTCAAACATCATAATGTCAATCGTCGTCTCTTGACATTGCTCTTCCTCACTCCGCTATTTAAGTACA GCTTCTCATTGCCGTATCTATATCATTTGCTAAGATTCTCTTGCAAGTAACAAGACCTAGAACCGCGGTTCTCGGAA ATATTCCTAGAACTTCAGTTTATCGAAATATCCAACAGTATCCTGAAGCTACTATGGTTCCAGGGGTTCTTATGATT CGTGTTGACTCCGCGATTTACTTCTCCCAACTCAAATTACGTCAGAGAAAGGATCCAAAGATGGTTACAAGAGGAAGA AGAGAAGGTGAAAGCAGCAAGCCTACATAGGATCCAGTTTTTAATCCTCGAAATGTCACCTGTTACGGACATCGATA ${\tt CAAGTGGTATCCACGCCCTAGAAGACTTATACAAATCTCTCCAGAAGAGAGATATTCAGCTGATTCTTGCGAATCCT}$ GGACCGTTGGTGATAGGCAAGCTACACTTGTCGCACTTTGCTGACATGTTAGGACAAGACAATATCTTTTTGACGGT GGATCTGCATCACCATCACCATCACTGATGCAGATATCCATCACACTGGGCATGC