

7A7
C612
CEP 69-70/27
copy 2

DIMENSIONLESS UNIT HYDROGRAPHS
from TROPICAL WATERSHEDS

ENGINEERING RESEARCH

FEB 6'74

FOOTHILLS READING ROOM

by

E. F. Schulz

Colorado State University
Hydrology Program
Department of Civil Engineering
Fort Collins, Colorado

paper presented to the
National Fall Meeting
American Geophysical Union
San Francisco, California
15 - 18 December 1969

CEP 69-70 EFS 27

INTRODUCTION

The problem of estimating flood peaks from small watersheds is involved in the design of storm sewers, highway drainage, diversion works, bridges and culverts. The majority of such hydraulic structures are constructed on small watersheds, since small streams have not been gaged in the past as extensively as in the case of large streams, more of the designs have to be prepared without the benefit of stream flow records. In the design of many hydraulic structures the engineer is concerned not only with the maximum discharge but also with the total volume of runoff and its distribution with respect to time, i. e., the entire runoff hydrograph.

Estimating peak rates of runoff and the design hydrograph is an important problem for engineers since there are many small structures and their combined total cost may be considerable. Many hydraulic structures are either over designed or fail due to underestimation of floods because little information is readily available about the flow of small streams.

In view of the lack of data, various techniques have been developed and used for the determination of design discharges of small watersheds. Empirical formulas have been used for the determination of the peak discharge and synthetic hydrographs for ungaged watersheds have been developed. Many of the existing methods of peak discharge determination fail to take into account all of the factors upon which the runoff depends. Many of the synthetic hydrographs have been developed for a specific location and it is thought that they cannot be used outside the region where they were developed.



Among the recent contributions to the field of Hydrology EDSON (1951) and NASH (1958) derived a conceptual theory of the unit hydrograph from which mathematical expressions for the unit hydrograph were obtained:

$$Q_t = V(yt)^z e^{-yt} / \Gamma(z+1) \quad (\text{EDSON})$$

$$Q_t = V k^{-n} e^{-t/k} t^{n-1} / \Gamma(n) \quad (\text{NASH})$$

where

Q_t = instantaneous discharge rate at time t ,

V = volume of surface runoff,

y = recession constant

Z = exponent depending on shape of time area concentration curve of the watershed

Γ = Gamma function

n = shape parameter

e = base of the natural logarithms

and k = a storage constant

A complete unit hydrograph can be computed from these equations provided the parameters can be evaluated. Dimensionless unit hydrographs presented as ratios of q/q_p and t/t_p , tend to eliminate influence of the basin characteristics.

GRAY (1961) beginning with the above equations developed a method whereby the unit graphs were synthesized from measurable topographic characteristics. The parameters of the equation were obtained by regression analysis.

REICH (1962) has developed a method of hydrograph synthesis for ungaged catchments. This assumes that flood hydrographs can be

adequately represented by the three parameter Pearson Type III function. Parameters of this function are correlated directly with storm and catchment features, the significant factors being determined in a step-wise multiple correlation study. The significant factors are the rain storms causing the flood, topographic characteristics, soil type, and vegetation on the watershed. Three simple empirical equations from regression analysis were obtained for determination of the three hydrograph parameters, peak rate of runoff, total runoff, time to mass center of area of the hydrograph from peak, which describe the complete hydrograph.

The mathematical expression for the hydrograph curve using the Pearson Type III function is

$$q_t = q_p e^{-t/G} (1 + t/m)^{m/G}$$

where

m = time to peak

q_t = discharge

q_p = peak discharge

G = time from q_p to c

t = time

The improvement in the fitting of the theoretical unit hydrograph to the actual hydrograph did not actually offset the additional complexity arising from having to evaluate another parameter.

WU, I. P. et al (1964) developed a method for computing design hydrographs in the State of Indiana using the same basic model of the instantaneous unit hydrograph. The shape of the hydrographs were determined by two hydrograph parameters (t_p , time to peak and K , recession coefficient). The hydrograph parameters were correlated with

three measurable watershed characteristics: watershed area A , length of the main stream L , and slope of the main stream S . The average infiltration rates were estimated from a soils map of the state. By knowing the size and shape of the dimensionless hydrograph, a flood hydrograph can be determined assuming a design rainfall over an ungaged watershed.

This basic procedure was used by C.M. WU (1965) in a study of unit hydrographs on Taiwan.

THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

NASH (1957) proposed a conceptual model of a unit hydrograph by considering that the drainage basin functions as a series of n linear reservoirs. By routing a unit inflow through the reservoirs, a mathematical expression for instantaneous unit graph can be derived. The instantaneous unit hydrograph is the hydrograph resulting from 1 inch (or 1 mm) of rainfall excess generated during an instant of time.

$$Q_t = \frac{VK^{-n}}{\Gamma(n)} e^{-t/K} t^{n-1}$$

or

$$Q_t = \frac{0.278}{K\Gamma(n)} AR \left(\frac{t}{K}\right)^{n-1} e^{-t/K} \quad (1)$$

or

$$q_t = \frac{1}{K\Gamma(n)} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n-1} e^{-t/K}$$

in which

Q_t = Discharge in cubic meters per second

q_t = Discharge per unit of catchment

$$q_t = \frac{Q_t}{AR}$$

t = Time in hours after the beginning of direct surface runoff

V = Volume of surface runoff in cubic meters

A = Area of watershed in square kilometers

R = Total runoff in millimeters which is equal to unity for unit hydrograph

K = Storage parameter of the equation having the dimension of time (hrs)

n = A dimensionless parameter of the equation

$\Gamma(n)$ = Gamma function

$\Gamma(n) = (n-1)! = (n-1)(n-2)(n-3)\dots\dots\dots$ (for the condition that n is an integer)

$$q = \frac{Q}{0.278A} = \text{cms} / \text{km}^2$$

Considering Eq. (1), at $t = t_p$, $Q = Q_p$ at the peak of the hydrograph and differentiating Q with respect to t and equating to zero, the time to peak in Eq. (1) can be expressed as

$$t_p = (n-1) K \quad (2)$$

Solving Eq. (2) for K and substituting into Eq. (1), then

$$Q_t = \frac{0.278 AR}{t_p} \frac{(n-1)^n}{\Gamma(n)} \left[(t/t_p) e^{-t/t_p} \right]^{(n-1)} \quad (3)$$

But Q_p is defined as the peak rate when $t = t_p$, then Eq. (3) becomes

$$Q_p = \frac{0.278 AR}{t_p} \left[\frac{(n-1)^n}{e^{n-1} \Gamma(n)} \right] \quad (4)$$

in which R is total runoff which is equal to unity for a unit hydrograph,

$$Q_p = 0.278 \frac{A}{t_p} f(n) \quad (5)$$

From a study of unit hydrographs from floods in Taiwan, WU, C.M. (1965) suggested a practical simplification using an empirical equation obtained by correlating observed maximum discharge to the ratio of area to the time to peak.

Since the dimensionless unit hydrograph is defined as a graph of q/q_p vs t/t_p this equation can be obtained from Eq. (3) and (4)

$$q/q_p = (t/t_p)^{n-1} \left[e^{-(n-1)} \right]^{(t/t_p - 1)} \quad (6)$$

Thus Eq. (6) is an equation for the dimensionless instantaneous unit hydrograph in terms of the parameter n . Fig. 1 is a graph of this equation.




Fig. 1 Dimensionless Instantaneous Hydrograph

INVESTIGATION IN THAILAND

Selection of Data

Five drainage areas in Thailand were selected for a study of the unit hydrographs derived from observed floods. The catchments ranged in size from 24 to 1,060 square kilometers. These watersheds were chosen for the following reasons:

1. Good stage hydrographs were available from water stage recorders.
2. A stable rating curve was available for the station.
3. A recording gauging station was located in or near the catchment so that hourly rainfall data for the casual rainfall was available. However as it turned out, the hourly rainfall data were most inadequate.

The Physical Characteristics of a Watershed

The watershed is defined as the area within the topographic divide from which surface water could reach the gauging station. There are a number of pertinent characteristics of the watershed. The following four are considered in this analysis.

1. Watershed area, A , km^2 ,
2. Length of the longest watercourse, L , km ,
3. Length of the stream channel up to the center of the watershed,
 L_c , km ,
4. Overall slope of the longest watercourse, S , m/m .

Watershed area (A) is defined as the area, within the water divide, draining to the gauging station or the structure under design. It is measured from the topographic maps and expressed in square kilometers.

Length of the longest watercourse (L), is defined as the length in kilometers measured on a topographic map, along the main stream of the

watershed, from the gauging station upstream to a point on the watershed boundary determined by extending the longest watercourse to the divide. It is therefore the longest path travelled by a unit volume of surface runoff in reaching the gauging station.

Logarithmic plotting of the length of the longest watercourse against catchment area shows a linear relationship as shown on Fig. 2. The regression equation for both the Thai and the Taiwan data are presented on Fig. 2.

Length of the main stream channel up to the center of the watershed in kilometers (L_c) is defined as the length of the main channel through which a unit volume of surface runoff would have to travel from the center of area to reach the gauging station.

Overall slope of the longest watercourse (S) is defined as the ratio of the fall in elevation of the longest watercourse between the divide and the gauging station to the length of the longest watercourse.

These watershed characteristics are schematically shown on Fig. 3.




Fig. 2 Length of Longest Watercourse vs Catchment Area




Fig. 3 Watershed Characteristics

Table 1 - Watershed Characteristics

Water-shed No.	River	Location		Area (sq. km)	L (km)	L _c (km)	S m/m
		at or near	Lat. - Long				
1	Nam Maekhan	Sanpathong	18°42' N 98°48'48"E	1060	78.7	33.2	0.0112
2	Lam Taklong	Kao Yai	14°31'40"N 101°24'09"E	235	44.85	8.75	0.0159
3	Lam Muak Lek	Highway-Bridge	14°38'04"N	177	26.45	9.65	0.031
4	Klong Saothong	Khun Tha Le	8°28'18"N	163	25.45	11.3	0.0479
5	Huai Mae Nai	Ban Pa Muang	18°54'23"N	24	10.65	6.75	0.1014

Derivation of the Dimensionless Hydrograph

In deriving a unit hydrograph from a recorded flood, the first step is to separate the groundwater flow from the surface runoff. The surface runoff is equated with the rainfall excess. The procedure for base flow separation is arbitrary. It is advisable to employ a consistent procedure in separating the base flow. In the present study the base flow separation was made by plotting the recession of the hydrograph on semi-log paper. The point where the hydrograph departs from a straight line was taken as the end of surface runoff. A straight line connecting the point where the rising limb began to depart from a base flow to the time on the recession indicated on semi-log graph was taken as the base flow hydrograph.

The concept of the distribution graph developed by BERNARD (1935) was used in the present analysis*. The time base of the surface runoff was divided into convenient intervals. The selected interval is a convenient multiple of one hour and the interval is about 20 to 25% of the lag time (time from beginning of surface runoff to the point where 50% of runoff occurs). Since the lag time is not known initially, the time interval may be selected as 25 to 35% of the time between beginning of surface runoff and the peak of the recorded hydrograph (the first peak if the hydrograph has multiple peaks). The effective rainfall (which is equal to the depth of surface runoff from the catchment) is distributed tentatively and is then multiplied successively by each of the assumed distribution percentages. The periods and amount (depth) of effective rainfall in multiple period storms and the distribution percentages are adjusted by trial and error until the computed surface runoff converges to the observed surface runoff hydrograph with an error of about $\pm 5\%$ the following listed conditions were verified:

1. The distribution percentages totalled to 100%,
2. The sum of the effective rainfall equalled the volume of the observed surface runoff,
3. The sum of the computed runoff hydrograph equalled the volume of the effective rainfall.

If inequalities existed in any of these, the error in computations was found and corrected. Small errors are the result of rounding off computations. The rounding errors are eliminated by distributing the rounding approximations among the larger values where the percent of error due to the rounding off will be minimum.

* The distribution graph is a unit graph presented in histogram form, with the ordinate for each period representing the percentage of total surface runoff that occurs during that period.

The dimensionless hydrographs for the five tropical watersheds are shown on Fig. 4. An average line was drawn through the five sets of data. The correlation coefficient of the average line was found to be 0.828.

Evaluation of Instantaneous Unit Hydrograph Parameter K and n

The instantaneous unit hydrograph can also be expressed by the equation

$$q = \frac{0.278 \text{ AR}}{K \Gamma(n)} (t/K)^{n-1} e^{-t/K} \quad (7)$$

In this form the instantaneous hydrograph is defined with two parameters, K and n, which determine the shape of the hydrograph.

Examination of recession curves of the derived unit hydrographs from the floods in Thailand revealed three components. Therefore a variation in storage coefficient must exist, i. e., K_1 , K_2 , K_3 and K_4 . LAUREN-SON (1961) studied the recession curves of streams in New South Wales, it was found that when the recession curve was plotted on semi-logarithmic paper, two or three straight line segments could be identified. HO (1967) found that most recession curves in western United States could be approximated by three linear segments when plotted on semi-logarithmic paper. Each segment may be specified by a constant K_1 , K_2 and K_3 . The first storage, representing surface and subsurface flow, is specified by K_1 and K_2 . The second storage, representing main channel translation effects, is specified by K_3 . Insufficient data was analyzed in Thailand to be able to reach any conclusions regarding the number of elements present in the recession limb. However these other studies suggest the possibility of several elements. These four elements could be rationalized:




Fig. 4 Dimensionless Unit Hydrographs

K_1 - storage coefficient for the period from the peak to end of surface runoff

K_2 - the storage coefficient for the draining of bank storage

K_3 - the storage coefficient for the discharge of immediate subsurface flow

K_4 - the storage coefficient for the discharge from the ground water flow.

Likewise the recession curve analysis of unit hydrographs derived in Taiwan; WU, C. M. (1965) found that one or more straight lines can be fitted for the recession curve. The first part of the recession curve, which is mainly derived from the main stream channel and valley storage has been used in his analysis to determine the storage coefficient, K_1 . For comparison of results in the present study, the storage coefficient K_1 has been determined in the similar manner.

Considering the expression for the recession curve

$$q_1 = q_0 e^{-\Delta t / K} \quad (8)$$

in which q_0 and q_1 are any two values of the discharge at time t_0 and t_1 . Time Δt is the increment of time from t_0 to t_1 and K is the storage coefficient.

From the above equation, the storage coefficient can be expressed as,

$$K = \frac{\Delta t}{2.3 \log q_0 / q_1} \quad (9)$$

According to Eq. (9) the dimensionless recession constant (K_1/t_p) of the dimensionless hydrograph obtained from Eq. (6) can be expressed as

$$K_1/t_p = \frac{\Delta t/t_p}{2.3 \log \frac{q_0/q_p}{q_1/q_p}} \quad (10)$$

WU, C. M. plotted the value of the dimensionless recession constant, K_1/t_p , as a function of the parameter n as shown on Fig. 5. Such a diagram can be used for estimating value of the parameter n , when the quantity K_1/t_p is known.

An alternative method for estimating the value of n could be the comparison of the observed dimensionless hydrograph with the theoretical dimensionless hydrograph as shown in Fig. 1 by considering the areas under the hydrographs to be equivalent. Table 2 shows the hydrograph parameters: time to peak (t_p), lag time (t_{lag}) and storage coefficient K_1 and the corresponding gamma function argument, n , of the unit hydrographs derived from the observed flood hydrographs.

Table 2 - Hydrograph Parameters

River	Location	t_p (hr)	t_{lag} (hr)	K_1 (hr)	n	q_p cms/mm
Nam Maekhan	Sanpatong	10	13.0	9.66	3.0	17.33
Lam Taklong	Kao Yai	5	7.7	5.6	3.0	7.06
Lam Muak Lek	Highway - Bridge	6	11.0	5.24	3.0	4.40
Klong Saothong	Khun Ta Le	10	15.0	2.67	3.5	1.97
Hau Mae Nai	Ban Pa Muang	4	5.25	3.1	3.7	1.10




Fig. 5 Dimensionless Peak Discharge vs n ; Dimensionless Recession Constant vs n .

Prediction of the Time to Peak and of the Storage Coefficient from Physical Watershed Characteristics

SNYDER (1938), using data from the Appalachian Mountain area, found that the basin lag time (which he defined as the time from center of mass of rainfall excess to peak of the unit hydrograph) were related to watershed length parameters, L and L_c . The U. S. Bureau of Reclamation (1960) uses a relationship including channel slope in addition to the length parameter in estimating the time to peak.

The form of the watershed parameter, LL_c/\sqrt{S} had been suggested earlier by Snyder. A linear relationship was obtained from a logarithmic plotting of time to peak, t_p , against the watershed characteristics, LL_c/\sqrt{S} . This provides a means for estimating the time to peak for a watershed where streamflow records are not available.

WU, C. M. (1965) investigated the application of current unit hydrograph concept to the behaviour of floods from watershed on Taiwan. He correlated the hydrograph parameters - time-to-peak (t_p), lag time (t_{lag}) and storage coefficient (K_1) with the watershed characteristics - L , L_c and S . WU used data from watersheds ranging from 26.5 to 3,000 square kilometers in size.

The Thai and Taiwan data are compared on Fig 6 and 7. These graphs are a logarithmic plotting of t_p and K_1 against the watershed parameter, LL_c/\sqrt{S} . A regression line was fitted through these points. The regression equation is

$$t_p = 1.9 (LL_c/\sqrt{S})^{0.162} \quad (11)$$

with a coefficient of correlation of 0.67
and

$$K_1 = 1.21 (LL_c/\sqrt{S})^{0.212} \quad (12)$$

with a coefficient of correlation of 0.76.

In addition the lag time (t_{lag}) has been found in a similar manner. The regression equation is

$$t_{lag} = 3.06 (LL_c/\sqrt{S})^{0.152} \quad (13)$$

with a coefficient of correlation of 0.61. The relationship is shown in Fig. 8. It should be noted here that the lag time in this study is defined as the length of time between the beginning of rainfall excess and the time that 50% of the runoff has occurred. This is not the same as the definition of Snyder.

A comparison of the similar relationship for the flood hydrographs studied in Taiwan are shown in Fig. 6, 7 and 8 as a dashed line.




Fig. 6 Time to Peak vs $LL_c/S^{1/2}$




Fig. 7 K_1 vs $LL_c / S^{1/2}$




Fig. 8 Time Lag vs $LL_c / S^{1/2}$

Plotting of the time to peak against lag time on Fig. 9 shows a linear relationship. This type of graph may be used to convert time to peak to lag time and vice versa.




Fig. 9 Time to Peak vs Lag Time

Prediction of the Peak Discharge

The peak discharge of a unit hydrograph can be determined from Eq. (5) and Fig. 5 for a known value of the parameter, n .

Logarithmic plotting of the peak discharge, q_p against the ratio of catchment area, A , to the time to peak, t_p , was found to be linear relationship. WU, C. M. (1965) has shown a similar relationship for the Taiwan data. The agreement between the Thai data and the Taiwan data is good. The empirical equation for the relationship is:

$$q_p = 0.161 (A/t_p)^{0.98} \quad (14)$$

with a coefficient of correlation of 0.99. The relationship is shown in Fig. 10.

A similar logarithmic relationship between peak discharge, q_p , and catchment area is shown on Fig. 11. The agreement between the Thai data and the Taiwan data is not as good when shown in this form.




Fig. 10 Peak Discharge vs A/t_p




Fig. 11 Peak Discharge vs Catchment Area

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Comparison of the Dimensionless Hydrograph with the Soil Conservation Service and Williams Dimensionless Hydrographs

The derived dimensionless hydrograph of the selected watersheds as shown on Fig. 4 reveal a prolonged and extended recession limb. This is probably the result of one of two causes: (a) either the catchment area has relatively large surface storage characteristics or (b) an appreciable contribution of flow has occurred as interflow.

The combination of the derived dimensionless hydrographs into an average hydrograph as shown on Fig. 4 is compared with the one developed by the Soil Conservation Service and by Williams. Good agreement is observed at the crest segment because by the method of computation they were made to fit at the peak. The rising limb shows a reasonably good agreement. For the recession limb the average dimensionless hydrograph shows a small deviation from the one developed by Williams and a larger deviation from the Soil Conservation Service curve. The unit hydrographs from tropical watersheds delay longer in coming to the peak discharge and the runoff is prolonged more after the peak.

Comparison of Derived Dimensionless Hydrograph with Two Parameter Gamma Distribution

Fig. 12-16 shows a comparison of the unit hydrograph derived from the flood with the two parameter gamma distribution expressed in dimensionless form for comparison. In all instances the unit hydrograph derived from the observed flood cannot be represented by the theoretical hydrograph based on the two parameter gamma distribution. A hydrograph derived from the two parameter gamma distribution will have too much of the runoff

concentrated in the vicinity of the peak and the recession will be too abbreviated.

The conclusion is that, for practical purposes, the average of the dimensionless unit hydrographs shown on Fig. 4 better represents the flood characteristics in a tropical region such as Thailand.




Fig. 12 Dimensionless Hydrograph for Nam Machhan Watershed




Fig. 13 Dimensionless Hydrograph for Lam Tailong Watershed




Fig. 14 Dittman ionless Hydrograph for Low Much Low Water etc.




Fig. 15 Dimensionless Hydrograph for Klong Saonthien Watershed




Fig. 16 Dimensionless Hydrograph for Huai Mac Nai Watershed

Prediction of Time to Peak, t_p

From the dimensionless unit hydrograph it is evident that whatever the peak discharge might be, in converting to a runoff hydrograph, the shape of the hydrograph is not altered. The hydrograph shape is affected by the time to peak. Therefore, the time to peak is a significant time parameter in relating watershed influences to the hydrograph shape. Three measurable watershed characteristics, L , L_c and S used in this study can be correlated with the time to peak. The empirical relationship has been derived as Eq. (11). It can be seen from Fig. 6 that the regression line shows a reasonable agreement with most of the watersheds except watershed no. 4 located in southern part of Thailand. Since this watershed is located on the eastern coast, it usually experiences the heavy and prolonged rainfall during both monsoon seasons (there is no dry season). A characteristic of a tropical watershed seems to be a poorly developed drainage pattern and a relatively large base flow storage capacity in the mountains. Research in forested watersheds in the United States invariably leads to the conclusion that a forest covered watershed **increases the amount** of water infiltrating into the soils. There is no reason to believe that the jungle covered tropical watersheds would not behave in the same way.

In the flatter valley lands rice culture is often practiced. Here the natural drainage which develops is invariably erased by the construction of the rice paddy resulting in a large amount of surface storage and a destruction of the minor channel system which had served to accelerate the overland flow toward the streams to become surface runoff. Thus it is logical that where rice culture is practiced, the hydrograph should be delayed in comparison to a virgin watershed.

This watershed (Klong Saothong) had a time to peak of 10 hours when the regression equation derived from the other watersheds would have indicated a time to peak of about 6.1 hours. The more tropical the watershed is the more delayed the surface runoff becomes. This tends to support the previous contention that the floods from a tropical watershed will have a more delayed time to peak and a prolonged recession limb. The surface runoff for a watershed represents the integrated effect of all the basin characteristics and their modifying influence on the translation and storage of the surface detention. Therefore, several factors may be involved in the deviation of time to peak from the regression line.

It is evident from Fig. 9 that Thai watersheds have greater lag time in relation to time to peak than the Taiwan watersheds. This is probably due to Thai watersheds having more delayed surface runoff or the interflow in the recession limb is a more important part in a tropical watershed. This is evident from the extremely long recessions observed in all of the Thai unit hydrographs.

Prediction of Storage Coefficient, K_1

The storage coefficient is used in determination of parameter, n which is a type of shape factor of the hydrograph. It was decided to use the first part of the recession limb to determine the storage coefficient, K_1 . In this part of the hydrograph, the flow is mainly derived from channel storage. The data are shown on Fig. 7 together with a regression line through the points. Comparing watershed no. 3 and 4, having more or less the same watershed parameter, LL_c/\sqrt{S} , it is seen that watershed no. 4 possesses higher storage characteristics than that of watershed

no. 3. Since the storage coefficient also depends on the integrated effect of all the basin characteristics, more information is required on the hydraulic characteristics of the main channel and on the nature of the forest cover and rainfall characteristics before this aspect can be pursued further.

Prediction of Peak Discharge, q_p

The equation for prediction of the peak discharge has been derived by correlation analysis. The regression equation is as shown in Eq. (14). It is evident from Fig. 10 that the values define the regression line quite well. This indicates a reasonable agreement between observed and predicted value. Furthermore, the data on this graph agree well with the data observed on Taiwan.

It is evident from Figure 11 that Thai watersheds have a lower peak discharge in relation to area than Taiwan because of greater attenuation of the surface runoff.

Regarding the relationship between length of longest watercourse and catchment area as shown in Fig. 2 it was found that Thai watersheds have longer main channel than Taiwan watersheds for a given area up to 400 square kilometers.

It is seen from Fig. 2 that a simple power function fits the observed data quite well. The tropical watersheds with extensive vegetative cover resist the natural channel forming forces, but after the watershed attains a certain size, the flatter valley lands behave more as an impounding feature in the landscape.

CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions were derived from this study.

1. For each basin a representative distribution graph was derived and converted to a dimensionless form (see Fig. 12 to 16).
2. The combined dimensionless hydrograph can be used to describe a unit hydrograph for the watersheds (see Fig. 4).
3. The two parameter gamma distribution is not recommended as a mathematical model for a unit hydrograph for a tropical watershed (see Fig. 12 to 16).
4. The peak discharge from the two parameter gamma distribution tends to over estimate the observed peak discharge, provided the recession limb is made to fitted the observed values. If the peak is made to fit the model, then the recession limb is much too short.
5. The multiple correlation between the unit graph parameters, t_p , q_p and the watershed characteristics resulted in these equations:
$$t_p = 1.9(LL_c/\sqrt{S})^{0.162} \quad (\text{see Fig. 6})$$
and
$$q_p = 0.161 (A/t_p)^{0.98} \quad (\text{see Fig. 10})$$
6. Since the watersheds used in this hydrograph study range in area from 24 to 1060 square kilometers, the use of the developed procedure is generally recommended for watersheds between 24 to 1000 square kilometers in size.
7. Tropical watersheds have more delayed surface runoff hence the greater difference between t_p and t_{lag} and q_p and A (see Fig. 9 and 11)
8. Tropical watersheds have longer channels. (see Fig. 2)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to gratefully acknowledge the support of the Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand, which is an international graduate school of engineering, for the support during this investigation. The author was a member of the faculty during the period 1965 to 1969. The results reported here are mainly the results of the thesis investigation of Mr. Chumporn Komsartra who received his Masters degree in 1969.

REFERENCES

- Benson, M. A. (1959), Channel Slope Factor in Flood Frequency Analysis, Journal of Hyd. Div. ASCE, V. 85, no. HY4, pp. 1-9.
- Clark, C. O. (1943), Storage and the Unit Hydrograph, Proc. ASCE, v. 69, pp. 1419-1447.
- Chow, V. T. (1962), Hydraulic Determination of Waterway Area for the Design of Drainage Structures in Small Drainage Basins, Exp. Sta. Bull, 462, University of Illinois.
- Edson, C. G. (1951), Parameter for Relating Unit Hydrographs to Watershed Characteristics, Trans. AGU, v. 32, pp. 591-596.
- Gray, D. M. (1961), Synthetic Unit Hydrographs for Small Watersheds, Journal of Hyd. Div. ASCE, v. 87, no. HY4, pp. 33-54.
- Hickok, R. B., Keppel, R. V., and Rafferty, B. R. (1959), Hydrograph Synthesis for Small Arid Land Watersheds, Agr. Engr., v. 40, October 1959, pp. 608-611.
- Ho, Y. B. (1967), Evaluation of Runoff Characteristics from Hydrograph Recessions, Colorado State University, CET66--67YH39, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Komsartra, Chumporn (1969), Flood Hydrographs from Small Watersheds, Masters Thesis, Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Laurenson, E. M. (1961), A Study of Hydrograph Recession Curves of an Experimental Catchment, J. I. E. Australia, V. 33, pp. 253-258.
- Linsley, R. K. (1943), Application of Synthetic Unit-graphs in the Western Mountains States, Trans. AGU, V. 24, Part 2, pp. 580-587.
- Minshall, N. E. (1960), Predicting Storm Runoff on Small Experimental Watersheds, Hyd. Div. ASCE, v. 86, no. HY8, pp. 17-38.

- Nash, J. E. (1958), Determination of Runoff from Rainfall, Proc. Inst. Civil Eng., v. 10, pp. 163-184.
- Potter, W. D. (1961), Peak Rates of Runoff from Small Watersheds
Bureau of Public Roads, Hydraulic Design Series no. 2, U. S. Gov. Printing Office.
- Reich, B. M. (1962), Design Hydrographs from Rainfall for Very Small Watershed, Colorado University Publications, CER 62 SBMR.41, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Sherman, L. K. (1932), Streamflow from Rainfall by Unit-Graph Method, Engineering News Record, v. 108, pp. 501-505.
- Snyder, F. F. (1938), Synthetic Unit Graphs, Trans. AGU, v. 19, pp. 447-454.
- Taylor, A. R. and Schwarz, H. E. (1952), Unit Hydrograph Lag and Peak Flow Related to Basin Characteristics, Trans. AGU, v. 33, pp. 235 - 246.
- U. S. B. R. (1960), Design of Small Dams, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, pp. 18-61.
- Wu, C. M. (1965), Design Unitgraph for Floods in Taiwan, Water Resources Planning Commission, Ministry of Economic Affairs, Taipei, Taiwan pp. 33.
- Wu, I. P., Delleur, J. W. and Diskin, M.H. (1964), Determination of Peak Discharges and Design Hydrographs for Small Watersheds in Indiana, School of Civil Engineering, Purdue University, Indiana.