# Climate Data Continuity with ASOS 1993 Annual Report 

for the period September 1992-August 1993

Thomas B. McKee
Nolan J. Doesken
John Klcist


Climatology Report \#94-1

# DEPARTMENT OF ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY FORT COLLINS, COLORADO 

# Climate Data Continuity with ASOS - 1993 Annual Report 

for the period September 1992-August 1993

Thomas B. McKee<br>Nolan J. Doesken<br>John Kleist

The research was support by NOAA, NWS, Office of Meteorology under grant number NA90RAH00077.

Colorado Climate Center
Department of Atmospheric Science
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, CO 80523

February 1994

Climatology Report \#94-1


## Table of Contents

Page

1. Introduction ..... 1
2. Data ..... 3
3. Temperature ..... 11
4. Dewpoint Temperature and Relative Humidity ..... 17
5. Precipitation ..... 19
6. Conclusions ..... 25
7. References ..... 28
Appendix 1. Example data used in the CDCP during 1993 ..... 61
Appendix 2. Examples of summarized data used in ASOS comparison ..... 62
Appendix 3. Inventory, by month, of available six-hourly CONV and corresponding ASOS data for 13 ASOS CDCP sites ..... 65
Appendix 4. Monthly ASOS - CONV comparability statistics for thirteen CDCP sites, September 1992 - August 1993, for daily maximum and minimum temperatures and 6-hourly observations of temperature, dew point, dewpoint depression and relative humidity ..... 67
Appendix 5. Histogram frequency distributions, by month, of ASOS-CONV dewpoint depression differences ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) and relative humidity differences (\%) for June, July and August 1993 for the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites in the Central U.S. ..... 77
Appendix 6. Preprints, 10th AMS IIPS Conference for Meteorology, Oceanography and Hydrology, 23-28 January 1994, Nashville, TN ..... 91

# Climate Data Continuity with ASOS - 1993 Annual Report for the period September 1992 - August 1993 

## 1. Introduction

The Automated Surface Observing System (ASOS) of the National Weather Service (NWS) has been under development for nearly two decades. Deployment began in 1991 at selected NWS offices in the Central United States. At the same time, the Climate Data Continuity Project (CDCP) was initiated to help provide the NWS and other users of ASOS data with objective information about how ASOS data compare to the conventional surface weather observations that ASOS is replacing.

During 1992, data from 16 Central U.S. sites were compared during the precommissioning phase of ASOS deployment. During this early phase, ASOS operated in semi-operational mode while complete conventional observations were continued. Several reports have been published describing the results of precommissioning comparisons (McKee et al, 1992, McKee et al, 1993). This period also provided an opportunity for evaluation of other operational aspects of ASOS. Several technological, operational and software changes, some of which are described in NWS ASOS Progress Reports (ASOS Program Office, 1993), came about as a result of NWS and CDCP precommissioning evaluations.

The commissioning of ASOS began in September 1992 with 13 of the initial 16 sites commissioned (operating as the official source of weather data) by 1 December 1992. This marked the true beginning of the ASOS era in this country and also the initiation of the more significant portions of the CDCP. Conventional observations of temperature, dew
point, precipitation, snowfall, cloudcover and weather and obstructions to vision were continued at 6-hourly intervals in order to investigate relationships between the new and the old ways of gathering data. This report describes the data and results of the first full year of commissioned ASOS data collection. Temperature, dew point and relative humidity differences, accumulated precipitation, precipitation frequency and intensity comparisons are the focus of this summary.

## 2. Data

The ASOS stations used for the CDCP and dates of commissioning are shown in Table 1. Figure 1 shows the locations of these sites. Three of the original 16 stations were not commissioned during 1993 due to a variety of NWS operational constraints: Denver, Colorado (DEN), Kansas City, Missouri (MCI) and Springfield, Missouri (SGF). Later in 1993, DEN and MCI were omitted from the CDCP. SGF will be included again after commissioning occurs.

With commissioning came several changes in how data were transmitted and available. ASOS hourly observations (SAOs), high resolution (one-minute data) and ASOS Summary of the Day (SOD) data were collected by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) through communications arrangements with the National Weather Service. The SAO and SOD data were then provided digitally to the Colorado Climate Center with a few months time lag. Some problems with data acquisition, formatting, interpretation and transfer marked the early phases of the ASOS era and resulted in delays with climatological data analysis, publication and dissemination at NCDC. This, in turn, delayed CDCP analysis. By spring of 1993, ASOS data transfer through NCDC to the Colorado Climate Center had become relatively routine.

Upon commissioning of ASOS, local weather observers were instructed to use conventional weather instruments and techniques to continue surface observations in a
manner consistent with how surface observations have been taken in the past (Federal Meteorological Handbook No. 1 - Surface Observations). Specific written documentation and instructions were provided to observers by the NWS Office of Meteorology, for observing and recording conventional observations at six-hour intervals to support the CDCP. These conventional observations (CONV) were recorded manually at each commissioned ASOS site onto standard meteorological form MF1-10B. These forms were mailed directly to the Colorado Climate Center (CCC) in 10-day increments with copies sent to Dr. Michael Uhart, CDCP project monitor for the NWS in the Office of Meteorology in Washington. The CCC also copied all MF1-10B forms and sent originals to NCDC for permanent archive.

A digital format for CONV observations was developed at the CCC during the fall of 1992. CONV observations were keyed within 10-15 days after arrival. At the end of each month, complete digital files of CONV data were transmitted to NCDC to assist them in preparation of Local Climatological Data reports. A variety of predominantly minor problems were identified by CCC staff reviewing the manual CONV observations. A few phone calls were made to NWS offices. Later, letters were sent to all participating CDCP sites outlining typical errors such as illegible writing, dew points higher than temperatures, minimum temperatures warmer than maximum temperatures, opaque sky cover greater than total, and erroneous addition of 6-hour precipitation and snowfall totals. A reminder not to record ASOS data on CONV forms was also communicated when periods of identical data were detected at some sites. As 1993 progressed, problems with CONV reports appeared to be minimal, although some errors in CONV observations continue to be found.

ASOS SOD observations, hourly SAOs and CONV 6-hourly and daily observations were assembled in a customized database on a UNIX-based workstation at Colorado State University. Maximum and minimum temperatures for specified time periods, precipitation for 6-hour intervals, ASOS hourly precipitation from SAO PCPN remarks, and temperature and dew point at six-hour intervals ( $0000,0600,1200$ and 1800 UTC) were assembled for convenient analysis. These data formed the basis for all subsequent CDCP comparisons. Complete observations including all elements and remarks were retained to support special investigations and analyses. Appendix 1 contains examples of each type of data from Topeka, Kansas (TOP).

Along with digital data, the preliminary Local Climatological Data (LCD) summary (Form F-6) produced at NWS offices at the end of each month, was obtained from 9 sites. The final LCDs published by NCDC were collected and used for comparison. Appendix 2 contains examples of these two information products.

A compilation, by month, of digital data for each CDCP comparison station is contained in Appendix 3. Figure 2 shows the available data for ASOS-CONV comparisons using daily maximum and minimum temperatures. Commissioning occurred at a steady pace during the fall of 1992. As of 1 December 1992, all 13 stations were commissioned, and the number of stations did not change thereafter. However, available data continued to vary slightly due to spotty system failures at some sites. Out of a total of 4,279 potential comparison days, daily maximum and minimum temperature data for both ASOS and CONV were complete for 4,045 and 4,049 days, respectively. A seven-week ASOS outage at DDC and a five-week CONV outage at ICT accounted for about $40 \%$ of the missing data.

Data quality from each source of data was investigated to confirm that the observed data, both ASOS and CONV, were being accurately communicated and stored. ASOS SAO, SOD and LCD temperatures were manually assessed and found, with very few exceptions, to be consistent. CONV data were checked, and keying errors (very few in number) were corrected. Some inconsistencies on the original hand-written CONV observations could not be interpreted. If discrepancies could be interpreted (i.e., minimum temperature warmer than observation temperature), corrections were made to the written records. Otherwise, data were used exactly as they were recorded on the MF1-10B forms. It is possible that local NWS weather observers could have modified ASOS temperatures if they were judged to not be representative, but this does not appear to have been a common practice.

The most convenient data source for comparing daily maximum and minimum temperatures was the SOD files. These contained high and low temperatures for $\mathbf{2 4}$-hour periods ending at midnight LST. These could be compared directly to the midnightmidnight CONV temperature data. These are the temperatures most often used in climatic summaries and analyses and were therefore selected for the CDCP. Two of the thirteen commissioned ASOS stations were only staffed part time: Pueblo (PUB) and Alamosa (ALS), Colorado. These sites did not report CONV midnight-midnight maximum and minimum temperatures. For these sites, 12 -hour ASOS maximum temperatures ending at 0000 UTC and 24-hour minimum temperatures ending at 1800 UTC were compared to the equivalent CONV periods. Since ALS and PUB were processed differently than the other stations, results were not always shown for each individual type of analysis (see Appendix 4).

The available data for comparing ASOS and CONV dewpoint temperatures and relative humidity data consisted of the 6-hourly CONV observations of current temperature
and dew point. ASOS SAO's corresponding to these observation times were then extracted. Where records were complete, 120 comparison points were available per station during months with 30 days. PUB and ALS had much smaller sample sizes due to their part-time status. Smaller sample sizes (except in February) indicate that either ASOS or CONV observations were missing during the month.

Preparation of a suitable data set for comparing ASOS and CONV precipitation observations proved to be the most challenging part of this project. It was initially planned to use midnight-midnight Summary of the Day precipitation data from ASOS compared to the same period of CONV observations. However, inspection of these SOD files, and comparison with other data sets, revealed that modifications were being made to the original ASOS observations at many of the CDCP comparison stations. As a result, SOD files were judged to be inappropriate for CDCP analyses.

These difficulties emerged during November 1992 when deficiencies in ASOS winter precipitation measurements began to prompt manual efforts to transmit corrections to ASOS. Many detectable corrections were found in 6 and 24-hour precipitation totals contained in the ASOS SAOs. Some corrections were also found in hourly PCPN remarks. There were some instances where no corrections were made to SAO data, but SOD data differed. Later, inconsistencies were also found between locally generated Preliminary Local Climatological Data summaries and NCDC-published Local Climatological Data reports. These inconsistencies, which could not always be positively confirmed, appeared to be made either when ASOS reported missing values during measurable precipitation events or when the local observer judged ASOS values to be unrepresentative of conditions at the site. In these instances, CONV data were usually inserted into ASOS observations during the
augmentation process prior to transmitting observations. In some cases, the source of the final data contained in ASOS could not be identified. Observers sometimes noted their changes on the CONV MF1-10B forms, as instructed by the NWS Office of Meteorology. Many changes, however, appear not to have been documented. A great deal of time and effort during 1993 was dedicated to processing and interpreting precipitation data in an effort to obtain a valid set of ASOS observations for comparison with CONV. However, despite our best efforts, it has been impossible to form truly independent ASOS and CONV data sets. It was finally decided to use 24 -hour precipitation totals for periods ending at 1200 UTC as transmitted in the ASOS SAO additive data. For periods when this value was missing or appeared to have been replaced by CONV measurements, the sum of hourly precipitation reports (PCPN remarks) or the sum of unmodified 6-hourly precipitation totals were used. These, too, could have been modified, and may not be true ASOS precipitation. We have proceeded with analyses, recognizing this limitation, after making our best judgements based on available data.

The difficulties in obtaining suitable data for making precipitation comparisons spawned an effort to obtain one-minute data. The one-minute data cannot be modified by local observers and, therefore, should represent the true ASOS precipitation estimate. These data were not originally considered a part of the CDCP analysis but were eventually obtained from NCDC for a portion of the year and were only used to verify the source of ASOS data on days where modifications may have been made. Future comparison periods beginning 1 September 1993 will likely use the one-minute data as the primary source for ASOS precipitation.

In earlier progress reports, ASOS - CONV comparisons were not made for any individual days or periods of consecutive days when ASOS data were reported as missing or when data from ASOS was judged to be suspect. The reason for this was to try to isolate how well the ASOS precipitation gage compared to the Universal weighing bucket recording gage (the CONV instrument for precipitation measurements) for periods when both gages were functioning properly. However, for the purposes of evaluating climate data continuity from ASOS after commissioning, the issue of missing data can no longer be avoided. Therefore, in this final report, comparisons of total accumulated precipitation include missing ASOS data and treat it as if it were recorded as zero. This is consistent with the impact of missing data in any other operational data collection system. To minimize the penalty that this could place on ASOS, analyses for this final report included all available hourly precipitation reports and, for a portion of the year, also utilized one-minute data. The one-minute data were not provided to the Colorado Climate Center until late in 1993 and so were not utilized in earlier progress reports. Precise statistics on missing ASOS data have not yet been compiled.

Data for the 3 original CDCP sites that were not commissioned, DEN, MCI and SGF continued to be collected during 1993. These data consisted of ASOS SAOs and also the conventional SAOs. A continuation of the basic precommissioning temperature and precipitation analyses were performed for these sites, but are not presented in this report. DEN and MCI will be dropped from all further analyses. SGF will be included again when it is eventually commissioned.

A new site outside of the original Central U.S. test area was added to the CDCP. Astoria, Oregon (AST) was commissioned 1 February 1993. ASOS and CONV data were
collected for this site, and basic temperature and precipitation intercomparisons were performed. This is the first of what will eventually expand to 18 stations nationwide that will be used to investigate possible regional ASOS-CONV differences related to climate differences. These additional sites known as "CDCP expansion sites" are shown in Figure 3.

The variables of temperature, humidity and precipitation are the subjects of each of the sections which follow.

## 3. Temperature

ASOS and CONV temperature observations were compared using procedures and statistical computations established by the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM1, 1985). Complete comparison statistics, by month, for the first year of official (commissioned) ASOS data collection are provided in Appendix 4. These statistics include the monthly number of valid ASOS - CONV comparison data pairs (N). Average monthly ASOS - CONV temperature differences in degrees Fahrenheit are followed by a computed standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis and operational comparability. Each statistic is useful in understanding the climate data continuity impacts of the transition to ASOS. Systematic differences, however, continue to be the most informative statistic at this early point in the ASOS transition.

Commissioned data comparison results continue to be consistent with what was first observed during the September 1991 through August 1992 precommissioning period. ASOS temperatures have continued to be cooler than the CONV temperatures they replace at nearly all sites. Figures 4 and 5 show the systematic ASOS - CONV maximum and minimum temperature differences by month for the past year at each individual comparison station along with the combined average for the entire set.

Temperature differences averaged over the 12 -month periods show ASOS to be $0.95^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ cooler than CONV for daily maximum temperatures and $0.70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ cooler than CONV
for daily minimum temperatures. While ASOS temperature remain cooler, the differences are somewhat less than during the precommissioning period. The smallest differences in both maximum and minimum temperatures were observed during the summer months, and several stations actually reported ASOS to be warmer than CONV. This same seasonal tendency was noted during the precommissioning comparison but not as extensively. This apparent seasonal change in the relationship between ASOS and CONV may be the result of fundamental differences in aspiration and radiation effects between the CONV HO-83 (hygrothermometer) and the ASOS version of this same instrument.

The NWS previously had concerns about the quality of the ASOS temperature observations, and the CDCP data analysis confirmed and further identified other problems. Consequently, as the commissioning took place the NWS was already proceeding to modify the hygrothermometer by reversing the direction of airflow through the instrument, increasing the volume of aspiration and inserting higher quality electronics. Systematic deployment of these refurbished ASOS hygrothermometers began in November 1993. Eventually, all NWS ASOS units will utilize this revised design. No observations from the refurbished instruments are included in this report, and all CDCP temperature analyses prior to the installation of this redesigned sensor must be considered preliminary. Thus, this report is really a documentation of analysis methods and an evaluation of the original, temporary ASOS hygrothermometer.

Considerable station-to-station variability in the ASOS - CONV temperature difference continues to be noted (Figures 4-5). While it appears that the ASOS temperature sensor systematically reads lower than CONV, there are other contributing influences such as sensor to sensor differences, location and exposure differences, weather-related
differences and possible system differences. The magnitude (range) of this station-to-station variability continues to be as great as it was during precommissioning testing for both maximum and minimum temperatures.

Figures 6-8 show time series of accumulated ASOS - CONV temperature differences at ALS, CNK, DDC, GLD, GRI, OKC, LNK, TOP and TUL. Discontinuities, like what appear at CNK and DDC, are occurring with a much lower frequency than was observed during precommissioning comparisons. These occasional sudden shifts in the relationship between ASOS and CONV temperatures could originate in either ASOS or CONV or both. There continued presence seems to point out the need for the modifications presently underway. They also raise concern about system stability in either the ASOS or the CONV instrumentation and the effects of system maintenance.

Tables 2 and 3 contain frequency distributions summed over the entire commissioned period of ASOS - CONV temperature differences for daily maximum and minimum temperatures, respectively. The total distribution of maximum daily temperature differences summed over the 13 commissioned sites is nearly normally distributed (Figure 9, top). The distribution of minimum temperature differences is somewhat more skewed (Figure 8, bottom). The apparent seasonal cycle in ASOS - CONV differences, noted earlier in this section, is apparent in both maximum and minimum temperatures when distributions are separated by season (Figure 10).

Figure 11 shows distributions of maximum and minimum temperatures stratified into two categories (roughly the warmest and coolest one-third of each distribution) to show if there has been an obvious change in the ASOS - CONV temperature difference as a function of temperature. A seasonal pattern in variations has been apparent in other
analyses suggesting that differences are likely a function of temperature. However, this approach shows only a small tendency for differences in daily maximums to be smaller when temperatures are warmer. This tendency is just barely visible in the distributions of differences in minimum temperatures. It is possible that selecting different boundaries would produce different results, but more than likely the time of year makes a bigger difference than the temperature alone.

Very large ASOS - CONV temperature differences were still observed at some of the sites during the past year. There were 25 occurrences with ASOS daily maximum temperatures at least $6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ cooler than CONV. ALL CDCP stations except PUB reported at least one such large difference. There were 6 days, shared among six different stations, when ASOS read at least 6 degrees warmer than CONV. There were 11 occurrences of ASOS minimum temperatures at least 6 degrees cooler than CONV and 15 cases with ASOS at least 6 degrees warmer than CONV. No systematic patterns have been identified that explain the majority of these large differences. Neither was it clear in all cases which reading was correct. In some instances, large differences could conceivably be true. In most cases, large differences were isolated events and did not critically compromise the quality of the data set. The total frequencies of these large differences in daily maximum and minimum temperatures have not changed appreciably since commissioning. Prior to commissioning, ASOS minimum temperatures much colder than CONV had been the most common type of large difference. DEN, MCI and SGF contributed many of these events during precommissioning analysis.

From Tables 2 and 3 it is also apparent that distributions vary considerably among stations. Four stations; COS, ICT, CNK and OKC were selected for visual comparison
(Figure 12). The two stations where the distance between ASOS and CONV temperature instruments are the least are COS and ICT. In each case, instruments appear to be less than 200 feet apart with very similar exposures. Interestingly, both of these stations show very similar distributions with ASOS maximum temperatures nearly $1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ cooler than CONV but with very similar minimum temperatures. Instrument exposures at CNK are also reasonably compatible although instruments are separated by several hundred feet and CONV is closer to paved areas. Again, ASOS has been cooler than CONV the majority of the time while minimum temperature differences have fluctuated around $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The distributions at CNK are broader and more irregular than either COS or ICT. This is the probable outcome from the fact that this station has had several discontinuities during the year in the ASOS - CONV relationship (Fig. 6). Precommissioning comparisons showed discontinuities to broaden the shape of the total frequency distribution. The frequency distribution for OKC shows a distinctly different pattern. The relationship between daily maximum temperatures has been very consistent - usually with ASOS the same or $1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ cooler than CONV. Differences in the minimum temperatures are greater and are occasionally quite large. This type of distribution could result from siting differences. The temperature sensors are about one mile apart with the ASOS site farther from the city and farther from airport pavement.

Limited site visits were conducted as a part of this CDCP investigation during the first year following initial ASOS commissioning. A calibrated NIST-traceable thermistor with an aspirated R.M. Young radiation shield and Campbell Data Logger have been purchased and tested for use in side-by-side field comparisons with both the ASOS and CONV hygrothermometers. Side-by-side comparisons will be a key element of individual
site studies and may help determine how much of the ASOS - CONV differences can be attributed to local siting and exposure differences. Up until now it has not been useful to conduct these comparisons since modifications of the ASOS hygrothermometer have been planned by the NWS. Deployment of modified instruments began in November 1993 and are scheduled to all be in place later in 1994. Site visits and side-by-side comparisons will then be expanded to include many of the CDCP sites in the Central U.S.

## 4. Dewpoint Temperature and Relative Humidity

The comparison of humidity observations has several dimensions since two independent measurements of temperature are involved in the ASOS and CONV instruments. Air temperature and dewpoint temperature both use essentially identical resistance temperature devices (RTD's) and bridge circuits. Consequently, comparisons can be made of air temperature, dewpoint temperature, dewpoint depression, relative humidity, and mixing ratio.

Monthly values of ASOS-CONV differences based on six-hourly observations of temperature, dewpoint temperature, dewpoint depressions and relative humidity for the CDCP stations are all shown in Appendix 4. Analysis of temperatures in Section 3 has indicated the variations that occur with time and the need to focus on observations after the modified hygrothermometer is introduced in the fall of 1993. The same conclusion holds for humidity since a change in the temperature alone can lead to a change in dewpoint depression. The relationship is given by

$$
\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)-\left(\mathrm{TD}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{TD}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)=(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{TD})_{\mathrm{A}}-(\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{TD})_{\mathrm{C}},
$$

where air temperature (T) and dewpoint temperature (TD) and subscripts for ASOS (A) and CONV (C) are used. This shows that a comparison of air temperature and dewpoint
temperature can be combined linearly to give a comparison of dewpoint depression. Thus a stable $T_{A}-T_{C}$ is needed to quantify humidity comparisons.

For the period September 1992 through August 1993 five of the sites had relatively stable temperature time series. They include GLD, ICT, OKC, TOP and TUL. Table 4 shows the average systematic difference for these five locations for temperature and dewpoint temperature. Note that the average dewpoint temperature difference ranges only from $0.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $-0.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ which indicates the difference in dewpoint temperature is often less than the difference in air temperature. This is expected since the physical mechanisms that can affect air temperature, such as warm and cool surfaces and solar heating of the hygrothermometer, do not affect the moisture content of the air. These averages, however, are deceiving. Appendix 5 contains graphs of the frequency distributions of dewpoint depression differences and relative humidity difference of ASOS-CONV for the summer months in 1993 for all sites. Note that these observations are taken four times per day at synoptic times. A casual viewing of these graphs reveals that the distributions vary widely, can have long tails, and have large values both positive and negative. We have decided that a detailed analysis of these types of distributions will be performed on the data from the modified hygrothermometer when we expect the variations to be both smaller and more consistent. It is interesting to note that a location like TUL which has a distinct systematic difference in air temperature leads to a much larger systematic difference in dewpoint depression than occurs in dewpoint temperature. Some differences such as this could be very real with ASOS and CONV sites more than one mile apart with different urban and local vegetation influences.

## 5. Precipitation

Difficulties securing independent ASOS precipitation data for comparison with CONV data are described in the Data section (Section 2) of this report. This was not a problem during the precommissioning phases of ASOS deployment since ASOS observations were not official and there was no need to modify or augment ASOS reports. It should not be as much of a problem in the future as policies for ASOS operation and augmentation become well defined. We are now receiving high resolution ASOS data, and in subsequent analyses beginning 1 September 1993, the one-minute ASOS data will become the primary source for ASOS precipitation values. This data set should be free of human intervention and, therefore, should provide the actual ASOS observation even at times when ASOS observations are being modified by local observers.

This year it has been necessary to do the best with the available information. We have used cross checks between CONV precipitation data in combination with ASOS SAO PCPN remarks, 6-hour and 24-hour totals, ASOS SOD data files and published LCD data to try to determine days when ASOS precipitation reports have been modified. Limited amounts of 1-minute data were obtained from NCDC well after the end of the year to help confirm some of our original judgements. No changes were made, here at the Colorado Climate Center, to any of the data files provided to us. Rather, we made determinations of which data set to use in each case when ASOS totals appeared to have been modified.

Total accumulated precipitation totals and numbers of occurrences differ depending on which data set is used. Our results are based on a combination which we believe is closest to what ASOS was actually reporting prior to being modified. While we tried to be objective, some subjective judgements were made and it is possible that an independent investigation may not have agreed with all of our determinations.

While this problem has been frustrating and time consuming, the fact is that the majority of ASOS data during the past year appear fine. Only about $10 \%$ of all 24 -hour precipitation totals required careful examination. The other $90 \%$ of all precipitation events were in agreement among the various ASOS sources and were, therefore, assumed to be the unmodified ASOS reports. The number of modified precipitation events were small, but their impact on comparison statistics was potentially significant. CONV precipitation on days where ASOS observations were questioned amounted to over $20 \%$ of total accumulated precipitation for the year and an even higher percentage for the winter months. The percent of CONV precipitation falling on days with uncertain ASOS precipitation was lower during the fall of 1992 and the spring of 1993 but was considerably higher during the winter months. The significance and uncertainty of the results described in the following paragraphs should be interpreted in this context.

Accumulated precipitation totals for each comparison station were computed beginning with commissioning for CONV and ASOS. Example graphs of these accumulations are shown in Figures 13-15 for AMA, CNK, COS, GRI, ICT and TUL. These are a representative set of stations, covering both wet and dry portions of the region, which experienced a full range of weather conditions during the past year. Some of these
sites experienced reliable ASOS performance during the year, while other sites had identifiable problems.

Tables 5 and 6 show monthly precipitation totals for each commissioned station for CONV and ASOS gages, respectively. Discrepancies with what has been shown in previous Progress Reports can be found in the ASOS precipitation data for some of the stations. These discrepancies resulted from a final year-end evaluation of ASOS data quality and a re-analysis of some of the days with modified ASOS reports. Also, a change in our operative definition of missing ASOS data had additional small impacts. For most stations, ASOS precipitation totals differ only slightly from what was previously reported. The data shown in this report should supersede what has previously been reported.

Total precipitation by month for all 13 CDCP sites combined is shown in Figure 16. A total of 381.08 inches of CONV precipitation was recorded during the year during periods when ASOS was commissioned and functioning. This is considerably more precipitation than was observed across the region during the precommissioning portion of this study, both during winter and summer. Thus, the sample size for evaluating ASOS precipitation data continuity was considerably larger.

ASOS precipitation for the same period totalled 338.59 inches which was $88.9 \%$ of CONV. In all months except October 1992, CONV precipitation exceeded ASOS when totalled across the region. ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV by month is shown in Figure 17. The seasonal composite precipitation totals (Figure 18) show that ASOS and CONV were most similar during autumn and spring. The largest differences were observed during winter and summer. Remember that these seasonal percentages may differ from previous progress reports due to final processing of ASOS precipitation data.

Figure 19 compares total accumulated precipitation since commissioning at each of the 13 sites. A scatter graph of total accumulated ASOS precipitation versus CONV precipitation is shown in Figure 20.

Out of 139 station months with both CONV and commissioned ASOS, ASOS equalled or exceeded CONV in 45 months ( $32 \%$ ) while CONV exceeded ASOS in 94 months (68\%). Combining monthly totals into 3-month seasonal totals for each individual station (Figure 21) provides a visual perspective on the variations observed across the region. TUL, for example performed very consistently throughout the year with total ASOS precipitation very similar to CONV. GLD, ICT and OKC were also consistent performers but with ASOS precipitation consistently less than CONV. Large variations were noted at COS, GRI, LNK and PUB. Some of these stations with large differences and inconsistent relationships were recognized early on by the NWS and found to have mechanical or electrical problems with the ASOS heated tipping bucket (HTB) mechanism. Based on these findings, modifications are currently being made to the ASOS gage that could improve gage performance. These modifications include an improved electrical connection to insure proper function from the heating element and a change in the switch used to measure the tipping events (U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA, ASOS Program Office, 1993).

While ASOS performance was quite good during fall and spring with totals for those two seasons $96 \%$ and $95 \%$ of CONV, respectively, winter and summer were a markedly different story. Winter differences were investigated in detail and reported in the December 1992 - February 1993 Progress Report (McKee et al., 1993) and in a paper scheduled for presentation at the Annual Meeting of the American Meteorological Society (McKee et al., 1994). This paper is included here as Appendix 5. Figures 22 and 23, taken from the
earlier progress report, capture the essence of the problem. Performance of the ASOS HTB gage, in relationship to the CONV weighing bucket rain gage, deteriorated dramatically as a function of temperature for precipitation that fell in the form of snow. HTB gages have always had a reputation for undermeasuring frozen precipitation, so these results were not surprising. The magnitude of undercatch at some stations, however, was severe and led to the detection of deficiencies in the current gage. Gage modifications currently being made and considered should improve the quality and consistency of winter measurements. Considerably more data will be needed, however, to determine if subsequent winter measurements will be acceptable for climatic and hydrologic applications.

The systematic and significant undermeasurement of summer precipitation with respect to CONV measurements was more of a surprise and appears to have its roots in intense precipitation events. Comparing 6-hour precipitation totals, ASOS precipitation at most stations maintained a close relationship with CONV (within the expected ranges associated with convective precipitation for sites that are not strictly co-located) for most light to moderate 6-hour totals. As totals began to exceed 1.00 inch, differences tended to increase. Above 1.50"/6-hours, ASOS routinely reported less than CONV and in some cases much less (Figure 24). Since ASOS already uses an internal algorithm to adjust for known tendencies to undermeasure intense rains, these fairly large differences were not anticipated. Further attention will be directed toward intense convective precipitation in the coming year, but recent (January 1994) discussions with NWS personnel have indicated this problem could be related to the funnel design in the gage.

For many climate applications, the frequency of precipitation of selected intensities is a critical factor. Precipitation data from NWS First Order stations have often been used
by climatologists as the best available data source for precipitation frequencies. Table 7 shows the number of days with measurable precipitation ( $\geq 0.01$ inches) since commissioning at each of the 13 CDCP sites. Overall, the number of precipitation days as determined by ASOS has compared favorably at most stations. The relatively large number of days with ASOS or CONV precipitation but not both ( $\mathbf{9 \%}$ of all precipitation days) appears troublesome but is composed primarily of very small (less than 0.06 inches) amounts.

Differences in precipitation frequency are apparent as a function of precipitation amount (Figure 25). ASOS continues to report more precipitation days than CONV with days with 0.01 inches having a much larger frequency than CONV. On the other hand, ASOS reports fewer days with larger daily precipitation totals than CONV, consistent with what was observed during precommissioning studies. Seasonal evaluations of precipitation frequencies showed that ASOS reported fewer precipitation days than CONV during the winter months but more precipitation days than CONV during the summer months. Precipitation frequencies were most similar during fall and spring. Of the many cases of 0.01 inches reported by ASOS when CONV reported zero, many of these occurred within 48 hours following larger rain events.

## 6. Conclusions

The first year of observations from the commissioned ASOS sites has been completed. The period September 1992 through August 1993 defines the year. Analyses of the comparison of ASOS observations for temperature, humidity, and precipitation with the previous observing system (labeled conventional, CONV) have been performed.

Two issues must be taken into account to place the data analysis in a proper perspective. The first issue relates to the ASOS hygrothermometer. The NWS had recognized, and the previous data analyses in this project had confirmed, some difficulties with the instrument. As this past year progressed the NWS and their contractors were preparing to deploy an improved instrument with a reversed direction of airflow, a larger volume of aspiration, and more stable electronics. Deployment of the refurbished hygrothermometer is expected to begin in the fall of 1993. Results presented in this report document the comparison of the present ASOS observations to the CONV observations. The second issue relates to the ASOS Heated Tipping Bucket Raingage. Several technological problems have occurred with the raingage which the NWS has identified. Modifications to insure that the heating element and the tipping mechanism operate properly have been treated during this year.

Upon commission of ASOS, the CONV observations have continued at six-hour intervals to support the climate data continuity studies. Copies of the CONV data are at

Colorado State University, the National Climate Data Center of NOAA, and the NWS Office of Meteorology.

Temperature comparisons show that ASOS is $0.95^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ cooler than CONV for daily maximum temperatures and $0.70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ cooler for minimum temperatures for the 12 month period based on the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP stations combined. These systematic differences are smaller in the summer and larger in the winter suggesting an apparent seasonal variation. There is considerable variability in the systematic difference from station to station and in time at some of the stations. We anticipate that the refurbished instruments in the future may decrease these variations. A small number of large differences have occurred in which ASOS is at least $6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ different from the CONV observation.

Comparison of humidity measurements have been limited until we understand the systematic differences in temperature. The ASOS dewpoint temperature for five selected sites have a systematic difference of $-0.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $0.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and are not systematically cooler than the CONV dew points. Frequency distributions of the difference in dewpoint depression and relative humidity are not well behaved at several sites. More analysis of these observations are needed in the next year.

It was difficult to assemble a complete ASOS precipitation data set during this first year of commissioning due to augmentation and data correction procedures. From the best available data, ASOS precipitation observations were $96 \%$ and $95 \%$ of the CONV observations in fall and spring, respectively, but fell to $86 \%$ in the summer and only $80 \%$ in the winter. A total of 139 station months of observations show the ratio of ASOS to CONV precipitation is less than $1.068 \%$ of the time and equal to or greater than 1.0 only
$32 \%$ of the time. These results, which need to be better determined by larger data samples, indicate the gage performs reasonably in rain events of light to moderate intensity. The gage has not performed well in snow events, especially at temperatures well below freezing. The difficulties with heavy convective rain in the summer need further investigation.

A presentation of ASOS observations was given at the AMS annual meeting in January 1993 and presentations have been accepted for the NOAA Climate Diagnostics Workshop in November 1993 and the AMS annual meeting in January 1994.
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## Table 1.

Climate Data Continuity Project Comparison Sites and Commissioning Dates.

| ID | Station Location | Commissioning Date |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ALS | Alamosa, Colorado | September 1, 1992 |
| AMA | Amarillo Int'l, Texas | November 1, 1992 |
| CNK | Concordia, Kansas | September 1, 1992 |
| COS | Colorado Springs, Colorado | November 1, 1992 |
| DDC | Dodge City, Kansas | September 1, 1992 |
| GLD | Goodland, Kansas | September 1, 1992 |
| GRI | Grand Island, Nebraska | October 1, 1992 |
| ICT | Wichita/Mid-Cont., Kansas | November 1, 1992 |
| LNK | Lincoln, Nebraska | November 1, 1992 |
| OKC | Oklahoma City/Rogers, Oklahoma | October 1, 1992 |
| PUB | Pueblo, Coiorado | October 1, 1992 |
| SGF | Springfield, Missouri | delayed |
| TOP | Topeka/Billard, Kansas | December 1, 1992 |
| TUL | Tulsa Int'l, Oklahoma | October 1, 1992 |

Table 2.
Frequency Distribution of ASOS-CONV Daily Maximum Temperature Differences for the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites based on all comparison data from the date of ASOS commissioning through August 1993.

| Station | Temperature Differences ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq-7$ | -6 | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\geq 6$ | Total |
| ALS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 125 | 148 | 40 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 347 |
| AMA | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 46 | 145 | 94 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 304 |
| CNK | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 85 | 126 | 79 | 42 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 365 |
| COS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 41 | 165 | 85 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
| DDC | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 43 | 69 | 72 | 46 | 35 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 317 |
| GLD | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 65 | 114 | 131 | 30 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 365 |
| GRI | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 65 | 126 | 107 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 335 |
| ICT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 52 | 108 | 92 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 |
| LNK | 4 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 42 | 86 | 88 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 282 |
| OKC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 21 | 134 | 156 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 334 |
| PUB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 123 | 143 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 322 |
| TOP | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 105 | 112 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 |
| TUL | 2 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 72 | 177 | 58 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 |
| Total | 20 | 5 | 12 | 52 | 210 | 862 | 1509 | 1154 | 221 | 66 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 4146 |
| \% | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 20.8 | 36.4 | 27.8 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |

Table 3.
Frequency Distribution of ASOS-CONV Daily Minimum Temperature Differences for the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites based on all comparison data from the date of ASOS commissioning through August 1993.

| Station | Temperature Differences ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq-7$ | -6 | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\geq 6$ | Total |
| ALS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 45 | 118 | 137 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 358 |
| AMA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 91 | 153 | 27 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
| CNK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 114 | 158 | 62 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 365 |
| COS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 47 | 199 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 304 |
| DDC | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 30 | 94 | 118 | 43 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 317 |
| GLD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 44 | 102 | 165 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 365 |
| GRI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 105 | 170 | 31 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 335 |
| ICT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 177 | 35 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266 |
| LNK | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 29 | 59 | 96 | 67 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 282 |
| OKC | 1 | 1 | 9 | 19 | 37 | 53 | 128 | 69 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 334 |
| PUB | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 68 | 142 | 96 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 331 |
| TOP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 46 | 136 | 64 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 274 |
| TUL | 0 | 4 | 22 | 42 | 80 | 111 | 66 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 |
| Total | 5 | 6 | 37 | 95 | 195 | 475 | 1199 | 1651 | 412 | 55 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 4168 |
| \% | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 11.4 | 28.8 | 39.6 | 9.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 |

Table 4.

Comparison of average ASOS - CONV temperature differences and dewpoint temperature differences, averaged over the period from date of commissioning through August 1993, for selected CDCP stations.

| Station | Air Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | Dewpoint Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GLD | -1.1 | 0.4 |
| ICT | -0.3 | 0.3 |
| OKC | -1.1 | 0.4 |
| TOP | 0.0 | -0.4 |
| TUL | -2.0 | -0.4 |

Table 5.
Monthly total CONV precipitation (inches) for each commissioned ASOS CDCP site from the date of commissioning through August 1993.

Precipitation was not included for periods when ASOS operations were suspended (e.g., DDC 1/27/93-3/3/93).

| Station | Monthly Total Precipitation - Conventional (inches) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | total |
| ALS | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.78 | 0.25 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.93 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 5.87 | 10.78 |
| AMA |  |  | 0.90 | 0.54 | 0.76 | 0.36 | 1.18 | 0.25 | 1.67 | 2.18 | 4.15 | 4.49 | 16.48 |
| CNK | 2.62 | 4.54 | 2.26 | 1.39 | 1.52 | 1.33 | 2.57 | 1.94 | 3.62 | 6.85 | 18.61 | 5.11 | 52.36 |
| COS |  |  | 1.05 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 1.27 | 1.12 | 1.72 | 2.05 | 9.66 |
| DDC | 0.50 | 0.67 | 2.36 | 0.82 | 0.32 |  | 1.18 | 1.85 | 2.31 | 3.25 | 5.85 | 2.87 | 21.98 |
| GLD | 0.01 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 0.33 | 3.25 | 2.00 | 8.28 | 8.80 | 26.03 |
| GRI |  | 3.35 | 0.95 | 0.85 | 1.15 | 1.48 | 1.12 | 2.49 | 3.60 | 5.13 | 10.70 | 4.61 | 35.43 |
| ICT |  |  | 5.63 | 1.55 | 1.12 | 2.25 | 2.14 | 2.31 | 11.19 | 5.23 | 7.83 | 1.43 | 40.68 |
| LNK |  |  | 1.50 | 0.98 | 1.34 | 0.62 | 1.72 | 2.24 | 4.84 | 5.76 | 12.65 |  | 31.65 |
| OKC |  | 0.69 | 5.48 | 3.32 | 1.90 | 3.10 | 3.31 | 2.60 | 11.08 | 2.92 | 1.65 | 2.60 | 38.65 |
| PUB |  | 0.08 | 1.76 | 0.46 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 1.54 | 1.28 | 1.85 | 1.56 | 1.13 | 4.51 | 14.64 |
| TOP |  |  |  | 2.04 | 2.70 | 1.61 | 2.30 | 6.54 | 7.61 | 1.91 | 12.70 | 5.71 | 43.12 |
| TUL |  | 1.54 | 6.83 | 5.15 | 2.12 | 2.86 | 2.87 | 4.40 | 6.42 | 3.05 | 2.11 | 2.27 | 39.62 |
| SUM | 3.63 | 11.61 | 29.95 | 18.18 | 14.24 | 15.12 | 22.06 | 27.54 | 59.64 | 41.08 | 87.71 | 50.32 | 381.08 |
| AVE | 0.91 | 1.45 | 2.50 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 1.70 | 2.12 | 4.59 | 3.16 | 6.75 | 4.19 | 29.31 |

## Table 6.

Monthly total ASOS precipitation (inches) for each commissioned ASOS CDCP site from the date of commissioning through August 1993.

Precipitation was not included for periods when ASOS operations were suspended (e.g., DDC 1/27/93-3/3/93).

|  | Monthly Total Precipitation - ASOS (inches) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALS | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.42 | 0.84 | 0.32 | 0.93 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 5.39 | 10.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMA |  |  | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.77 | 0.35 | 1.28 | 0.36 | 1.86 | 2.80 | 3.48 | 4.30 | 16.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNK | 2.26 | 4.83 | 2.06 | 1.52 | 0.87 | 0.88 | 2.33 | 1.98 | 3.52 | 6.60 | 15.83 | 4.77 | 47.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| COS |  |  | 0.74 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.64 | 0.98 | 1.41 | 1.21 | 2.32 | 2.01 | 9.64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DDC | 0.48 | 0.70 | 1.59 | 0.92 | 0.25 |  | 1.08 | 1.75 | 3.10 | 3.23 | 6.31 | 3.07 | 22.48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GLD | 0.01 | 0.85 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.82 | 0.41 | 2.93 | 1.88 | 6.41 | 9.12 | 24.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRI |  | 3.34 | 0.91 | 0.69 | 0.08 | 0.57 | 0.79 | 1.32 | 3.68 | 4.44 | 10.04 | 2.11 | 27.97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICT |  |  | 4.15 | 1.16 | 0.99 | 1.92 | 1.74 | 2.03 | 9.67 | 4.44 | 5.97 | 1.27 | 33.34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| LNK |  |  | 1.44 | 0.87 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.57 | 2.32 | 5.39 | 5.39 | 3.25 |  | 21.33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| OKC |  | 0.73 | 4.85 | 3.07 | 1.75 | 2.70 | 2.91 | 2.51 | 10.89 | 2.59 | 1.24 | 1.87 | 35.11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PUB |  | 0.09 | 3.92 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 1.71 | 0.78 | 1.27 | 1.49 | 1.36 | 3.44 | 15.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOP |  |  |  | 2.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 2.12 | 5.26 | 6.93 | 0.94 | 11.32 | 5.29 | 35.77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TUL |  | 1.75 | 6.54 | 4.85 | 2.28 | 2.59 | 2.76 | 4.57 | 6.86 | 3.78 | 2.41 | 1.63 | 40.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUM | 3.25 | 12.3 | 28.02 | 16.64 | 9.9 | 11.54 | 20.59 | 24.59 | 58.44 | 38.92 | 70.13 | 44.27 | 338.59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVE | 0.81 | 1.54 | 2.34 | 1.28 | 0.76 | 0.96 | 1.58 | 1.89 | 4.50 | 2.99 | 5.39 | 3.69 | 26.05 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.
Summary of precipitation days for each ASOS CDCP site based on 24-hour ASOS and CONV totals for period ending at 1200 UTC based on all comparison data from date of commissioning through August 1993.

| Station | Period of Record | Valid <br> Comparison <br> Days $^{1}$ | CONV <br> Precip <br> Days $^{2}$ | ASOS <br> Precip <br> Days $^{3}$ | Mutual <br> Precip <br> Days $^{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALS | $9 / 2 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 362 | 75 | 79 | 67 |
| AMA | $11 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 302 | 66 | 67 | 60 |
| CNK | $9 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 363 | 135 | 131 | 126 |
| COS | $11 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 284 | 78 | 79 | 73 |
| DDC | $9 / 2 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 305 | 74 | 78 | 71 |
| GLD | $9 / 2 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 364 | 80 | 88 | 77 |
| GRI | $10 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 334 | 119 | 100 | 88 |
| ICT | $11 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 303 | 94 | 93 | 85 |
| LNK | $11 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 246 | 89 | 92 | 84 |
| OKC | $10 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 333 | 83 | 90 | 82 |
| PUB | $10 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 334 | 67 | 75 | 63 |
| TOP | $12 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 271 | 107 | 105 | 99 |
| TUL | $10 / 1 / 92-8 / 31 / 93$ | 330 | 97 | 105 | 92 |
| Total |  | 4131 | 1164 | 1182 | 1067 |

${ }^{1}$ All days when both CONV and ASOS total 24-hour precipitation data were available.
${ }^{2}$ Valid comparison days when measurable ( $\geq 0.01$ inches) precipitation was reported by the conventional observation.
${ }^{3}$ Valid comparison days when measurable ( $\geq 0.01$ inches) precipitation was reported by ASOS observations.
${ }^{4}$ Valid comparison days on which both ASOS and CONV reported $\geq 0.01$ inches of precipitation.


Figure 1. Location of National Weather Service First Order Weather Stations in the Central United States used in the ASOS Climate Data Continuity Project.


Figure 2. The number days with complete ASOS and CONV daily maximum temperatures (top) and daily minimum temperatures (bottom) based on the 13 commissioned ASOS sites, September 1992 through August 1993.

## ESDIM



Figure 3. A national perspective on the locations of the ASOS CDCP comparison stations in the Central U.S. (solid circles) along with the names and locations of CDCP expansion sites (stars) which will be added in 1994. Figure provided by Andy Horvitz, NWS, Office of Meteorology.

## ASOS - CONV TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCES COMMISSIONED SITES ONLY <br> DDC DDC



Figure 4. The composite mean ASOS - CONV systematic difference (Degrees F) for daily maximum temperatures (solid line) and the actual monthly systematic differences for each of the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP stations.

## ASOS - CONV TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCES COMMISSIONED STATIONS ONLY



Figure 5. The composite mean ASOS - CONV systematic difference (Degrees F) for daily minimum temperatures (solid line) and the actual monthly systematic differences for each of the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP stations.




Figure 6. ASOS - CONV accumulated temperature differences for ALS, CNK, and DDC. Data are based on observations at six-hour intervals from date of commissioning through August 1993.


Figure 7. ASOS - CONV accumulated temperature differences for GLD, GRI, and OKC. Data are based on observations at six-hour intervals from date of commissioning through August 1993.




Figure 8. ASOS - CONV accumulated temperature differences for LNK, TOP, and TUL. Data are based on observations at six-hour intervals from date of commissioning through August 1993. Note: different scaling is used for LNK and TUL due to larger temperature differences at those sites.

## DISTRIBUTION OF ASOS - CONV DIFFERENCES DAILY MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES



## DISTRIBUTION OF ASOS - CONV DIFFERENCES DAILY MINIMUM TEMPERATURES



Figure 9. Histogram frequency distribution of ASOS - CONV daily maximum (top) and daily minimum (bottom) temperature differences for all 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites combined from date of commissioning through August 1993.


DISTRIBUTION OF ASOS - CONV DIFFERENCES
DAILY MINIMUM TEMPERATURES

$\rightarrow$ - DEC - FEB 1993 - JUN - AUG 1993

Figure 10. Frequency distribution of winter and summer ASOS - CONV temperature differences for daily maximum temperatures (top) and minimum temperatures (bottom) for all 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites combined from date of commissioning through August 1993.


Figure 11. Frequency distributions of ASOS - CONV temperature differences, stratified by specified temperature ranges, for daily maximum temperatures (top) and minimum temperatures (bottom) for all 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites combined from date of commissioning through August 1993.


Figure 12. Comparison of frequency distributions of ASOS - CONV daily maximum temperature differences (heavy line) to daily minimum temperature differences (thin line) for COS, ICT, CNK and OKC from date of commissioning through August 1993.



Figure 13. Accumulated precipitation (inches) for CONV (heavy solid line) and ASOS (thin line) for AMA (top), and CNK (bottom) from date of commissioning through August 1993.



Figure 14. Accumulated precipitation (inches) for CONV (heavy solid line) and ASOS (thin line) for COS (top), and GRI (bottom) from date of commissioning through August 1993.


Figure 15. Accumulated precipitation (inches) for CONV (heavy solid line) and ASOS (thin line) for ICT (top), and TUL (bottom) from date of commissioning through August 1993.

## NWS PRECIPITATION COMPARISON COMMISSIONED ASOS SITES 9/92-8/93



Figure 16. Total CONV and ASOS cumulative precipitation, by month, for all 13 CDCP sites combined, from date of commissioning through August 1993.


Figure 17. ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV, by month, for all 13 CDCP sites combined, from date of commissioning through August 1993.

## SEASONAL COMPARISON: ASOS PRECIPITATION AS A PERCENT OF CONV ALL 13 STATIONS



Figure 18. ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV, by season, for each three-month period September 1992 through August 1993 based on all comparison data from date of commissioning through August 1993.

## CUMULATIVE PRECIPITATION COMPARISONS COMMISSIONED ASOS DATA 9/1992-8/1993



Figure 19. Comparison of total cumulative CONV and ASOS precipitation data from date of commissioning through August 1993 for each of the 13 ASOS CDCP sites. Number above the bar represents ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV for each site.

ASOS CUMULATIVE PRECIPITATION VS. CONV COMMISSIONED ASOS DATA 9/1992-8/1993


Figure 20. Total cumulative ASOS precipitation ( $y$-axis) versus total cumulative CONV precipitation (x-axis) for the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP stations based on all comparison data from the date of ASOS commissioning through August 1993.

## ASOS PRECIPITATION AS PERCENT OF CONV SEPTEMBER 1992 - AUGUST 1993



Figure 21. ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV, by season, for each of the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites based on all comparison data from the date of ASOS commissioning through August 1993.

TEMPERATURE EFFECTS ON ASOS PRECIP. ALL STORMS WITH > 0.19" CONV PRECIP.


Figure 22. ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV plotted as a function of temperature for each significant precipitation event (CONV precipitation greater than 0.19 inches), November 1992 through February 1993, from 16 stations (both commissioned and uncommissioned) ASOS comparison sites in the Central U.S. Temperature for each event was defined as the mean ASOS temperatures, determined from hourly observations, for the six-hour period with heaviest precipitation.

December 8-9, 1992


January 7-9, 1993


Total CONV Precip 7.28'
Total ASOS Precip 3.54' ASOS as \% of CONV 49\%

Wind driven snow except as shown.


Total CONV Precip 17.39 Total ASOS Precip 15.83' ASOS as \% of CONV 91\%

February 14-16, 1993


Total CONV Precip 5.41'
Total ASOS Precip 3.50 ASOS as \% of CONV 65\%

Figure 23. Precipitation comparison for selected storms December 1992 through February 1993. The top number plotted at each station is CONV precipitation in inches. The bottom number is ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV.

## JUNE-AUG 1993 PRECIPITATION COMPARISON CONCORDIA, KANSAS



Figure 24. Six-hour ASOS precipitation totals ( y -axis) versus CONV precipitation (x-axis) for all precipitation events at CNK, June through August 1993.

## PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY COMPARISON COMPOSITE OF 13 COMMISSIONED ASOS SITES


AN CONV ASOS

Figure 25. Frequency of occurrence of daily precipitation in selected categories for CONV and ASOS based on data from the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites in the Central U.S. based on all comparison data from the date of ASOS commissioning through August 1993.

Appendix 1.
Example data used in the CDCP during 1993.
a) Surface Aviation Observations (SAO) for Topeka, KS for 15 February 1993

```
TOP SA 0056 AO2A CLR 8LO 120 10+ 222/34/22/0507/016
TOP SA 0156 AO2A CLR BLO 120 10+ 226/32/22/0807/017
TOP SA 0256 AO2A CLR BLO 120 10+ 226/30/23/0905/017/ 50005
TOP SA 0356 AO2A CLR BLO 120 10+ 225/30/22/0807/017
TOP SA 0456 AO2A 100 SCT 10+ 231/29/22/1006/019
TOP SA 0556 A02A M90 OVC 10+ 232/30/22/1106/019/ 53008 10038 20020
TOP SA 0656 A02A M95 OVC 10+ 233/30/22/1106/020
TOP SA 0756 A02A 85 SCT M100 8KN 10+ 232/29/22/0907/019
TOP SA 0856 A02A M100 BKN 10+ 225/28/22/0808/017/ 58005 BKN V OVC
TOP SA 0956 A02A M95 BKN 10+ 220/28/22/0708/016
TOP SA 1056 A02A M35 OVC 10+ 223/27/22/0708/017
TOP SA 1156 A02A M37 OVC 10+ 221/27/22/0709/016/56006 10038 20027
TOP SA 1256 AO2A M35 OVC 10+ 217/26/22/0713/015
TOP SA 1356 AO2A M39 OVC 10+ 211/26/21/0615/013
TOP SA 1456 AO2A 65 SCT 7S- 214/26/20/0711/013/56009 6000/ SB47 PCPN 0000
TOP SA 1556 AO2A M31 OVC 4S- 211/25/19/0812/013/ SFC VSBY 3 PCPN 0000
TOP SA 1656 AO2A M8 BKN 12 OVC 1S-F 202/25/22/0513/010/ TWR VSBY 1 PCPN 0000
TOP SA 1756 AO2A H12X IS-F 198/24/21/0510/009/58013 6000/10030 20024 TUR VSBY 1 PCPN 0000
TOP RS 1856 AO2A M6 BKN 12 OVC 3/4S-F 183/24/22/0315/004/ TWR VSBY 3/4 PCPN 0001
TOP RS 1956 A02A M9 BKN 16 OVC 3/4S-F 178/25/22/0215/003/ BKN V SCT PCPN 0000
TOP RS 2056 AO2A M10 BKN 15 OVC 3/4S-F 176/24/21/0313/002/ 56022 6001/ PCPN 0000
TOP RS COR 2056 A02A M10 BKN 15 OVC 3/45-F 176/24/21/0313/002/90401 56022 6001/ PCPN 0000
TOP RS 2156 A02A M10 BKN 16 OVC 3/4S-F 176/23/21/0312/002/ PCPN 0000
TOP SA 2256 AO2A M4 BKN 13 OVC 1/4S+F 180/22/21/0314/003/ SNOINCR 1/3/3 PCPN 0001
TOP SA 2356 AO2A W5X 1/2SF 183/21/19/0316/004/ 90405 SNOINCR 1/5/5 53005 6002/ 10027 20020 PCPN 0000
TOP SA 2356 AO2A W5X 1/2SF 183/21/19/0316/004/90405 SNOINCR 1/5/5 53005 6002/ 10027 20020 PCPN 0000
```

b) Summary of the Day (SOD) for Topeka, KS from Feb 14-16, 1993

| top 93 | 02 | 14 | asos | 38 | 20 |  | 00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| top 93 | 02 | 14 | conv | 38 | 20 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| top 93 | 02 | 15 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| asos | 30 | 16 |  | 69 | 0 |  |  |
| top 93 | 02 | 15 | conv | 30 | 16 | 0.46 | 6.9 |
| top 93 | 02 | 16 | asos | 19 | 8 |  | 05 |
| top 93 | 02 | 16 conv | 20 | 8 | 0.02 | 0.5 | 7 |

## c) CONV Observations for Topeka, Kansas

```
top }93021
0550 27 23 10 sc - - - 10
1150 24 21 10 sc \cdots . - 10
1750 20 18 10 sc - . - 10
235016 11 10 sc - - - 10
0550 0 0 0 3027 10 - -
1150 t t t 27 24 1 s-f -
1750.28E 3.8E 4 25 20 .5 sf -
2350 .18E 3.1E }720161.25 s-f -
30 16 .46E 6.9E 0 -
E - estimated due to high winds
```


# Appendix 2. <br> Examples of summarized data used in ASOS comparison. 

Local Climatological Data (front page)
and Preliminary Local Climatological Data (F-6 form) for Colorado Springs February 1993



Notes:
Coluan 9 readings are taken at 1588
Column 17 Peak wind in M.P.H.

# Appendix 3. <br> Inventory, by month, of available six-hourly CONV and corresponding ASOS data for 13 ASOS CDCP sites 1 September 1992 - 31 August 1993. 

| ALS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 53 | 690 | 51 |
| 1992 | 10 | 53 | 737 | 53 |
| 1992 | 11 | 52 | 717 | 51 |
| 1992 | 12 | 59 | 744 | 59 |
| 1993 | 1 | 51 | 741 | 51 |
| 1993 | 2 | 49 | 659 | 47 |
| 1993 | 3 | 54 | 742 | 54 |
| 1993 | 4 | 52 | 720 | 52 |
| 1993 | 5 | 50 | 740 | 49 |
| 1993 | 6 | 52 | 714 | 50 |
| 1993 | 7 | 53 | 742 | 53 |
| 1993 | 8 | 57 | 743 | 57 |


| COS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 690 | 662 | 659 |
| 1992 | 10 | 735 | 628 | 627 |
| 1992 | 11 | 128 | 711 | 126 |
| 1992 | 12 | 120 | 740 | 120 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 743 | 124 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 664 | 110 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | 738 | 122 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 741 | 123 |
| 1993 | 6 | 121 | 717 | 120 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 742 | 123 |
| 1993 | 8 | 120 | 741 | 118 |


| GRI |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 694 | 695 | 693 |
| 1992 | 10 | 113 | 738 | 113 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | 709 | 116 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | 743 | 124 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 743 | 124 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 668 | 112 |
| 1993 | 3 | 120 | 742 | 119 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | 717 | 119 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 742 | 123 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | 716 | 119 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | 742 | 122 |
| 1993 | 8 | 120 | 736 | 118 |


| AMA |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 690 | 682 | 680 |
| 1992 | 10 | 744 | 741 | 741 |
| 1992 | 11 | 125 | 719 | 125 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | 743 | 124 |
| 1993 | 1 | 123 | 743 | 122 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 671 | 112 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | 743 | 123 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 742 | 123 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 717 | 118 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | 743 | 123 |
| 1993 | 8 | 123 | 742 | 121 |


| DDC |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 136 | 682 | 119 |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | 742 | 124 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | 719 | 120 |
| 1992 | 12 | 120 | 738 | 118 |
| 1993 | 1 | 53 | 739 | 53 |
| 1993 | 2 | 17 | 651 | 4 |
| 1993 | 3 | 113 | 742 | 112 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 718 | 118 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 742 | 123 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 743 | 123 |
| 1993 | 8 | 124 | 742 | 122 |


| ICT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 695 | 676 | 675 |
| 1992 | 10 | 738 | 738 | 732 |
| 1992 | 11 | 116 | 716 | 115 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | 743 | 123 |
| 1993 | 1 | 120 | 739 | 118 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 669 | 112 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | 743 | 123 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 718 | 119 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 742 | 123 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 744 | 124 |
| 1993 | 8 | 124 | 738 | 122 |


| CNK |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 125 | 695 | 121 |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | 743 | 124 |
| 1992 | 11 | 121 | 714 | 120 |
| 1992 | 12 | 120 | 742 | 120 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 738 | 122 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 667 | 111 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | 742 | 123 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 719 | 120 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 740 | 122 |
| 1993 | 6 | 125 | 711 | 121 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | 735 | 122 |
| 1993 | 8 | 124 | 739 | 121 |


| GLD |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 126 | 695 | 122 |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | 743 | 123 |
| 1992 | 11 | 121 | 716 | 121 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | 744 | 124 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 744 | 124 |
| 1993 | 2 | 114 | 666 | 113 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | 742 | 122 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 742 | 123 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 744 | 124 |
| 1993 | 8 | 125 | 742 | 123 |

LNK

| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 694 | 694 | 692 |
| 1992 | 10 | 740 | 735 | 731 |
| 1992 | 11 | 125 | 717 | 125 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | 743 | 124 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 742 | 124 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | 672 | 111 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | 743 | 122 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | 717 | 117 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 739 | 123 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 718 | 119 |
| 1993 | 7 | 132 | 728 | 127 |
| 1993 | 8 | 124 | 738 | 121 |

Appendix 3. Continued

| OKC |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 689 | 688 | 685 |
| 1992 | 10 | 121 | 741 | 121 |
| 1992 | 11 | 116 | 715 | 116 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | 743 | 124 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 741 | 124 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 672 | 112 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | 744 | 124 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 5 | 120 | 734 | 115 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 719 | 120 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 743 | 124 |
| 1993 | 8 | 123 | 742 | 121 |

PUB

| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 463 | 685 | 454 |
| 1992 | 10 | 66 | 743 | 66 |
| 1992 | 11 | 59 | 714 | 59 |
| 1992 | 12 | 62 | 744 | 62 |
| 1993 | 1 | 62 | 744 | 62 |
| 1993 | 2 | 56 | 669 | 55 |
| 1993 | 3 | 62 | 742 | 62 |
| 1993 | 4 | 60 | 720 | 60 |
| 1993 | 5 | 62 | 730 | 61 |
| 1993 | 6 | 60 | 719 | 59 |
| 1993 | 7 | 62 | 744 | 62 |
| 1993 | 8 | 62 | 740 | 60 |


| TOP |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| 1992 | 9 | 690 | 695 | 689 |
| 1992 | 10 | 736 | 742 | 734 |
| 1992 | 11 | 713 | 717 | 711 |
| 1992 | 12 | 129 | 744 | 129 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 744 | 124 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 669 | 112 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | 743 | 123 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 742 | 123 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 720 | 120 |
| 1993 | 7 | 125 | 744 | 125 |
| 1993 | 8 | 124 | 742 | 122 |

TUL

| Year | Mn | Conv | ASOS | Comb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 685 | 685 | 679 |
| 1992 | 10 | 125 | 741 | 125 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | 716 | 120 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | 742 | 124 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 741 | 124 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 669 | 112 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | 742 | 124 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 717 | 120 |
| 1993 | 5 | 124 | 739 | 122 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 719 | 120 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 744 | 124 |
| 1993 | 8 | 124 | 742 | 122 |

## Appendix 4.

Monthly ASOS - CONV comparability statistics for thirteen CDCP sites, September 1992 - August 1993, for daily maximum and minimum temperatures and 6-hourly observations of temperature, dew point, dewpoint depression and relative humidity.

| ALS | Mn |  | Ten | s | M | k | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 50 | -1.40 | 0.83 | -0.0000 | 2.3296 | 1.6248 |
| 1992 | 10 | 53 | -1.42 | 1.15 | -2.2091 | 11.7138 | 1.8171 |
| 1992 | 11 | 51 | -1.27 | 1.15 | 0.9216 | 4.9543 | 1.7093 |
| 1992 | 12 | 59 | -0.85 | 0.96 | -0.3003 | 3.0352 | 1.2756 |
| 1993 | 1 | 51 | -0.57 | 1.14 | -0.3155 | 2.6019 | 1.2603 |
| 1993 | 2 | 47 | -0.94 | 1.17 | 0.3595 | 4.1836 | 1.4875 |
| 1993 | 3 | 54 | -1.20 | 1.16 | 1.0408 | 5.6719 | 1.6611 |
| 1993 | 4 | 51 | -0.78 | 2.55 | 4.0872 | 23.3533 | 2.6420 |
| 1993 | 5 | 48 | -0.60 | 2.46 | 3.1077 | 15.3367 | 2.5125 |
| 93 | 6 | 50 | -0.80 | 1.32 | 1.1870 | 8.7579 | 1.5362 |
| 1993 | 7 | 53 | -1.23 | 0.87 | -0.5949 | 3.5385 | 1.4984 |
| 1993 | 8 | 57 | -0.81 | 1.66 | 3.3831 | 21.7476 | 1.8353 |


|  |  | Ter | erature |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Mn | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $s$ | M | k |
| 1992 | 9 | 29 | -1.24 |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 10 | 31 | -1.55 |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -1.53 |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 12 | 28 | -1.50 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 1 | 30 | -1.10 | Part-time station. <br> Data processed separately. |  |  |
| 1993 | 2 | 27 | -1.48 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 3 | 28 | -1.54 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 4 | 29 | -1.48 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 5 | 24 | -1.46 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -1.03 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 7 | 29 | -1.10 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 8 | 30 | -1.45 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 29 |  | 0.90 | 0.3486 | 3.4969 | 8828 |
| 1992 | 10 | 31 | -1.03 | 0.87 | 0.6368 | 2.6727 | 1.3440 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -0.53 | 0.97 | -0.5606 | 2.7358 | 1.0954 |
| 1992 | 12 | 30 | -0.33 | 0.84 | -0.3448 | 2.464 | 0.8944 |
| 1993 | 1 | 29 | -0.07 | 0.65 | 0.0580 | 2.265 | 0.6433 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | -0.07 | 0.60 | 0.0198 | 2.607 | 0.5976 |
| 1993 | 3 | 30 | -0.33 | 0.96 | -0.2352 | 2.032 | 1.0000 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | -0.73 | 1.11 | -0.5153 | 2.5545 | 1.3166 |
| 1993 | 5 | 26 | -0.69 | 0.93 | 0.5464 | 2.3603 | 1.1435 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -0.30 | 1.12 | -0.2742 | 1.6452 | 1.1402 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -0.39 | 1.23 | 0.1122 | 1.6166 | 1.2700 |
| 993 | 8 | 31 | -0.29 | 1.13 | 1.8986 | 7.5715 | 1.1500 |

ALS - All Hourly Dewpoint Temperatures

| Year | Mn | N | d | S | S | M | K |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 50 | 0.42 | 0.95 | -0.1956 | 2.5278 | 1.0296 |
| 1992 | 10 | 52 | 0.54 | 1.04 | -0.5651 | 4.6564 | 1.1602 |
| 1992 | 11 | 51 | 0.14 | 1.33 | 1.1633 | 5.7863 | 1.3210 |
| 1992 | 12 | 59 | -0.02 | 1.22 | -0.6882 | 6.6752 | 1.2143 |
| 1993 | 1 | 51 | 0.35 | 1.31 | 1.0764 | 8.430 | 1.3431 |
| 1993 | 2 | 47 | 0.38 | 1.09 | 0.6823 | 4.7454 | 1.1485 |
| 1993 | 3 | 54 | 0.80 | 1.63 | 0.3486 | 7.7982 | 1.8002 |
| 1993 | 4 | 52 | 0.85 | 3.43 | -2.3412 | 9.2259 | 3.4973 |
| 1993 | 5 | 49 | -0.16 | 3.75 | -1.0833 | 5.3412 | 3.7143 |
| 1993 | 6 | 50 | -1.74 | 2.45 | -0.8193 | 3.5484 | 2.9833 |
| 1993 | 7 | 52 | -2.46 | 3.82 | -0.6351 | 5.7911 | 4.5149 |
| 1993 | 8 | 57 | -1.16 | 0.90 | -0.4106 | 4.2716 | 1.4630 |

AMA - Max Temperatures

| Year | Mn | $N$ | d | s | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 29 | -0.79 | 0.73 | 0.7734 | 3.6060 | 1.0667 |
| 1992 | 10 | 31 | -1.10 | 0.70 | -0.4417 | 3.2673 | 1.2952 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -1.07 | 0.78 | -1.5453 | 7.3368 | 1.3166 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | -1.00 | 0.89 | 0.0000 | 2.4194 | 1.3320 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -0.65 | 0.71 | -0.5834 | 2.0721 | 0.9504 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | -0.61 | 1.37 | 2.2996 | 10.3321 | 1.4760 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -0.77 | 0.76 | -0.3760 | 4.0423 | 1.0776 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | -1.10 | 0.76 | 0.1556 | 1.6898 | 1.3292 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -0.39 | 1.02 | -0.4767 | 2.6774 | 1.0776 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -0.30 | 0.88 | 0.2907 | 3.1439 | 0.9129 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -0.84 | 0.58 | -0.0102 | 2.6343 | 1.0160 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | -0.90 | 0.60 | -0.0208 | 2.6448 | 1.0776 |

[^0]|  | Mn | N | d | s | $\mathrm{slor}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 50 | -1.82 | 1.24 | 0.2935 | 2.3843 | 2.1954 |
| 1992 | 10 | 52 | -1.96 | 1.48 | 0.0419 | 6.2367 | 2.4495 |
| 1992 | 11 | 51 | -1.41 | 1.19 | -0.4527 | 2.7582 | 1.8365 |
| 1992 | 12 | 59 | -0.83 | 1.15 | -0.3941 | 3.8053 | 1.4082 |
| 1993 | 1 | 51 | -0.92 | 1.44 | -0.2523 | 5.2719 | 1.6977 |
| 1993 | 2 | 47 | -1.32 | 1.24 | 0.1326 | 2.9462 | 1.7983 |
| 1993 | 3 | 54 | -2.00 | 2.11 | 1.5964 | 11.1255 | 2.8932 |
| 993 | 4 | 51 | -1.59 | 4.52 | 2.1280 | 7.1530 | 4.7506 |
| 1993 | 5 | 47 | -0.83 | 4.21 | 1.3514 | 6.0361 | 4.2451 |
| 1993 | 6 | 50 | 0.94 | 2.78 | 0.3677 | 3.1393 | 2.9086 |
|  | 7 | 52 | 1.21 | 4.02 | 0.8563 | 5.1139 | 4.1626 |
| 1993 | 8 | 57 | 0.35 | 1.81 | 1.8146 | 10.7669 | 1.825 |

ALS - All Hourly Relative Humidities (percent)

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | K | $\mathbf{C}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 50 | 1.92 | 1.80 | 0.0545 | 3.9033 | 2.6242 |
| 1992 | 10 | 52 | 2.26 | 1.90 | 0.5364 | 3.6091 | 2.9437 |
| 1992 | 11 | 51 | 3.25 | 2.96 | 0.3718 | 2.9492 | 4.3757 |
| 1992 | 12 | 59 | 2.42 | 3.21 | -0.5370 | 3.6856 | 4.0007 |
| 1993 | 1 | 50 | 2.30 | 3.98 | -0.9924 | 4.3996 | 4.5663 |
| 1993 | 2 | 47 | 3.57 | 3.42 | -0.0258 | 3.0279 | 4.9231 |
| 1993 | 3 | 53 | 2.84 | 3.27 | -1.0502 | 8.2480 | 4.3115 |
| 1993 | 4 | 51 | 2.21 | 3.98 | -1.6548 | 7.7071 | 4.5171 |
| 1993 | 5 | 43 | 2.55 | 3.63 | 0.6016 | 2.9959 | 4.4037 |
| 1993 | 6 | 50 | -0.56 | 2.28 | 0.5896 | 3.3051 | 2.3295 |
| 1993 | 7 | 53 | -0.84 | 2.98 | -0.2332 | 3.9713 | 3.0635 |
| 1993 | 8 | 56 | -0.57 | 2.76 | -0.4993 | 8.1735 | 2.7939 |


| Year | Mn | N | $d$ | St | M |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 680 | -0.80 | 1.09 | 0.1434 | 7.0247 | 1.3477 |
| 1992 | 10 | 741 | -0.95 | 1.15 | -0.2140 | 7.4554 | 1.4940 |
| 1992 | 11 | 25 | -0.59 | 1.09 | -0.2993 | 3.838 | 39 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | -0.81 | 1.32 | -1.7416 | 14.1576 | 1.5460 |
| 1993 | 1 | 122 | -0.44 | 0.98 | -0.0284 | 5.173 | 1.0712 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -0.31 | 1.93 | 3.356 | 22.189 | 1.9434 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -0.40 | 1.40 | -0.4772 | 12.0023 | 1.4455 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | -0.53 | 1.44 | 2.5748 | 18.3116 | 1.5275 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | 0.02 | 2.05 | 3.4474 | 21.3922 | 2.0422 |
| 1993 | 6 | 117 | 0.03 | 2.04 | 4.2196 | 28.7182 | 2.0360 |
| 1993 | 7 | 122 | -0.53 | 0.85 | -0.2535 | 5.2485 | 1.0041 |
| 1993 | 8 | 120 | -0.53 | 0.90 | -0.167 | 3.4202 | 1.0368 |

## Appendix 4. Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | c |
| 1992 | 9 | 28 | -0.82 | 0.86 | -0.3296 | 2.9211 | 1.1802 |
| 1992 | 10 | 31 | -0.61 | 0.92 | 0.1975 | 2.1290 | 1.0925 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | 0.83 | 2.59 | 1.5050 | 4.2652 | 2.6771 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | 0.77 | 3.61 | 1.3392 | 4.3592 | 3.6367 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | 0.71 | 4.08 | 1.0066 | 3.6225 | 4.0798 |
| 1993 | 2 | 27 | 0.85 | 3.24 | 1.2616 | 4.2572 | 3.2942 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | 0.77 | 2.29 | 2.4583 | 9.6186 | 2.3827 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | 0.10 | 2.25 | 1.4267 | 6.2726 | 2.2136 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | 0.06 | 1.44 | 1.3943 | 4.6674 | 1.4142 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | 0.40 | 2.79 | 2.1871 | 11.3014 | 2.7689 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | 0.39 | 1.61 | 1.7169 | 4.8059 | 1.6264 |
| 1993 | 8 | 30 | 0.57 | 2.53 | 2.0594 | 6.8790 | 2.5495 |


|  | Mn |  |  |  | M | k |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 121 | -0.49 | 0.83 | -0.4762 | 3.2930 | 0.9578 |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | -0.88 | 1.09 | -0.4270 | 5.0652 | 1.3941 |
| 199 | 11 | 120 | -0.85 | 1.10 | 1.0760 | 9.9931 | 1.3904 |
| 1992 | 12 | 120 | -0.80 | 0.83 | 1.6606 | 12.6800 | 1.1475 |
| 1993 | 1 | 122 | -1.11 | 1.30 | -4.9859 | 41.3910 | 1.7082 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | -0.95 | 0.92 | -1.6188 | 11.067 | 1.3186 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -0.28 | 0.86 | -0.5878 | 3.4582 | 0.9017 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | -0.20 | 0.85 | 0.4729 | 7.5646 | 0.8697 |
| 1993 | 5 | 122 | -0.21 | 0.88 | -0.1477 | 4.4037 | 0.9054 |
|  | 6 | 121 | 0.18 | 1.27 | 2.3860 | 20.7300 | 1.2792 |
| 19 | 7 | 121 | 0.94 | 1.71 | 4.0212 | 40.7053 | 1.9455 |
| 993 | 8 | 121 | 0.77 | 1.72 | 5.2317 | 36.6393 | 1.87 |

AMA - All Hourly Dewpoint Temperatures

| Year | Mn | N | d | $\mathbf{d}$ | $M$ | K | K |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 680 | -0.26 | 0.78 | -0.7140 | 10.1652 | 0.8260 |
| 1992 | 10 | 741 | 0.18 | 1.59 | 2.9369 | 24.9761 | 1.5979 |
| 1992 | 11 | 125 | -0.32 | 1.27 | 1.3983 | 11.0833 | 1.3084 |
| 1992 | 12 | 122 | -0.55 | 1.32 | 1.6951 | 13.6117 | 1.4229 |
| 1993 | 1 | 122 | -0.30 | 1.59 | -3.5869 | 26.1039 | 1.6094 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 0.02 | 1.05 | 0.4765 | 4.5066 | 1.0437 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | 0.02 | 0.99 | -0.1495 | 5.9573 | 0.9836 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | 0.24 | 1.95 | 4.1552 | 26.7115 | 1.9575 |
| 1993 | 5 | 121 | 0.60 | 1.94 | 4.3474 | 25.4504 | 2.0226 |
| 1993 | 6 | 117 | -0.04 | 2.38 | -2.1561 | 19.1810 | 2.3661 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | -0.14 | 1.71 | -5.7099 | 48.5827 | 1.7132 |
| 1993 | 8 | 121 | -0.06 | 0.86 | -0.5941 | 5.7111 | 0.8576 |

CNK - Max Temperatures

|  | Mn |  |  | s | M | k |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 29 | -0.55 | 0.91 | -0.1271 | 2.0575 | 1.0505 |
| 1992 | 10 | 31 | -1.39 | 0.88 | 0.2311 | 2.0186 | 1.6363 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -1.50 | 0.68 | 0.3148 | 2.5957 | 1.6432 |
| 1992 | 12 | 30 | -1.23 | 0.94 | -0.524 | 4.4707 | 1.5384 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -1.42 | 0.72 | -0.2726 | 2.6836 | 1.5862 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | -2.07 | 2.37 | -1.3962 | 8.912 | 3.1168 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -1.26 | 0.68 | -0.2729 | 2.9196 | 1.4256 |
| 93 | 4 | 28 | -0.82 | 0.77 | 0.1714 | 2.4396 | 1.1180 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -0.81 | 0.87 | -0.0722 | 1.7796 | 1.1778 |
| 1993 | 6 | 29 | 0.34 | 0.81 | -0.2801 | 2.0735 | 0.8710 |
| 1993 | 7 | 30 | 1.23 | 0.73 | 0.1609 | 2.6300 | 1.4 |
| 993 | 8 | 31 | 0.4 | 1.03 | 0.56 | 2.6 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | c |
| 92 | 9 | 680 | -0.53 | 1.35 | 0.2193 | 6.4049 | 1.4537 |
| 1992 | 10 | 741 | -1.14 | 1.97 | -1.6560 | 14.5976 | 2.2735 |
| 1992 | 11 | 125 | -0.27 | 1.55 | -0.7524 | 4.906 | 1.5697 |
| 1992 | 12 | 122 | -0.08 | 1.47 | 0.4160 | 5.479 | 1.4710 |
| 3 | 1 | 122 | -0.15 | 1.67 | 2.4611 | 17.873 | 1.6694 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -0.33 | 1.84 | 2.9360 | 19.827 | 1.8637 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -0.42 | 1.57 | 0.3959 | 5.8265 | 1.6180 |
| 93 | 4 | 119 | -0.77 | 2.47 | -0.1217 | 15.3983 | 2.5766 |
| 1993 | 5 | 121 | -0.78 | 1.87 | -1.5674 | 7.871 | 2.0206 |
| 1993 | 6 | 115 | -0.41 | 2.08 | -0.0992 | 8.6478 | 2.1080 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | -0.55 | 1.37 | 0.3537 | 7.8770 | 1.4761 |
| 1993 | 8 | 120 | -0.47 | 1.30 | 0.2987 | 2.8922 | 1.3 |


|  | Mn |  |  |  |  | k |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 29 | . 7 | 3.39 | 2.3317 | 3095 | 4988 |
| 1992 | 10 | 31 | 0.39 | 3.05 | 3.0694 | 13.2714 | 3.0268 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | 0.20 | 2.04 | 0.8676 | 3.0198 | 2.0166 |
| 1992 | 12 | 30 | 1.03 | 4.08 | 1.8072 | 5.4357 | 4.1433 |
| 1993 | 1 | 28 | 0.04 | 5.01 | 1.0430 | 4.0586 | 4.9172 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | 0.75 | 3.96 | 1.5944 | 4.4318 | 3.9596 |
| 1993 | 3 | 30 | 0.87 | 2.70 | 1.7007 | 7.4507 | 2.7928 |
| 1993 | 4 | 28 | 0.79 | 2.41 | 2.2501 | 8.6441 | 2.4928 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | 0.68 | 2.37 | 1.7076 | 5.2283 | 2.4297 |
| 1993 | 6 | 29 | 0.93 | 2.83 | 2.5570 | 9.5851 | 2.9302 |
| 1993 | 7 | 30 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.1475 | 5.2443 | 1.5492 |
| 1993 | 8 | 30 | 1.10 | 1.83 | 1.8611 | 5.9455 | 2.1055 |

AMA - All Hourly Relative Humidities (percent)

|  | Mn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 680 | 0.86 | 2.50 | -0.3241 | 6.1139 | 2.6423 |
| 1992 | 10 | 738 | 1.47 | 2.74 | 0.2755 | 5.4987 | 3.1068 |
| 1992 | 11 | 124 | -0.10 | 3.30 | -0.2175 | 3.111 | 3.2914 |
| 1992 | 12 | 120 | 0.09 | 3.51 | -0.1594 | 3.303 | 3.4926 |
| 993 | 1 | 121 | 0.55 | 4.12 | -0.5147 | 4.4948 | 4.1439 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | 0.90 | 3.66 | -0.3465 | 4.5096 | 3.7492 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | 0.43 | 2.82 | -0.8868 | 4.8178 | 2.8379 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | 0.66 | 2.69 | -1.0079 | 5.8020 | 2.7624 |
| 1993 | 5 | 120 | 1.22 | 3.03 | 1.4716 | 7.8972 | 3.2537 |
| 1993 | 6 | 115 | 0.53 | 3.14 | -1.0293 | 7.4126 | 3.1740 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | 0.69 | 2.65 | -1.3753 | 7.3008 | 2.7277 |
| 993 |  | 120 | 0.66 |  | 0.1587 | 2.9753 |  |

CNK - All Hourly Dewpoint Temperatures

|  | Mn |  |  |  |  | k |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 121 | -1.05 | 0.68 | -0.0967 | 4.3896 | 1.2498 |
| 19 | 10 | 124 | -1.07 | 1.00 | 1.4607 | 14.9919 | 1.4619 |
| 199 | 11 | 120 | -1.16 | 1.04 | 1.7054 | 12.1326 | 1.5519 |
| 19 | 12 | 120 | -1.01 | 1.10 | -0.0596 | 3.3165 | 1.4860 |
| 199 | 1 | 121 | -1.07 | 1.11 | 1.1555 | 9.1371 | 1.5428 |
| 19 | 2 | 111 | -1.12 | 0.91 | -0.9822 | 9.8315 | 1.4395 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | 0.14 | 0.82 | 0.4433 | 4.0154 | 0.8313 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | 0.46 | 1.90 | -1.0695 | 14.2149 | 1.9429 |
| 1993 | 5 | 122 | 1.16 | 1.14 | 0.5471 | 9.8410 | 1.6246 |
|  | 6 | 120 | 1.54 | 1.65 | -0.4126 | 15.0021 | 2.2528 |
| 1993 | 7 | 121 | 0.89 | 1.25 | -2.8935 | 23.7843 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$M n=$ Month; $d=$ systematic difference; $s=$ estimated standard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness; k = kurtosis; $\mathbf{C}=$ operational comparability.

## Appendix 4. Continued

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 121 | 0.56 | 1.16 | -0.4658 | 4.1271 | 1.2856 |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | 0.19 | 1.45 | -1.0241 | 6.0303 | 1.4536 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | 0.31 | 1.31 | -0.2447 | 4.8269 | 1.3447 |
| 1992 | 12 | 120 | 0.21 | 1.22 | -0.5939 | 4.9094 | 1.2281 |
| 1993 | 1 | 122 | 0.11 | 1.40 | 0.1193 | 9.0795 | 1.3938 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | 0.17 | 1.03 | -0.3422 | 6.3027 | 1.0354 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -0.41 | 1.20 | -0.5519 | 3.4634 | 1.2656 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | -0.46 | 2.35 | 3.2782 | 18.6785 | 2.3892 |
| 1993 | 5 | 122 | -1.38 | 1.49 | -0.9503 | 8.3067 | 2.0244 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | -1.35 | 2.00 | -0.2653 | 8.9373 | 2.4083 |
| 1993 | 7 | 122 | 0.05 | 1.83 | 2.6395 | 23.9726 | 1.8197 |
| 1993 | 8 | 121 | 0.00 | 1.87 | 3.2715 | 38.9165 | 1.8631 |


| Year | An | Houn | Rel d | s | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ercent) } \end{aligned}$ | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 121 | -1.39 | 2.40 | 0.5222 | 3.1689 | 2.7661 |
| 1992 | 10 | 123 | -1.00 | 3.15 | -0.1211 | 3.3602 | 3.2914 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | -1.38 | 3.74 | -0.1631 | 3.4359 | 3.9728 |
| 1992 | 12 | 120 | -1.05 | 3.65 | 0.0090 | 3.4973 | 3.7830 |
| 1993 | 1 | 120 | -0.62 | 3.82 | 0.0938 | 2.7643 | 3.8540 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | -0.83 | 3.38 | -0.2756 | 5.3611 | 3.4656 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | 0.83 | 3.13 | -0.0044 | 3.6024 | 3.2236 |
| 1993 | 4 | 118 | 1.75 | 3.17 | -0.5354 | 4.7655 | 3.6028 |
| 1993 | 5 | 121 | 3.25 | 3.08 | -0.5246 | 5.3795 | 4.4681 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | 3.02 | 3.74 | -0.5727 | 6.9597 | 4.7969 |
| 1993 | 7 | 121 | 0.34 | 3.18 | 0.5960 | 5.8458 | 3.1855 |
| 1993 | 8 | 119 | 0.24 | 2.40 | 0.8152 | 5.7539 | 2.3977 |

COS - All Hourly Temperatures

| Year | Mn | N | d | S | $M$ | K | C |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 659 | -1.10 | 0.98 | 0.6034 | 8.7572 | 1.4720 |
| 1992 | 10 | 627 | -1.11 | 0.83 | 0.1649 | 3.9332 | 1.3829 |
| 1992 | 11 | 126 | -0.68 | 0.94 | -0.1371 | 3.6181 | 1.1547 |
| 1992 | 12 | 118 | -0.74 | 1.60 | 1.1381 | 23.8362 | 1.7588 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.60 | 1.12 | -1.2190 | 6.8010 | 1.2732 |
| 1993 | 2 | 110 | -0.63 | 1.20 | -0.4547 | 7.5604 | 1.3518 |
| 1993 | 3 | 120 | -0.10 | 2.20 | 2.7118 | 33.4712 | 2.1909 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | -0.10 | 1.06 | 1.1307 | 12.3523 | 1.0567 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.29 | 1.01 | -1.8408 | 10.6993 | 1.0438 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | -0.14 | 1.13 | 0.1742 | 8.3999 | 1.1339 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | -0.53 | 1.26 | -2.0423 | 9.9618 | 1.3645 |
| 1993 | 8 | 118 | -0.29 | 1.13 | -1.4876 | 13.4209 | 1.1644 |

COS - Max Temperatures

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | $M$ | $k$ | $C$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 27 | -1.70 | 0.87 | -1.9281 | 8.0524 | 1.9052 |
| 1992 | 10 | 24 | -1.50 | 0.51 | 0.0000 | 0.9184 | 1.5811 |
| 1992 | 11 | 25 | -1.52 | 0.77 | -0.9864 | 5.0706 | 1.6971 |
| 1992 | 12 | 24 | -1.25 | 0.68 | 0.3039 | 2.0430 | 1.4142 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -1.19 | 0.95 | 0.1452 | 2.4535 | 1.5134 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | -1.21 | 0.57 | -0.0239 | 2.5491 | 1.3363 |
| 1993 | 3 | 25 | -0.52 | 1.45 | 0.2759 | 5.8522 | 1.5100 |
| 1993 | 4 | 29 | -0.62 | 0.73 | 2.0173 | 6.8115 | 0.9469 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -0.74 | 0.51 | 0.3139 | 2.4552 | 0.8980 |
| 1993 | 6 | 28 | -0.50 | 0.64 | 0.0000 | 2.5283 | 0.8018 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -0.65 | 0.55 | 1.1459 | 3.2339 | 0.8424 |
| 1993 | 8 | 29 | -0.62 | 0.56 | 1.0465 | 2.9934 | 0.8305 |

COS - Min Temperatures

| Year Mn | $N$ | d | $\mathbf{S}$ | $M$ | $k$ | $C$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 28 | -0.43 | 1.07 | 2.4519 | 10.8511 | 1.1339 |
| 1992 | 10 | 25 | -0.88 | 0.53 | 0.1557 | 3.2134 | 1.0198 |
| 1992 | 11 | 25 | 0.84 | 3.73 | 1.7016 | 4.4236 | 3.7470 |
| 1992 | 12 | 23 | 1.61 | 3.93 | 1.8770 | 6.4220 | 4.1651 |
| 1993 | 1 | 30 | 0.60 | 3.15 | 0.5375 | 4.9768 | 3.1517 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | 0.64 | 3.25 | 1.1302 | 5.0423 | 3.2514 |
| 1993 | 3 | 13 | -0.08 | 0.76 | 1.1592 | 4.8038 | 0.7338 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | 1.33 | 2.43 | 1.9337 | 6.6982 | 2.7325 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | 0.77 | 2.43 | 3.2692 | 15.0532 | 2.5145 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | 0.73 | 1.80 | 1.1772 | 4.6553 | 1.9149 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | 0.48 | 0.77 | 1.5415 | 4.8248 | 0.8980 |
| 1993 | 8 | 28 | 0.79 | 1.69 | 2.3385 | 8.1827 | 1.8323 |


| COS All Hourly Dewpoint Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year Mn | N | d | S | M | K | C |  |  |
| 1992 | 9 | 653 | -1.26 | 2.38 | -2.4830 | 10.5102 | 2.6868 |  |
| 1992 | 10 | 606 | -2.02 | 3.73 | -2.0448 | 6.8966 | 4.2438 |  |
| 1992 | 11 | 125 | 0.38 | 1.69 | -1.1047 | 7.4724 | 1.7228 |  |
| 1992 | 12 | 116 | -0.90 | 2.78 | -1.9540 | 11.4683 | 2.9066 |  |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.50 | 1.14 | -1.2511 | 6.5623 | 1.2378 |  |
| 1993 | 2 | 110 | 1.23 | 2.01 | -1.3076 | 10.7173 | 2.3452 |  |
| 1993 | 3 | 120 | 0.74 | 2.79 | -0.1148 | 5.5305 | 2.8708 |  |
| 1993 | 4 | 116 | -1.16 | 3.46 | -1.9957 | 5.8501 | 3.6377 |  |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | 0.40 | 1.37 | -1.4908 | 9.4056 | 1.4228 |  |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 0.33 | 1.23 | -1.5410 | 8.5277 | 1.2682 |  |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | 0.31 | 1.83 | -3.4857 | 33.6532 | 1.8523 |  |
| 1993 | 8 | 118 | 0.43 | 1.05 | 1.0126 | 5.8828 | 1.1312 |  |

COS - All Hourly Dewpoint Depressions

| Year $M n$ | N | d | S | $M$ | K | C |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 656 | 0.23 | 2.58 | 2.1557 | 10.7872 | 2.5875 |
| 1992 | 10 | 614 | 1.11 | 3.94 | 1.9084 | 6.4270 | 4.0934 |
| 1992 | 11 | 125 | -1.06 | 1.95 | 0.4221 | 4.6574 | 2.2091 |
| 1992 | 12 | 117 | 0.16 | 3.30 | 1.1929 | 11.1520 | 3.2882 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.10 | 1.38 | 0.3323 | 5.8609 | 1.3825 |
| 1993 | 2 | 110 | -1.85 | 2.28 | -0.1224 | 5.9898 | 2.9326 |
| 1993 | 3 | 120 | -0.94 | 2.77 | -0.5973 | 4.4412 | 2.9169 |
| 1993 | 4 | 115 | 0.91 | 3.26 | 1.8728 | 6.2398 | 3.3764 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.69 | 1.48 | 0.0091 | 6.3905 | 1.6255 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | -0.51 | 1.66 | 1.0927 | 11.4996 | 1.7272 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | -0.84 | 2.32 | 1.4949 | 21.5472 | 2.4545 |
| 1993 | 8 | 118 | -0.72 | 1.72 | -1.3039 | 6.7519 | 1.8572 |


| Year | Mn | $N$ | $d$ | s | $M$ | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 658 | 0.05 | 2.89 | -0.8681 | 6.4137 | 2.8862 |
| 1992 | 10 | 621 | -0.72 | 4.15 | -1.0250 | 3.8882 | 4.2107 |
| 1992 | 11 | 121 | 2.76 | 4.38 | 0.1850 | 4.4257 | 5.1646 |
| 1992 | 12 | 115 | 0.13 | 4.63 | -0.0970 | 4.2205 | 4.6148 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 0.22 | 3.43 | 0.0693 | 4.5689 | 3.4212 |
| 1993 | 2 | 105 | 4.22 | 4.65 | -0.1556 | 3.8352 | 6.2632 |
| 1993 | 3 | 112 | 1.47 | 4.67 | 1.1715 | 4.8450 | 4.8771 |
| 1993 | 4 | 118 | -1.17 | 4.10 | -0.9877 | 4.0210 | 4.2426 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | 1.12 | 2.60 | -0.7269 | 8.5516 | 2.8179 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | 0.68 | 2.42 | -0.5149 | 9.3219 | 2.5032 |
| 1993 | 7 | 121 | 1.05 | 2.22 | 1.7527 | 9.6850 | 2.4510 |
| 1993 | 8 | 117 | 0.89 | 2.93 | 0.4701 | 6.4089 | 3.0514 |

## Appendix 4. Continued

| DDC - All Hourly Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k |  |
| 1992 | 9 | 119 | -0.97 | 1.28 | 1.0632 | 16.2913 | 1.6009 |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | -1.27 | 1.44 | -1.4166 | 8.9903 | 1.9156 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | -1.26 | 1.13 | -0.4480 | 4.2059 | 1.6907 |
| 1992 | 12 | 118 | -0.95 | 1.83 | 0.2433 | 12.2565 | 2.0502 |
| 1993 | 1 | 53 | -0.60 | 0.84 | -0.8248 | 3.7234 | 1.0279 |
| 1993 | 2 | 4 | -3.00 | 6.00 | -0.7500 | 1.3125 | 6.0000 |
| 1993 | 3 | 112 | -0.72 | 1.51 | 5.2933 | 45.7771 | 1.6664 |
| 1993 | , | 115 | -0.12 | 1.18 | 1.8283 | 10.0727 | 1.1795 |
| 1993 | 5 | 122 | 0.61 | 1.08 | 0.4685 | 3.0814 | 1.2347 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 0.93 | 1.13 | 1.2505 | 8.4073 | 1.4577 |
| 1993 | 7 | 122 | 0.70 | 1.51 | 3.1662 | 27.8091 | 1.6645 |
| 1993 | 8 | 122 | 0.30 | 1.11 | 1.2458 | 13.8197 | 1.1488 |

DDC - Max Temperatures

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | K | C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 26 | -1.73 | 0.92 | -1.7125 | 6.6262 | 1.9513 |
| 1992 | 10 | 29 | -1.52 | 0.74 | -0.4582 | 2.4925 | 1.6815 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -1.60 | 1.25 | -1.1676 | 6.1869 | 2.0166 |
| 1992 | 12 | 25 | -1.44 | 1.73 | -0.1659 | 2.1336 | 2.2271 |
| 1993 | 1 | 14 | -0.86 | 0.77 | -0.2105 | 1.5861 | 1.1339 |
| 1993 | 3 | 28 | -1.54 | 1.86 | -3.2830 | 15.5814 | 2.3830 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | 0.07 | 0.74 | 0.3968 | 2.9277 | 0.303 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | 1.48 | 1.15 | 0.2919 | 1.9625 | 1.8665 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | 1.77 | 0.94 | -0.0351 | 2.8675 | 1.9916 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | 1.13 | 1.18 | 0.9498 | 3.0558 | 1.6164 |
| 1993 | 8 | 30 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 0.5076 | 1.8569 | 1.5055 |


| DDC - Min Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Mn | N | d | s | M | k | c |  |
| 1992 | 9 | 27 | 0.07 | 3.05 | 1.7418 | 5.7095 | 2.9938 |
| 1992 | 10 | 29 | -0.97 | 2.44 | 1.1550 | 5.2857 | 2.5864 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -0.67 | 1.79 | 0.9117 | 3.9748 | 1.8797 |
| 1992 | 12 | 29 | 0.31 | 3.92 | 1.9293 | 7.4176 | 3.8641 |
| 1993 | 1 | 13 | -0.23 | 3.44 | 0.9713 | 3.3461 | 3.3166 |
| 1993 | 3 | 27 | 0.22 | 2.28 | 1.3948 | 6.0158 | 2.2443 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | 0.90 | 3.11 | 1.8034 | 5.0991 | 3.1885 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | 0.68 | 2.23 | 2.1119 | 7.5024 | 2.2930 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | 1.07 | 3.10 | 1.9695 | 7.9497 | 3.2249 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | 0.84 | 1.19 | 2.0412 | 6.9876 | 1.4368 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | 0.39 | 1.93 | 2.2233 | 8.8690 | 1.9344 |


| ar |  | N | $d$ | s |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 117 | 0.05 | 1.46 | 0.6937 | 083 |  |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | 0.33 | 1.80 | 2.0095 | 11.3484 | 1.8250 |
| 1992 | 11 | 119 | -0.26 | 2.10 | 2.4626 | 11.2095 | 2.1084 |
| 1992 | 12 | 118 | -0.58 | 1.82 | 1.6992 | 18.4843 | 1.9000 |
| 1993 | 1 | 53 | -0.81 | 1.04 | -0.6753 | 3.7628 | 1.3103 |
| 1993 | 2 | 4 | -0.75 | 1.50 | -0.7500 | 1.3125 | 1.5000 |
| 1993 | 3 | 112 | 0.11 | 2.12 | 2.7184 | 13.2465 | 2.1171 |
| 1993 | 4 | 116 | 0.23 | 2.59 | -0.2700 | 15.8885 | 2.5848 |
| 93 | 5 | 123 | -0.22 | 1.60 | 4.4271 | 38.753 | 1.6104 |
| 93 | 6 | 120 | -0.34 | 1.13 | -1.6086 | 6.5221 | 1.1797 |
| 1993 | 7 | 122 | 0.03 | 1.02 | -0.4356 | 4.8220 | 1.0163 |
| 993 | 8 | 121 | 0.70 | 0.84 | 0.1009 | 3.7049 | 1.0947 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 9 | 117 | -1.03 | 1.68 | 0.1050 | 13.0631 | . 9612 |
| 992 | 10 | 124 | -1.60 | 2.41 | -1.5936 | 8.2309 | 2.8793 |
| 1992 | 11 | 119 | -1.00 | 2.38 | -2.0049 | 8.175 | 2.5749 |
| 1992 | 12 | 118 | -0.37 | 1.88 | -0.4070 | 12.5751 | 1.9089 |
| 1993 | 1 | 53 | 0.21 | 1.45 | -0.0198 | 3.9429 | 1.4472 |
| 1993 | 2 | 4 | -2.25 | 4.50 | -0.7500 | 1.3125 | 4.5000 |
| 1993 | 3 | 112 | -0.83 | 1.96 | -2.2249 | 10.1527 | 2.123 |
| 1993 | 4 | 118 | -0.49 | 3.30 | 0.2616 | 10.9675 | 3.326 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | 0.98 | 1.97 | 0.6536 | 4.2413 | 2.190 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 1.27 | 1.84 | 1.3025 | 4.7071 | 2.224 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | 0.73 | 1.97 | 1.4111 | 6.5869 | 2.0914 |
| 1993 | 8 | 121 | -0.39 | 1.36 | 1.4552 | 9.7570 | 1.4 |


| DDC | All | Hourly Relative Humidities | (percent) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Mn | N | d | S | M | K | C |
| 1992 | 9 | 115 | 1.73 | 2.39 | 0.0521 | 7.4357 | 2.9463 |
| 1992 | 10 | 119 | 2.32 | 3.69 | 0.1500 | 5.6894 | 4.3494 |
| 1992 | 11 | 115 | 1.61 | 4.69 | 0.4965 | 3.9851 | 4.9393 |
| 1992 | 12 | 115 | 0.85 | 3.83 | 0.1279 | 4.3009 | 3.9070 |
| 1993 | 1 | 52 | -0.62 | 4.38 | -0.0612 | 3.1184 | 4.3849 |
| 1993 | 2 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1993 | 3 | 110 | 1.40 | 3.75 | 0.7995 | 5.0318 | 3.9926 |
| 1993 | 4 | 113 | 0.89 | 4.02 | 0.0143 | 5.5901 | 4.0983 |
| 1993 | 5 | 122 | -1.77 | 3.76 | -0.0802 | 4.3100 | 4.1411 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | -2.02 | 2.96 | -0.7089 | 5.0434 | 3.5697 |
| 1993 | 7 | 121 | -0.85 | 3.09 | 0.3641 | 3.4486 | 3.1866 |
| 1993 | 8 | 121 | 1.09 | 2.80 | -0.3579 | 5.0260 | 2.9912 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 |  | -0.97 | 1.22 |  |  | 1.5524 |
| 19 | 10 | 123 | -1.14 | 1.35 | -1.1158 | 4.6876 | . 7623 |
| 1992 | 11 | 121 | -0.32 | 1.59 | 2.6264 | 24.3582 | . 6135 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -0.44 | 1.36 | -0.5439 | 5.3116 | . 4227 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.15 | 1.35 | 2.4174 | 15.2265 | 1.3500 |
| 1993 | 2 | 113 | -0.11 | 2.08 | 2.7762 | 21.4124 | 2.0739 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | 0.03 | 1.17 | 0.4580 | 9.3048 | . 1665 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | -0.18 | 1.13 | -0.3760 | 5.2036 | . 1376 |
|  | 5 | 123 | -0.37 | 0.96 | -1.1513 | 5.3586 | . 0241 |
|  | 6 | 119 | -0.48 | 1.05 | -1.2802 | 6.0645 | . 1486 |
|  | 7 | 124 | -0.28 | 1.02 | 0.8553 | 8.9488 | 11 |
| 1993 | 8 | 123 | -0.48 | 1.23 | 0.5428 | 8.9322 | 1.3 |


| Year | Mn | Ten | $\mathrm{d}$ |  | M | k |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 27 | -1.19 | 0.74 | 0.2781 | 1.8059 | 1.3878 |
| 1992 | 10 | 30 | -1.30 | 0.99 | 0.1826 | 2.4436 | 1.6228 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -0.63 | 0.93 | -1.7502 | 6.3787 | 1.1106 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | -0.61 | 1.23 | -0.4246 | 3.1955 | 1.3560 |
| 993 | 1 | 30 | -0.43 | 1.43 | 0.4167 | 2.8677 | 1.4720 |
| 1993 | 2 | 26 | -0.62 | 1.24 | -0.8467 | 3.3591 | 1.3587 |
| 19 | 3 | 31 | 0.16 | 1.51 | -2.0719 | 9.6414 | 1.4919 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | -0.07 | 0.87 | 0.1213 | 2.6999 | 0.8563 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -0.39 | 1.20 | -0.4801 | 1.9198 | 1.2443 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -0.90 | 0.88 | -0.4736 | 2.0440 | 1.2517 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -0.68 | 0.65 | 0.3015 | 2.9067 | 0.9333 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | -1.06 | 0.89 | 0.3921 | 3.1400 | . 3 |

$M n=$ Month; $d=$ systematic difference; $s=$ estimated $s$ fandard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness;
k = kurtosis; $\mathbf{C}=$ operational comparability.

## Appendix 4. Continued

| GLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Mn | Mn | N | d | d | s | M | K |
| 1992 | 9 | 28 | -1.00 | 1.96 | 0.8787 | 4.7276 | 2.1712 |
| 1992 | 10 | 30 | -0.60 | 2.03 | 1.2442 | 4.4809 | 2.0817 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | 1.37 | 4.06 | 1.0559 | 3.4036 | 4.2151 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | 0.65 | 3.48 | 2.3342 | 9.9862 | 3.4827 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | 0.32 | 4.75 | 1.0104 | 4.3109 | 4.6835 |
| 1993 | 2 | 25 | 0.88 | 4.43 | 1.8786 | 5.6531 | 4.4272 |
| 1993 | 3 | 30 | 1.03 | 3.09 | 1.0608 | 3.7078 | 3.2094 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | 1.10 | 2.99 | 1.2953 | 4.0552 | 3.1358 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | 0.29 | 2.51 | 1.9437 | 6.6380 | 2.4822 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | 0.27 | 2.26 | 2.0936 | 7.4151 | 2.2361 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | 0.35 | 1.47 | 1.7592 | 5.2611 | 1.4919 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | 0.29 | 1.68 | 1.3179 | 4.1106 | 1.6752 |


| GRI - All | Hourly Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year Mn | N | d | S | M | K | C |  |
| 1992 | 9 | 693 | -0.69 | 1.05 | -0.1963 | 12.0423 | 1.2605 |
| 1992 | 10 | 112 | -1.28 | 1.50 | -1.9622 | 17.7087 | 1.9662 |
| 1992 | 11 | 113 | -0.81 | 1.33 | -0.0213 | 10.2078 | 1.5515 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -0.77 | 1.39 | 3.3625 | 32.5690 | 1.5786 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -1.42 | 1.44 | 3.8886 | 32.6107 | 2.0201 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -0.67 | 0.93 | -0.8878 | 6.4281 | 1.1456 |
| 1993 | 3 | 119 | -0.49 | 1.14 | 2.0037 | 14.7907 | 1.2367 |
| 1993 | 4 | 117 | -0.29 | 1.09 | 3.1529 | 19.3778 | 1.1247 |
| 1993 | 5 | 121 | -0.13 | 1.02 | 0.0786 | 9.9143 | 1.0285 |
| 1993 | 6 | 117 | -0.14 | 1.36 | -1.8766 | 11.3119 | 1.3650 |
| 1993 | 7 | 121 | -0.16 | 1.35 | -1.3944 | 19.4694 | 1.3576 |
| 1993 | 8 | 118 | -0.38 | 0.99 | 0.0687 | 4.0197 | 1.0536 |


| GLD - All | Hourly Dewpoint Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | K | C |
| 1992 | 9 | 122 | 0.28 | 1.31 | 0.3298 | 7.5694 | 1.3367 |
| 1992 | 10 | 123 | -0.21 | 0.85 | 0.2538 | 5.0414 | 0.8742 |
| 1992 | 11 | 121 | -0.11 | 1.40 | 0.4238 | 16.4254 | 1.3995 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -0.11 | 1.34 | 1.3744 | 10.6822 | 1.3380 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 0.11 | 1.59 | 3.5335 | 20.2066 | 1.5862 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 0.03 | 2.11 | 3.0264 | 25.3979 | 2.1023 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | 0.31 | 1.31 | 0.0577 | 5.6733 | 1.3367 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | 0.60 | 1.55 | 1.1602 | 18.0991 | 1.6526 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.06 | 1.07 | -2.5692 | 16.6083 | 1.0707 |
| 1993 | 6 | 118 | 0.03 | 1.18 | -2.9178 | 20.6870 | 1.1789 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 0.36 | 1.56 | 3.1627 | 21.0398 | 1.5938 |
| 1993 | 8 | 123 | 0.24 | 1.27 | 2.9534 | 20.8014 | 1.2847 |



| + | Mn | N | d | 5 | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 122 | -1.25 | 1.92 | -1.4480 | 10.1551 | 2.2832 |
| 1992 | 10 | 123 | -0.93 | 1.66 | -1.5455 | 6.0152 | 1.8914 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | -0.35 | 1.51 | -0.9102 | 9.9122 | 1.5438 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -0.33 | 1.50 | -2.0727 | 10.6325 | 1.5266 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.26 | 1.26 | -1.3947 | 8.9684 | 1.2763 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -0.12 | 1.97 | -1.9230 | 18.6899 | 1.9617 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | -0.28 | 1.39 | 0.3363 | 4.0963 | 1.4142 |
| 1993 | 4 | 118 | -0.84 | 1.56 | -2.2084 | 12.2897 | 1.7684 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.31 | 1.21 | 0.9061 | 6.6948 | 1.2429 |
| 1993 | 6 | 118 | -0.53 | 1.57 | 0.1641 | 10.9573 | 1.6519 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | -0.65 | 1.70 | -1.4404 | 6.8540 | 1.8139 |
| 1993 | 8 | 123 | -0.72 | 1.48 | -1.4405 | 7.2417 | 1.638 |


| Year | Mn | N | d | S | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 122 | 1.92 | 3.29 | -0.1235 | 5.4093 | 3.7967 |
| 1992 | 10 | 123 | 1.54 | 2.78 | 0.3550 | 3.6555 | 3.1711 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | 0.90 | 3.83 | 0.4779 | 5.9817 | 3.9187 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | 0.62 | 3.68 | 0.5808 | 3.9595 | 3.7184 |
| 1993 | 1 | 123 | 0.64 | 3.58 | 0.4416 | 3.4478 | 3.6244 |
| 1993 | 2 | 108 | 0.42 | 4.22 | -0.5159 | 4.6119 | 4.2238 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | 0.73 | 3.82 | -0.4445 | 4.2059 | 3.8783 |
| 1993 | 4 | 117 | 1.66 | 2.96 | 1.1819 | 6.0667 | 3.3815 |
| 1993 | 5 | 122 | 0.92 | 2.58 | -0.0373 | 4.9297 | 2.7272 |
| 1993 | 6 | 117 | 0.91 | 2.45 | 0.2856 | 5.0683 | 2.6038 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | 1.10 | 3.00 | 0.8868 | 4.4465 | 3.1790 |
| 1993 | 8 | 123 | 1.60 | 3.55 | 1.2640 | 6.6742 | 3.8790 |



| Year | Mn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 690 | -1.40 | 1.92 | -4.1747 | 24.4629 | 2.3713 |
| 1992 | 10 | 112 | -0.61 | 2.01 | 0.0561 | 25.1602 | 2.0874 |
| 1992 | 11 | 115 | -1.08 | 2.85 | 1.4501 | 19.7366 | 3.0332 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | -1.15 | 1.52 | 5.4074 | 46.2815 | 1.9042 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.97 | 1.49 | 3.5426 | 26.3909 | 1.7735 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -0.33 | 0.99 | -0.9126 | 6.7071 | 1.0394 |
| 1993 | 3 | 119 | -0.08 | 1.45 | 1.8045 | 12.1548 | 1.4494 |
| 1993 | 4 | 117 | -0.08 | 0.94 | -1.4585 | 7.4704 | 0.9383 |
| 1993 | 5 | 121 | 0.12 | 1.21 | 1.5357 | 20.0597 | 1.2095 |
| 1993 | 6 | 117 | 0.17 | 1.15 | 1.5676 | 10.1549 | 1.1621 |
| 1993 | 7 | 119 | 0.02 | 0.94 | 0.5157 | 4.8541 | 0.9349 |
| 1993 | 8 | 109 | -0.74 | 1.58 | -0.6852 | 6.7340 | 1.7426 |

$M n=$ Month; $d=$ systematic difference; $s=$ estimated standard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness;
$k=$ kurtosis; $C=$ operational comparability.
71 standard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness;

## Appendix 4. Continued

| ar | Mn | $N$ | d | S | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 691 | 0.67 | 2.38 | 2.2056 | 15.7084 | 2.4748 |
| 1992 | 10 | 113 | -0.53 | 2.58 | 1.3028 | 16.7969 | 2.6239 |
| 1992 | 11 | 116 | 0.28 | 2.43 | -0.9209 | 18.0367 | 2.4407 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | 0.39 | 1.23 | -0.8472 | 5.2139 | 1.2815 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.45 | 1.24 | -1.5486 | 8.6020 | 1.3137 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -0.34 | 1.07 | -0.2641 | 7.3642 | 1.1180 |
| 1993 | 3 | 119 | -0.40 | 1.71 | -2.0323 | 11.7946 | 1.7489 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | -0.08 | 2.09 | 4.2829 | 28.3627 | 2.0803 |
| 1993 | 5 | 122 | -0.20 | 1.38 | 0.8670 | 7.5807 | 1.3938 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | -0.17 | 2.20 | 1.6407 | 16.9642 | 2.2001 |
| 1993 | 7 | 120 | -0.12 | 1.73 | -1.7089 | 16.8958 | 1.7224 |
| 1993 | 8 | 109 | 0.33 | 1.51 | 0.6033 | 6.4887 | 1.5385 |

GRI - All Hourly Relative Humidities (percent)

| Year Mn | $N$ | N | S | $M$ | M | C |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 675 | -1.32 | 3.18 | 0.0984 | 4.0661 | 3.4375 |
| 1992 | 10 | 109 | 0.97 | 3.31 | -0.3783 | 3.5855 | 3.4367 |
| 1992 | 11 | 111 | -1.13 | 3.84 | 0.0898 | 3.8084 | 3.9881 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | -1.50 | 3.81 | 0.4643 | 3.8262 | 4.0816 |
| 1993 | 1 | 123 | 1.17 | 3.68 | 0.4391 | 3.5803 | 3.8496 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 1.10 | 3.68 | -0.1626 | 6.4754 | 3.8225 |
| 1993 | 3 | 116 | 0.59 | 3.77 | 0.1985 | 4.7375 | 3.7976 |
| 1993 | 4 | 117 | 0.64 | 3.05 | -1.2833 | 8.0959 | 3.0998 |
| 1993 | 5 | 121 | 0.76 | 3.05 | 0.5589 | 5.2898 | 3.1293 |
| 1993 | 6 | 115 | 0.24 | 3.28 | 0.5208 | 5.1137 | 3.2717 |
| 1993 | 7 | 118 | 0.17 | 3.06 | 0.7183 | 5.3514 | 3.0515 |
| 1993 | 8 | 108 | -0.72 | 3.14 | -0.1640 | 3.4249 | 3.2062 |

ICT - All Hourly Temperatures

| Year Mn | N | d | s | $M$ | M | C |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 671 | -0.13 | 0.71 | 1.2187 | 18.7986 | 0.7243 |
| 1992 | 10 | 730 | -0.25 | 0.86 | 0.9002 | 19.6577 | 0.8960 |
| 1992 | 11 | 112 | 0.04 | 0.83 | 5.2449 | 45.9591 | 0.8238 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | -0.12 | 1.26 | -0.8573 | 14.7639 | 1.2656 |
| 1993 | 1 | 115 | -0.57 | 1.86 | -1.8467 | 26.0171 | 1.9404 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | -0.38 | 1.14 | 2.7493 | 15.5925 | 1.2006 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -0.36 | 0.82 | 0.5567 | 9.2825 | 0.8926 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | -0.01 | 1.03 | 1.0803 | 10.4079 | 1.0249 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.38 | 0.74 | -0.4648 | 4.2016 | 0.8313 |
| 1993 | 6 | 40 | -0.38 | 1.37 | 3.8136 | 21.0666 | 1.4053 |
| 1993 | 7 | 68 | -0.57 | 1.40 | 4.5082 | 32.8761 | 1.5000 |
| 1993 | 8 | 112 | -0.75 | 1.23 | 4.1990 | 35.3922 | 1.4392 |

ICT - Max Temperatures

| Year $M n$ | $N$ | $d$ | $s$ | $M$ | $k$ | $C$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 27 | -0.15 | 2.49 | 4.2570 | 20.9487 | 2.4495 |
| 1992 | 10 | 26 | -0.69 | 0.74 | 0.6510 | 3.1180 | 1.0000 |
| 1992 | 11 | 26 | -0.35 | 0.69 | -0.1854 | 2.7052 | 0.7596 |
| 1992 | 12 | 29 | -0.14 | 2.84 | 4.1918 | 21.3079 | 2.7916 |
| 1993 | 1 | 30 | -0.40 | 2.82 | 4.3674 | 22.5890 | 2.8048 |
| 1993 | 2 | 26 | -1.38 | 2.08 | -3.7090 | 17.6580 | 2.4651 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -0.58 | 1.84 | 2.1908 | 8.2023 | 1.9008 |
| 1993 | 4 | 27 | 0.26 | 2.89 | 3.4143 | 14.5900 | 2.8480 |
| 1993 | 5 | 30 | -0.80 | 1.06 | 1.4432 | 6.1603 | 1.3166 |
| 1993 | 6 | 10 | -1.20 | 0.63 | 0.0949 | 2.0700 | 1.3416 |
| 1993 | 7 | 16 | -1.38 | 0.72 | -0.4102 | 2.6898 | 1.5411 |
| 1993 | 8 | 27 | -1.59 | 0.80 | 0.5109 | 2.6080 | 1.7743 |

ICT - Min Temperatures

|  | Mn | $N$ | d | S | M | $k$ | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 29 | 0.24 | 0.64 | 0.5912 | 3.5287 | 0.6695 |
| 1992 | 10 | 28 | -0.04 | 0.51 | -0.0689 | 3.7096 | 0.5000 |
| 1992 | 11 | 27 | 2.26 | 2.84 | 1.0709 | 3.0177 | 3.5849 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | 1.87 | 3.36 | 2.0503 | 8.1363 | 3.8015 |
| 1993 | 1 | 30 | 0.43 | 3.74 | 0.7804 | 8.2366 | 3.7014 |
| 1993 | 2 | 26 | 1.42 | 3.67 | 1.3999 | 4.4621 | 3.8680 |
| 1993 | 3 | 30 | 0.87 | 2.66 | 2.6305 | 10.8409 | 2.7568 |
| 1993 | 4 | 29 | 1.86 | 3.37 | 2.1475 | 7.2297 | 3.7966 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | 0.65 | 1.91 | 2.1701 | 6.9574 | 1.9838 |
| 1993 | 6 | 10 | 1.40 | 2.80 | 0.7811 | 1.6781 | 3.0000 |
| 1993 | 7 | 17 | -0.41 | 0.51 | -0.3274 | 0.9997 | 0.6417 |
| 1993 | 8 | 28 | 0.25 | 2.43 | 4.0569 | 19.6475 | 2.39 |


| - | Nn | N | d | S | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 675 | 0.55 | 0.88 | -1.9388 | 23.2471 | 1.0328 |
| 1992 | 10 | 732 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 2.8588 | 34.2949 | 0.7539 |
| 1992 | 11 | 111 | 0.21 | 1.17 | 4.3722 | 32.0602 | 1.1817 |
| 1992 | 12 | 122 | 0.08 | 1.72 | 4.4228 | 37.0197 | 1.7178 |
| 1993 | 1 | 114 | -0.42 | 2.01 | -1.9467 | 11.5790 | 2.0434 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | 0.13 | 1.80 | 2.6657 | 18.7693 | 1.7953 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | 0.09 | 1.21 | -2.4421 | 31.2735 | 1.2045 |
| 1993 | 4 | 118 | -0.13 | 0.66 | -3.3852 | 26.1924 | 0.6702 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | 0.17 | 0.64 | 0.2232 | 3.1429 | 0.6564 |
| 1993 | 6 | 40 | -0.25 | 0.44 | -1.1117 | 2.2181 | 0.5000 |
| 1993 | 7 | 68 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 4.0884 | 28.1036 | 1.5811 |
| 1993 | 8 | 113 | 0.49 | 1.19 | 2.0391 | 20.1848 | 1.2795 |


| Year | Mn | N | d | S | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 671 | -0.67 | 1.14 | 1.1194 | 11.7316 | 1.3244 |
| 1992 | 10 | 730 | -0.49 | 1.11 | -0.6617 | 18.0342 | 1.2163 |
| 1992 | 11 | 114 | 0.11 | 1.92 | 4.1672 | 24.7195 | 1.9171 |
| 1992 | 12 | 122 | -0.20 | 1.89 | -1.9088 | 10.9658 | 1.8969 |
| 1993 | 1 | 118 | -0.25 | 2.32 | -0.6032 | 12.7506 | 2.3271 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | -0.51 | 1.58 | -0.0787 | 7.2216 | 1.6522 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | -0.44 | 1.49 | 1.2172 | 17.0696 | 1.5524 |
| 1993 | 4 | 118 | 0.09 | 1.14 | 0.1969 | 8.6806 | 1.1387 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.55 | 0.90 | -0.7183 | 4.4299 | 1.0515 |
| 1993 | 6 | 97 | 3.41 | 4.50 | 1.0654 | 3.2631 | 5.6304 |
| 1993 | 7 | 111 | 1.87 | 5.30 | 0.9514 | 2.9817 | 5.5944 |
| 1993 | 8 | 116 | -0.86 | 2.32 | 2.6877 | 13.8589 | 2.4635 |

## Appendix 4. Continued

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 692 | -1.37 | 1.38 | -1.0700 | 5.5248 | 1.9477 |
| 1992 | 10 | 730 | -2.37 | 1.98 | -0.4105 | 13.0505 | 3.0882 |
| 1992 | 11 | 125 | -1.51 | 1.04 | -0.7271 | 8.2244 | 1.8352 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -1.73 | 1.75 | -3.1949 | 17.1697 | 2.4561 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -1.33 | 1.80 | 1.9628 | 21.6722 | 2.2307 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | -1.24 | 1.02 | -0.8312 | 5.4671 | 1.6052 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | -0.75 | 0.98 | -0.8804 | 5.9058 | 1.2314 |
| 1993 | 4 | 117 | -1.00 | 1.34 | -1.6232 | 7.3794 | 1.6667 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.72 | 1.14 | -0.8281 | 3.9013 | 1.3435 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | -0.92 | 1.12 | 0.0533 | 3.9917 | 1.4407 |
| 1993 | 7 | 126 | -0.46 | 1.14 | -1.4999 | 5.2320 | 1.2280 |
| 1993 | 8 | 121 | -1.36 | 1.24 | -0.4961 | 2.6984 | 1.8363 |

LNK - Max Temperatures

| Year $M n$ | $N$ | d | $\mathbf{s}$ | $M$ | K | C |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 28 | -1.96 | 0.92 | 0.2066 | 2.8721 | 2.1630 |
| 1992 | 10 | 29 | -2.69 | 1.00 | -0.2090 | 2.3798 | 2.8648 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -1.57 | 0.63 | -0.5729 | 2.2666 | 1.6833 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | -1.48 | 0.63 | -0.0584 | 2.5159 | 1.6064 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -1.58 | 1.12 | -0.5650 | 2.3796 | 1.9261 |
| 1993 | 2 | 27 | -2.00 | 1.36 | -1.5946 | 7.3025 | 2.4037 |
| 1993 | 3 | 30 | -1.27 | 1.05 | -1.5609 | 6.2588 | 1.6330 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | -1.53 | 0.82 | 0.4666 | 2.4243 | 1.7321 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -1.45 | 1.06 | -1.0175 | 4.9590 | 1.7871 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -1.87 | 0.97 | -0.0383 | 2.2899 | 2.0976 |
| 1993 | 7 | 10 | -3.10 | 0.99 | 0.7810 | 2.4069 | 3.2404 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | -3.39 | 1.41 | -0.7102 | 5.2334 | 3.6588 |

LNK - Min Temperatures

| Year Mn | $N$ | N | s | M | K | C |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 28 | -1.14 | 1.24 | -0.9802 | 2.8383 | 1.6690 |
| 1992 | 10 | 29 | -2.00 | 1.44 | -0.2776 | 3.7450 | 2.4495 |
| 1992 | 11 | 29 | -0.66 | 2.72 | 1.2377 | 4.6881 | 2.7480 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | 0.71 | 4.73 | 1.5764 | 4.3582 | 4.7110 |
| 1993 | 1 | 30 | -1.27 | 4.68 | 1.4234 | 4.9585 | 4.7679 |
| 1993 | 2 | 26 | -0.19 | 3.95 | 0.8939 | 2.8806 | 3.8779 |
| 1993 | 3 | 30 | -0.37 | 3.44 | 1.5652 | 5.9207 | 3.4010 |
| 1993 | 4 | 28 | 0.79 | 3.86 | 1.7677 | 6.3670 | 3.8730 |
| 1993 | 5 | 30 | 0.17 | 2.90 | 0.8224 | 3.1686 | 2.8577 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | 0.27 | 3.13 | 1.9030 | 6.0046 | 3.0876 |
| 1993 | 7 | 10 | -0.60 | 0.70 | -0.5617 | 1.9212 | 0.8944 |
| 1993 | 8 | 30 | 0.27 | 2.85 | 1.9037 | 6.2081 | 2.8166 |

LHK - All Hourly Dewpoint Depressions

| Year $M n$ | $N$ | $d$ | $s$ | $M$ | $K$ | $C$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 692 | -0.94 | 1.81 | 0.6178 | 12.2642 | 2.0419 |
| 1992 | 10 | 730 | -2.10 | 2.31 | -1.5777 | 8.9953 | 3.1143 |
| 1992 | 11 | 125 | -0.82 | 1.64 | -1.8540 | 14.1224 | 1.8308 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -0.57 | 1.98 | -1.5827 | 8.4552 | 2.0498 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.71 | 1.17 | -0.7252 | 3.9916 | 1.3619 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | -0.46 | 1.28 | 0.6621 | 5.5995 | 1.3523 |
| 1993 | 3 | 121 | -0.64 | 1.43 | 2.0037 | 19.6405 | 1.5588 |
| 1993 | 4 | 117 | -1.21 | 2.65 | 0.5598 | 17.1458 | 2.8986 |
| 1993 | 5 | 113 | -0.92 | 1.37 | -0.6787 | 4.9452 | 1.6456 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | -0.59 | 1.26 | 0.0724 | 4.2425 | 1.3902 |
| 1993 | 7 | 126 | -0.30 | 1.19 | -0.5997 | 7.4868 | 1.2215 |
| 1993 | 8 | 121 | -1.09 | 1.70 | -0.9530 | 3.6548 | 2.0124 |

LNK - All Hourly Relative Humidities (percent)

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | K | C |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 677 | 2.07 | 3.60 | 0.4052 | 5.7890 | 4.1505 |
| 1992 | 10 | 678 | 3.77 | 3.62 | 0.4464 | 4.0182 | 5.2240 |
| 1992 | 11 | 124 | 2.10 | 4.18 | -0.3939 | 5.1760 | 4.6662 |
| 1992 | 12 | 120 | 0.94 | 4.58 | 0.0053 | 3.7751 | 4.6599 |
| 1993 | 1 | 122 | 2.06 | 3.56 | 0.4919 | 3.3751 | 4.1015 |
| 1993 | 2 | 110 | 1.64 | 3.99 | -0.3860 | 3.7197 | 4.2974 |
| 1993 | 3 | 120 | 1.98 | 3.20 | 0.5601 | 3.9337 | 3.7460 |
| 1993 | 4 | 109 | 2.04 | 3.10 | -0.3110 | 4.5208 | 3.6968 |
| 1993 | 5 | 112 | 2.00 | 3.12 | 0.1718 | 4.1875 | 3.6913 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | 1.42 | 3.20 | 0.1641 | 4.9334 | 3.494 |
| 1993 | 7 | 126 | 0.51 | 2.81 | -0.6098 | 10.2774 | 2.8456 |
| 1993 | 8 | 120 | 1.87 | 3.20 | 0.1914 | 2.6937 | 3.6956 |

OKC - All Hourly Temperatures

| Year Mn | K | d | s | $M$ | $k$ | C |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 685 | -1.13 | 1.36 | -1.4348 | 7.5618 | 1.7613 |
| 1992 | 10 | 120 | -2.12 | 2.15 | -0.7598 | 2.9622 | 3.0083 |
| 1992 | 11 | 116 | -0.97 | 1.21 | -1.9718 | 11.9215 | 1.5425 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -1.12 | 1.17 | -0.7130 | 3.5728 | 1.6140 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.85 | 1.17 | -1.3262 | 5.2695 | 1.4396 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -0.86 | 1.90 | 3.5597 | 27.6932 | 2.0745 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | -0.82 | 1.16 | -1.7016 | 7.1710 | 1.4199 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | -0.77 | 1.37 | 1.2839 | 12.7677 | 1.5665 |
| 1993 | 5 | 113 | -0.67 | 1.31 | 0.4212 | 9.0549 | 1.4695 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | -0.77 | 1.00 | 0.5704 | 4.4513 | 1.2583 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | -1.31 | 1.07 | -0.2474 | 4.1743 | 1.6848 |
| 1993 | 8 | 120 | -1.26 | 1.46 | -0.8430 | 3.2460 | 1.9257 |

OKC - Max Temperatures

| Year | Mn | $N$ | $d$ | $s$ | $M$ | $K$ | $C$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 29 | -0.69 | 0.71 | 0.0864 | 2.5980 | 0.9826 |
| 1992 | 10 | 29 | -0.28 | 0.53 | -0.2212 | 2.3301 | 0.5872 |
| 1992 | 11 | 27 | -0.85 | 0.60 | -0.0458 | 2.5200 | 1.0364 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | -0.42 | 0.76 | -0.0459 | 2.4943 | 0.8614 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -0.71 | 0.64 | -1.0392 | 5.7598 | 0.9504 |
| 1993 | 2 | 27 | -0.63 | 0.63 | -0.4179 | 2.1689 | 0.8819 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -0.23 | 0.62 | 0.1501 | 2.3183 | 0.6476 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | -0.93 | 0.83 | -0.4693 | 2.3864 | 1.2383 |
| 1993 | 5 | 29 | -0.76 | 1.21 | -0.3333 | 3.5673 | 1.4142 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -0.20 | 0.55 | -0.0957 | 2.6991 | 0.5774 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -0.58 | 0.67 | -0.0352 | 2.5782 | 0.8799 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | -0.06 | 0.63 | -0.7379 | 4.5805 | 0.6222 |

$M n=$ Month; $d=$ systematic difference; $s=$ estimated standard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness; k = kurtosis; $C=$ operational comparability.

## Appendix 4. Continued

| OKC - Min Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Mn | N | d | s | M | K | C |  |
| 1992 | 9 | 29 | -1.24 | 0.95 | -0.9735 | 3.8245 | 1.5536 |
| 1992 | 10 | 27 | -1.48 | 4.33 | 1.7847 | 6.1378 | 4.4969 |
| 1992 | 11 | 27 | 0.56 | 3.46 | 0.9062 | 2.6780 | 3.4373 |
| 1992 | 12 | 30 | -0.53 | 3.36 | 1.1723 | 4.2710 | 3.3466 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -0.71 | 3.63 | 1.1196 | 5.0359 | 3.6456 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | -0.04 | 3.42 | 0.7016 | 4.4418 | 3.3541 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -0.16 | 3.16 | 1.0419 | 4.6127 | 3.1160 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | 0.30 | 3.15 | 0.4665 | 3.1099 | 3.1145 |
| 1993 | 5 | 30 | -0.43 | 2.05 | 0.2929 | 2.9681 | 2.0575 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -0.53 | 2.03 | 2.3429 | 8.0599 | 2.0656 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -1.77 | 1.59 | 0.9999 | 6.6876 | 2.3623 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | -1.94 | 2.25 | 1.0439 | 6.1389 | 2.9403 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 9 | 454 | -0.77 | 1.78 | -1.4847 | 17.2979 | 1.9396 |
| 992 | 10 | 66 | -0.92 | 1.34 | -3.7294 | 21.6525 | 1.6190 |
| 1992 | 11 | 59 | -1.02 | 1.56 | 0.2154 | 5.8176 | 1.8503 |
| 1992 | 12 | 62 | -0.50 | 1.21 | -1.6064 | 8.9675 | 1.3014 |
| 1993 | 1 | 62 | -0.47 | 0.84 | -1.7101 | 7.1837 | 0.9588 |
| 93 | 2 | 55 | -0.24 | 0.69 | -0.3218 | 3.0420 | 0.7261 |
| 93 | 3 | 62 | -0.19 | 0.72 | 0.2949 | 3.3547 | 0.7405 |
| 993 | 4 | 59 | -0.19 | 0.82 | 1.8223 | 12.8137 | 0.8336 |
| 93 | 5 | 61 | -0.62 | 0.71 | -0.3912 | 2.3632 | 0.9409 |
| 93 | 6 | 59 | -0.85 | 1.54 | 4.4228 | 28.5180 | 1.7467 |
| 1993 | 7 | 62 | -0.85 | 0.87 | -0.1266 | 2.9938 | 1.2115 |
| 993 | 8 | 60 | -0.97 | 0.88 | -0.4997 | 4.3017 | 1.3038 |

OKC - All Hourly Dewpoint Temperatures

|  | Mn | N |  | S |  | k | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 685 | 0.34 | 1.03 | -1.3307 | 16.8160 | 1.0780 |
| 92 | 10 | 120 | 0.50 | 1.24 | 1.4539 | 8.5628 | 1.3292 |
| 1992 | 11 | 113 | 0.43 | 1.57 | 3.6221 | 27.0997 | 1.6267 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | 0.22 | 1.12 | 2.4811 | 14.7591 | 1.1370 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 0.19 | 1.32 | 3.0202 | 18.8851 | 1.3229 |
| 93 | 2 | 110 | 0.47 | 1.69 | 2.4637 | 13.7858 | 1.7477 |
| 93 | 3 | 123 | 0.51 | 1.74 | 3.6607 | 22.2937 | 1.8056 |
|  | 4 | 119 | 0.55 | 1.71 | -1.4467 | 13.7316 | 1.7893 |
| 1993 | 5 | 113 | 0.19 | 1.19 | 1.0283 | 6.8296 | 1.2047 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 0.14 | 0.80 | 0.6150 | 3.1409 | 0.8114 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 0.44 | 0.83 | 0.3054 | 3.3069 | 0.9376 |
| 1993 |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |

PUB - Max Temperatures

| Year | Mn | N | d | s $\quad$ M |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1992 | 10 | 29 | -0.59 |  |  |
| 1992 | 11 | 29 | -0.62 |  |  |
| 1992 | 12 | 27 | -0.11 |  |  |
| 1993 | 1 | 29 | 0.03 | Part-time station. |  |
| 1993 | 2 | 27 | -0.19 | Data processed separately. |  |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -0.29 |  |  |
| 1993 | 4 | 29 | -0.52 |  |  |
| 1993 | 5 | 29 | -0.93 |  |  |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -1.18 |  |  |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -1.00 |  |  |
| 1993 | 8 | 30 | -1.23 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | n | N |  | s | M | k | c |
| 1992 | 9 | 685 | -1.46 | 1.75 | -0.6902 | 8.8080 | 2.2813 |
| 1992 | 10 | 120 | -2.62 | 2.57 | -1.1222 | 4.5026 | 3.6583 |
| 1992 | 11 | 113 | -1.41 | 1.98 | -2.6386 | 13.7259 | 2.4222 |
| 1992 | 12 | 123 | -1.31 | 1.66 | -1.3196 | 6.1948 | 2.1088 |
| 993 | 1 | 124 | -1.03 | 1.75 | -1.7989 | 8.501 | 2.0240 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | -1.49 | 1.84 | -1.3178 | 8.163 | 2.3577 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -1.33 | 2.15 | -2.4244 | 13.5193 | 2.5182 |
| 93 | 4 | 119 | -1.34 | 2.34 | 2.0875 | 16.5787 | 2.6914 |
| 1993 | 5 | 115 | -0.70 | 2.17 | 1.8389 | 16.5170 | 2.2689 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | -0.91 | 1.12 | -0.3593 | 3.4024 | 1.4347 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | -1.75 | 1.17 | -0.5512 | 3.2633 | 2.1003 |
| 93 | 8 | 121 | -1.41 | 2.16 | 1.6410 | 14.1007 | 2.5697 |

PUB - Min Temperatures

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | K | C |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 26 | -1.65 | 0.94 | -0.6952 | 2.6798 | 1.8913 |
| 1992 | 10 | 31 | -1.90 | 1.04 | -0.5249 | 2.3426 | 2.1627 |
| 1992 | 11 | 29 | -1.38 | 1.05 | 0.5869 | 5.5079 | 1.7221 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | -0.94 | 0.89 | -0.6648 | 2.6075 | 1.2826 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -1.03 | 1.28 | 0.0579 | 6.4071 | 1.6264 |
| 1993 | 2 | 27 | -0.93 | 1.30 | -0.1311 | 6.8335 | 1.5753 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -0.81 | 1.68 | 1.6179 | 9.6412 | 1.8404 |
| 1993 | 4 | 28 | -0.71 | 0.94 | -1.6045 | 5.9473 | 1.1650 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -1.00 | 1.10 | -0.7362 | 3.258 | 1.4701 |
| 1993 | 6 | 29 | -0.93 | 0.84 | -0.8149 | 3.2433 | 1.2457 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -1.03 | 0.84 | 0.0569 | 2.9717 | 1.3198 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | -0.65 | 0.66 | -0.4839 | 2.1590 | 0.9158 |

OKC - All Hourly Relative Humidities (percent)

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 670 | 3.23 | 3.26 | 0.4851 | 4.5158 | 4.5841 |
| 1992 | 10 | 114 | 5.09 | 4.57 | 0.4180 | 2.0313 | 6.8245 |
| 1992 | 11 | 111 | 3.16 | 3.56 | 0.6791 | 3.3971 | 4.7460 |
| 1992 | 12 | 121 | 3.13 | 3.61 | 0.1144 | 3.1643 | 4.7644 |
| 1993 | 1 | 122 | 2.20 | 3.66 | 0.2647 | 2.7830 | 4.2597 |
| 1993 | 2 | 109 | 3.61 | 4.16 | 0.0648 | 3.1334 | 5.4954 |
| 1993 | 3 | 121 | 2.58 | 3.74 | 0.6038 | 4.4258 | 4.5346 |
| 1993 | 4 | 115 | 2.98 | 3.12 | 0.3985 | 4.2126 | 4.3051 |
| 1993 | 5 | 112 | 1.96 | 3.54 | 0.3867 | 4.5609 | 4.0346 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 2.19 | 2.71 | 0.2109 | 3.5408 | 3.4730 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | 3.45 | 2.54 | 1.0865 | 4.2609 | 4.2792 |
| 1993 | 8 | 119 | 2.91 | 3.72 | 0.6021 | 3.6451 | 4.7101 |

PUB - All Hourly Dewpoint Temperatures

| Year | Mn | $N$ | d | s | M | k | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 9 | 452 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 0.0513 | 8.3807 | 1.2065 |
| 199 | 10 | 66 | 0.45 | 1.18 | 0.7448 | 6.4807 | 1.2553 |
| 1992 | 11 | 59 | 0.73 | 2.30 | 1.4754 | 6.453 | 2.3970 |
| 1992 | 12 | 62 | 0.18 | 1.79 | -2.7508 | 17.681 | 1.7825 |
| 93 | 1 | 62 | 0.03 | 0.96 | -0.3934 | 4.1129 | 0.9504 |
| 93 | 2 | 55 | -0.16 | 1.13 | -0.5056 | 4.1809 | 1.1362 |
| 93 | 3 | 62 | 0.48 | 2.13 | 2.4707 | 14.9736 | 2.1702 |
| 93 | 4 | 59 | 1.07 | 2.46 | 1.2853 | 10.1593 | 2.6649 |
| 1993 | 5 | 57 | 0.63 | 1.40 | 0.2743 | 3.6212 | 5218 |
| 19 | 6 | 56 | 0.57 | 1.54 | -1.7296 | 11.8842 | 1.6257 |
| 1993 | 7 | 60 | 0.35 | 1.05 | -0.6320 | 3.5827 | 1.1030 |
| 19 | 8 | 59 | 0. | 1.61 | 2.8662 | 14.1635 | 1.7 |

$M n=$ Month; $d=$ systematic difference; $s=$ estimated standard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness; $k=$ kurtosis; $\mathbf{c}=$ operational comparability.

## Appendix 4. Continued

| Year | Mn | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Del } \\ \text { d } \end{gathered}$ | s | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 451 | -1.50 | 1.96 | -1.0714 | 10.5850 | 2.4666 |
| 1992 | 10 | 66 | -1.38 | 1.73 | -1.6995 | 7.9577 | 2.2054 |
| 1992 | 11 | 59 | -1.75 | 2.38 | -0.9659 | 4.5231 | 2.9314 |
| 1992 | 12 | 62 | -0.68 | 2.15 | 1.1475 | 8.4807 | 2.2361 |
| 1993 | 1 | 62 | -0.50 | 1.33 | -1.2410 | 7.1974 | 1.4085 |
| 1993 | 2 | 55 | -0.07 | 1.15 | 0.2812 | 2.7695 | 1.1442 |
| 1993 | 3 | 62 | -0.68 | 2.44 | -2.0463 | 12.1534 | 2.5145 |
| 1993 | 4 | 59 | -1.25 | 2.51 | -0.9006 | 10.1880 | 2.7862 |
| 1993 | 5 | 57 | -1.26 | 1.64 | 0.0843 | 4.1531 | 2.0605 |
| 1993 | 6 | 55 | -1.75 | 1.38 | -0.7038 | 3.5213 | 2.2156 |
| 1993 | 7 | 60 | -1.23 | 1.06 | 0.1313 | 2.9295 | 1.6228 |
| 1993 | 8 | 59 | -1.69 | 1.71 | -1.5190 | 7.3103 | 2.4006 |


| Year | Mn | N | d | $\mathbf{s}$ | M | $\begin{gathered} \text { cen } \\ \mathbf{k} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | 9 | 450 | 1.76 | 2.40 | 1.2607 | 7.6273 | 2.9749 |
| 1992 | 10 | 66 | 1.34 | 2.08 | 1.8246 | 10.0891 | 2.4580 |
| 1992 | 11 | 57 | 3.56 | 4.48 | 0.6967 | 2.6236 | 5.6908 |
| 992 | 12 | 60 | 1.79 | 4.39 | 0.2935 | 3.5288 | 4.7079 |
| 1993 | 1 | 62 | 1.17 | 3.08 | 1.0933 | 5.0125 | 3.2694 |
| 93 | 2 | 55 | 0.15 | 2.34 | 0.2063 | 3.3650 | 2.3187 |
| 993 | 3 | 61 | 1.01 | 3.63 | 1.7952 | 7.7681 | 3.7360 |
| 993 | 4 | 56 | 0.92 | 2.03 | -1.5677 | 9.2235 | 2.2103 |
| 93 | 5 | 56 | 1.29 | 2.73 | -0.6441 | 10.6384 | 3.0027 |
| 1993 | 6 | 5 | 1.36 | 1.09 | 0.2770 | 2.8276 | 1.7331 |
| 1993 | 7 | 60 | 1.00 | 1.11 | -0.5845 | 5.8130 | 1.4912 |
| 93 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 2.52 | 1.7095 | 7.6341 |  |

TOP - All Hourly Temperatures

| Year | Mn | N | d | s | $M$ | $k$ | C |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 689 | -0.30 | 1.01 | 0.3509 | 3.7919 | 1.0544 |
| 1992 | 10 | 733 | -0.02 | 1.08 | 0.2146 | 3.8261 | 1.0800 |
| 1992 | 11 | 711 | -0.02 | 0.64 | 0.0455 | 5.0596 | 0.6353 |
| 1992 | 12 | 129 | -0.07 | 1.04 | -2.0139 | 18.5035 | 1.0380 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | 0.06 | 1.67 | 5.4773 | 52.6140 | 1.6656 |
| 1993 | 2 | 110 | 0.11 | 1.68 | 1.8982 | 8.5573 | 1.6733 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -0.26 | 0.95 | 1.2759 | 9.4977 | 0.9795 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | -0.20 | 1.02 | 1.0670 | 8.5068 | 1.0328 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.39 | 0.87 | -0.4074 | 3.4448 | 0.9542 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | 0.04 | 0.99 | 0.1797 | 4.7093 | 0.9830 |
| 1993 | 7 | 125 | 0.51 | 1.50 | 2.7186 | 17.2599 | 1.5799 |
| 1993 | 8 | 122 | 0.66 | 1.22 | 0.4838 | 3.7963 | 1.3849 |


| Year | Mn | N | d | s | N | k | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 28 | -0.89 | 0.50 | 0.2377 | 3.6105 | 1.0177 |
| 1992 | 10 | 29 | -0.86 | 0.83 | 0.1118 | 2.0538 | 1.1890 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | 0.10 | 1.37 | 4.1505 | 21.3119 | 1.3540 |
| 1992 | 12 | 30 | -0.23 | 0.50 | -0.3807 | 2.6551 | 0.5477 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -0.32 | 0.83 | -1.0555 | 4.7335 | 0.8799 |
| 1993 | 2 | 27 | -0.74 | 0.90 | -1.7095 | 6.7801 | 1.1547 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -0.52 | 0.68 | 0.3683 | 2.6358 | 0.8424 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | -0.67 | 0.80 | 0.1434 | 2.4315 | 1.0328 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -0.84 | 0.73 | 0.2468 | 2.7118 | 1.1072 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -0.53 | 1.20 | -3.0237 | 14.7695 | 1.2910 |
| 1993 | 7 | 30 | 0.03 | 1.10 | -0.0629 | 1.9053 | 1.0801 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | 0.26 | 1.12 | 0.0476 | 1.9072 | 1.1359 |

TOP - Min Temperatures

| Year Mn | $N$ | $d$ | $s$ | $M$ | $k$ | $C$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 29 | 0.24 | 0.64 | 0.5912 | 3.5287 | 0.6695 |
| 1992 | 10 | 29 | -0.28 | 3.26 | -2.5898 | 11.9336 | 3.2163 |
| 1992 | 11 | 28 | -0.14 | 1.21 | -2.2873 | 9.7567 | 1.1952 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | 1.87 | 4.50 | 0.8656 | 3.7760 | 4.8059 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | 0.90 | 3.52 | 0.4208 | 5.6088 | 3.5741 |
| 1993 | 2 | 27 | 0.93 | 3.05 | 2.0258 | 5.8649 | 3.1329 |
| 1993 | 3 | 30 | 1.13 | 3.54 | 2.2060 | 8.6873 | 3.6606 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | 0.70 | 2.85 | 1.8408 | 6.8061 | 2.8925 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | 0.71 | 2.10 | 1.5835 | 4.8441 | 2.1850 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | 1.27 | 2.32 | 2.1421 | 7.6910 | 2.6077 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | 0.68 | 1.19 | 0.8419 | 3.1148 | 1.3560 |
| 1993 | 8 | 31 | 1.65 | 2.32 | 3.0171 | 13.3736 | 2.8113 |


| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 689 | -0.58 | 0.79 | -0.1058 | 5.4566 | 0.9810 |
| 1992 | 10 | 733 | -0.18 | 1.27 | -0.6658 | 7.1096 | 1.2806 |
| 1992 | 11 | 711 | 0.30 | 1.04 | -0.3343 | 6.6321 | 1.0824 |
| 1992 | 12 | 129 | 0.24 | 1.07 | -0.6336 | 5.7911 | 1.0962 |
| 1993 | 1 | 123 | 0.73 | 1.22 | 0.5454 | 4.9657 | 1.4142 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | 0.46 | 2.00 | 3.2412 | 19.3857 | 2.0468 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -0.15 | 1.50 | -0.3422 | 5.0605 | 1.5034 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | -1.13 | 2.12 | -2.9410 | 14.0162 | 2.3940 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.28 | 0.81 | -0.1902 | 3.8452 | 0.8554 |
| 1993 | 6 | 118 | -0.52 | 1.22 | 0.0391 | 3.9156 | 1.3181 |
| 1993 | 7 | 125 | -0.66 | 1.37 | -0.2393 | 7.6271 | 1.5153 |
| 1993 | 8 | 122 | -1.18 | 1.40 | -1.4096 | 4.7977 | 1.8287 |

TOP - All Hourly Dewpoint Depressions

| Year $M n$ | N | d | S | $M$ | K | C |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 689 | 0.27 | 1.12 | 0.3673 | 5.5061 | 1.1537 |
| 1992 | 10 | 734 | 0.16 | 1.57 | -0.0226 | 4.9888 | 1.5755 |
| 1992 | 11 | 711 | -0.32 | 1.25 | -0.0433 | 5.0466 | 1.2937 |
| 1992 | 12 | 129 | -0.31 | 1.36 | -0.3446 | 7.4897 | 1.3865 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.83 | 1.24 | -0.9782 | 4.9137 | 1.4892 |
| 1993 | 2 | 110 | -0.51 | 2.11 | -1.8444 | 13.4525 | 2.1659 |
| 1993 | 3 | 123 | -0.11 | 1.78 | 1.3387 | 10.7372 | 1.7761 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | 0.93 | 2.23 | 1.7993 | 7.8562 | 2.4097 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.11 | 1.12 | -0.5810 | 3.5671 | 1.1189 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | 0.57 | 1.55 | -0.6287 | 4.1777 | 1.6501 |
| 1993 | 7 | 125 | 1.18 | 1.75 | 0.8237 | 5.0432 | 2.1033 |
| 1993 | 8 | 122 | 1.84 | 2.01 | 0.8971 | 4.0511 | 2.7191 |


| Year | Mn | N | d | S | M | k | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 9 | 688 | -0.96 | 2.83 | -0.6239 | 4.3069 | 2.9898 |
| 1992 | 10 | 734 | -0.74 | 3.71 | -0.2698 | 3.3727 | 3.7831 |
| 1992 | 11 | 709 | 0.88 | 3.42 | 0.1368 | 3.5128 | 3.5237 |
| 1992 | 12 | 128 | 0.82 | 3.44 | -0.6430 | 4.3904 | 3.5206 |
| 1993 | 1 | 123 | 2.50 | 3.59 | 0.4235 | 3.2037 | 4.3618 |
| 1993 | 2 | 108 | 1.04 | 4.63 | -0.3472 | 3.4529 | 4.7247 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | 0.31 | 4.12 | -0.0444 | 3.6929 | 4.1191 |
| 1993 | 4 | 115 | -1.66 | 3.83 | -0.4419 | 3.7334 | 4.1636 |
| 1993 | 5 | 123 | -0.07 | 2.64 | -0.1771 | 2.8176 | 2.6308 |
| 1993 | 6 | 119 | -1.54 | 3.61 | 0.3381 | 3.5719 | 3.9086 |
| 1993 | 7 | 123 | -2.75 | 3.87 | -0.0289 | 3.6459 | 4.7322 |
| 1993 | 8 | 122 | -4.28 | 4.17 | -0.2581 | 2.7235 | 5.9632 |

$M n=$ Month; $d=$ systematic difference; $s=$ estimated standard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness; $k=$ kurtosis; $\mathbf{C}=$ operational comparability.

## Appendix 4. Continued

| TUL - All Hourly Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Mn | N | d |  | M | k | c |
| 1992 | 9 | 678 | -1.86 | 0.96 | -0.7479 | 4.5580 | 2.0940 |
| 992 | 10 | 125 | -2.63 | 1.27 | -0.7787 | 3.9340 | 2.9189 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | -2.36 | 1.40 | 4.2834 | 36.6563 | 2.7401 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -2.65 | 1.05 | -0.2726 | 7.4130 | 2.8426 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -2.79 | 1.07 | -0.7365 | 3.9174 | 2.9865 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -2.21 | 1.18 | 0.4153 | 5.0223 | 2.5071 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | -1.87 | 1.40 | -2.2885 | 16.6178 | 2.3314 |
| 1993 | 4 | 120 | -1.75 | 1.07 | -1.0735 | 4.3232 | 2.0494 |
| 1993 | 5 | 122 | -1.55 | 1.27 | 3.3022 | 27.3390 | 1.9979 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | -1.65 | 1.07 | 1.6991 | 13.8691 | 1.9664 |
| 1993 | 7 | 124 | -1.61 | 1.03 | 3.1720 | 25.5793 | 1.9092 |
| 1993 | 8 | 122 | -1.60 | 1.35 | 0.2782 | 7.3612 | 2.0863 |
| TUL - Max Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | C |
| 1992 | 9 | 29 | -1.83 | 0.76 | 0.1973 | 2.5296 | 1.9740 |
| 1992 | 10 | 30 | -2.30 | 0.88 | -0.3025 | 2.3322 | 2.4563 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -2.30 | 0.53 | -0.1567 | 2.2019 | 2.3594 |
| 1992 | 12 | 31 | -2.77 | 0.76 | 0.0615 | 2.3756 | 2.8737 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -2.03 | 2.86 | 4.6599 | 24.7232 | 3.4688 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | -2.43 | 0.79 | -0.4432 | 2.5638 | 2.5495 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -1.81 | 0.65 | 0.4978 | 3.4744 | 1.9177 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | -1.73 | 0.64 | -0.2626 | 2.1868 | 1.8439 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -1.77 | 0.72 | -0.8581 | 4.0364 | 1.9092 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -2.13 | 0.90 | -0.5743 | 5.0295 | 2.3094 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -2.10 | 0.70 | -1.0054 | 4.5658 | 2.2070 |
| 1993 | 8 | 30 | -1.57 | 1.79 | -3.3399 | 16.4008 | 2.3594 |
| TUL - Min Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | C |
| 1992 | 9 | 28 | -2.25 | 1.11 | -0.6174 | 2.5150 | 2.5000 |
| 1992 | 10 | 30 | -2.43 | 3.44 | 2.7521 | 11.1466 | 4.1673 |
| 1992 | 11 | 30 | -1.80 | 2.52 | 1.1498 | 3.6723 | 3.0659 |
| 1992 | 12 | 30 | -2.27 | 3.56 | 1.8938 | 5.9807 | 4.1713 |
| 1993 | 1 | 31 | -2.45 | 4.12 | 1.0537 | 4.5723 | 4.7383 |
| 1993 | 2 | 28 | -1.25 | 3.07 | 0.7801 | 2.5671 | 3.2678 |
| 1993 | 3 | 31 | -1.48 | 2.63 | 0.8428 | 3.3682 | 2.9838 |
| 1993 | 4 | 30 | -0.87 | 3.18 | 1.4233 | 5.5263 | 3.2455 |
| 1993 | 5 | 31 | -0.90 | 2.02 | 1.5104 | 4.3308 | 2.1850 |
| 1993 | 6 | 30 | -1.33 | 1.95 | 1.0990 | 5.9503 | 2.3381 |
| 1993 | 7 | 31 | -1.52 | 1.93 | 0.7776 | 4.0944 | 2.4297 |
| 1993 | 8 | 30 | -2.17 | 1.98 | 1.2214 | 5.1850 | 2.9155 |
| TUL - All Hourly Dewpoint Temperatures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Mn | N | d | s | M | k | C |
| 1992 | 9 | 678 | -0.17 | 1.02 | 0.4028 | 5.6373 | 1.0305 |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | -0.68 | 1.25 | -0.7914 | 5.8414 | 1.4199 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | -0.78 | 0.92 | 2.1713 | 14.7469 | 1.2007 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -0.62 | 1.09 | 0.5355 | 6.0555 | 1.2476 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -0.84 | 0.97 | -0.7412 | 4.4070 | 1.2826 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -0.52 | 1.02 | -0.3536 | 6.1497 | 1.1417 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | -0.81 | 1.49 | -2.4030 | 19.2037 | 1.6968 |
| 1993 | 4 | 119 | -1.08 | 2.27 | -2.1236 | 15.5398 | 2.5004 |
| 1993 | 5 | 120 | 0.04 | 0.93 | 0.5412 | 3.9898 | 0.9265 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | -0.24 | 0.86 | 0.3996 | 3.0670 | 0.8898 |
| 1993 | 7 | 120 | -0.66 | 2.62 | -1.7053 | 8.6744 | 2.6910 |
| 1993 | 8 | 120 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 0.3962 | 3.1212 | 1.9170 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 10 | 124 | -1.95 | 1.51 | 0.0738 | 4.2947 | 2.4626 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | -1.58 | 1.29 | 0.2631 | 5.970 | 2.0412 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | -2.02 | 1.17 | -0.7352 | 3.429 | 2.336 |
| 1993 | 1 | 124 | -1.95 | 1.37 | -0.6552 | 3.724 | 2.379 |
| 1993 | 2 | 112 | -1.70 | 1.45 | 0.0820 | 4.101 | 2.228 |
| 1993 | 3 | 124 | -1.06 | 2.08 | 0.4634 | 9.782 | 2.322 |
| 933 | 4 | 119 | -0.68 | 2.44 | 0.9458 | 9.917 | 2.518 |
| 93 | 5 | 121 | -1.74 | 1.61 | -1.3186 | 7.6449 | 2.3636 |
| 93 | 6 | 120 | -1.41 | 1.38 | -0.1985 | 4.7502 | 1.9685 |
| 993 | 7 | 119 | -1.08 | 2.65 | 1.9095 | 11.2234 | 2.8521 |
| 993 | 8 | 120 | -2.96 | 2.05 | -0.1425 | 6.3939 | 3.5 |


|  | n | N |  | s |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 678 | 3.77 | 3.15 | -0.0722 | 168 | 9087 |
| 1992 | 10 | 120 | 4.07 | 3.10 | 0.1876 | 4.0093 | 5.1098 |
| 1992 | 11 | 120 | 4.15 | 3.05 | -0.3642 | 4.6841 | 5.1382 |
| 1992 | 12 | 124 | 5.43 | 2.87 | 0.2813 | 2.6090 | 6.1331 |
| 1993 | 1 | 122 | 5.04 | 3.23 | 0.0485 | 2.7962 | 5.9767 |
| 1993 | 2 | 111 | 4.39 | 3.72 | -0.1235 | 4.0471 | 5.7424 |
| 1993 | 3 | 122 | 2.23 | 3.70 | -0.0714 | 2.7886 | 4.3029 |
| 1993 | 4 | 117 | 1.73 | 4.03 | 0.1395 | 3.4291 | 4.3702 |
| 1993 | 5 | 120 | 3.97 | 3.18 | 0.2546 | 3.2067 | 5.0794 |
| 1993 | 6 | 120 | 3.26 | 3.22 | -0.1494 | 5.1579 | 4.5703 |
| 1993 | 7 | 118 | 2.40 | 4.23 | -1.2758 | 7.1818 | 4.8467 |
| 1993 | 8 | 117 | 5.65 | 3.33 | -0.3316 | 3.0971 | 6.5 |

$M n=$ Month; $d=$ systematic difference; $s=$ estimated standard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness; k = kurtosis; $\mathbf{c}=$ operational comparability.

Appendix 5.
Histogram frequency distributions, by month, of ASOS - CONV dewpoint depression differences ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) and relative humidity differences (\%)
for June, July and August 1993 for the 13 commissioned ASOS CDCP sites in the Central U.S.
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# AN ASSESSMENT OF TEMPERATURE, PRECIPITATION, AND RELATIVE HUMIDITY DATA CONTINUITY WITH ASOS 
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

One of the elements of the modernization program of the National Weather Service (NWS) is the development and deployment of the Automated Surface Observing System (ASOS). As the ASOS is deployed in the field, an effort is being made to provide the climate community with information to document the impact of this change on the continuity of climate data. The Climate Data Continuity Project (CDCP) was initiated when pre-commissioning deployment of ASOS began in the Fall of 1991. Results of pre-commissioning comparisons of ASOS observations of temperature and precipitation with conventional observations (CONV) have been presented by McKee et al. (1993). The commissioning of ASOS installations commenced on September 1, 1992 and marked the start of official ASOS observations. The purpose of this report is to provide a comparison of ASOS with CONV observations for commissioned ASOS observations for the variables of temperature, dewpoint temperature, and precipitation and to provide an update on the status of the ASOS observations.

### 2.0 DATA

The current phase of the CDCP is limited to the NWS stations in Table 1 and Figure 1. A later phase of the CDCP will include an expanded set of stations representing a wide variety of climates in the U.S. The present sites are in the states of Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, Missouri, Oklahoma and Texas. The climate is of an interior continental nature with some range in latitude and elevation. The ASOS and CONV sites are not co-located and are usually separated by several hundred meters.

Data for the present study include hourly and summary of the day observations for ASOS and sixhourly and summary of the day observations for CONV.

TABLE 1. Climate Data Continuity Project NWS-ASOS Stations

| ID | Station Location | Commissioning <br> Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALS | Alamosa, CO | Sept 1, 1992 |
| AMA | Amarillo Int'l, TX | Nov 1, 1992 |
| CNK | Concordia, KS | Sept 1, 1992 |
| COS | Colorado Springs, CO | Nov 1, 1992 |
| DDC | Dodge City, KS | Sept 1, 1992 |
| GLD | Goodland, KS | Sept 1,1992 |
| GRI | Grand Island, NE | Oct 1,1992 |
| ICT | Wichita/Mid-Cont., KS | Nov 1, 1992 |
| LNK | Lincoin, NE | Nov 1,1992 |
| OKC | Oklahoma City/Rogers, OK | Oct 1, 1992 |
| PUB | Pueblo, CO | Oct 1,1992 |
| SGF | Springfield, MO | delayed |
| TOP | Topeka/Billard, KS | Dec 1,1992 |
| TUL | Tulsa Int'l, OK | Oct 1, 1992 |

Usually, when a station is commissioned the ASOS observations become the official observations and the CONV observations are terminated. As a part of the Climate Data Continuity Project, special arrangements have been made to continue the limited set of CONV observations at the stations listed in Table 1. These observations include precipitation, snowfall and depth, temperature, dewpoint temperature, coincident skycover, cloud types, visibility, weather and obstructions to vision at $0000,0600,1200$ and 1800 UTC.

The hygrothermometer used in pre-ASOS observations has been designated as the HO-83. An electronic measurement is made of air temperature and of the temperature of a chilled mirror for dewpoint temperature. Similar temperature measurements are made in the ASOS HO-83, but the instruments are not identical. Precipitation observations were made with an 8 -inch Universal Weighing Gage which was usually not shielded in the


Figure 1. ASOS locations for CDCP.
southern U.S. and was shielded in most locations with a significant amount of snow. in ASOS, the precipitation observation is made with an 8 -inch heated tipping bucket type gage which is shielded at most locations.

### 3.0 ANALYSIS

### 3.1 Temperature

The systematic ASOS-CONV temperature difference (bias) is presented for all commissioned sites for the period September 1992 through May 1993 in Figures 2 and 3. The wide variation in mean monthly differences from near $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $-2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is obvious. A mean value near $-1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for the period September through February has decreased in the spring months. A significant part of the variation with time and among locations is due to variation from one ASOS instrument to another. The NWS has been aware of this characteristic of the ASOS


Figure 2. ASOS-CONV maximum temperature differences - commissioned sites only.
instrument and is in the process of making modifications which will improve the ASOS performance. Modified hygrothermometers should be placed in the field beginning in the fall of 1993. Further monitoring of the modified ASOS instrument will be done in the months ahead. No evidence is found in Figure 2 indicating high maximum temperatures as reported by Gall et al. (1992), but both ASOS and CONV could carry the same trait. Kessler et al. (1993) reported on a comparison of an HO-63 to HO-83 change at Albany, NY in 1985. The ASOS hygrothermometer presently deployed is not the same instrument as the HO-83 used in Albany in 1985. The NWS expects the new modified ASOS hygrothermometer to be improved for climate applications.


Figure 3. ASOS-CONV minimum temperature differences - commissioned stations only.

### 3.2 Dewpoint temperature

Composite results for all of the commissioned sites along with uncommissioned data for DEN, SGF and MCl are shown in Figure 4. Monthly average systematic dewpoint differences at individual stations have ranged from $-1.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $+1.1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Overall, the composite 16 -station systematic difference has averaged $-0.2^{\bullet} \mathrm{F}$. In March 1993, the composite difference became slightly positive for the first time.

Although ASOS dewpoint temperatures are very similar to CONV at most stations, ASOS temperatures are consistently cooler. This means ASOS dewpoint depressions are less than CONV so relative humidities are greater. Interestingly, ASOS-CONV systematic differences in 6-hourly instantaneous temperature observations have averaged -0.9 F , not as great as the differences between either daily maximum or minimum temperatures. Overall, relative humidity increases are averaging about $1.5 \%$. Using accumulated difference analysis, discontinuities and irregular behavior have been found in ASOS-CONV systematic humidity differences at some of the stations. The irregular behavior is such that the ASOS dewpoint temperature observations can either increase or decrease


Figure 4. ASOS-CONV relative humidity, dewpoint and dewpoint depression differences. Values represent average differences of all 13 commissioned and 3 noncommissioned stations.
relative to the CONV observation for a limited period of time. The frequency distribution of the ASOS-CONV observations is broadened by this irregular behavior. Differences are nearly normally distributed with a low frequency of occurrence of differences of as much as $\pm 20^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

### 3.3 Precipitation

Precipitation analysis has been done for the period September 1992 through May 1993. This period included several widespread snow and freezing rain events along with numerous episodes of rain but very little airmass convection. A considerable effort has been required to quality control the observations to obtain a truly independent set of CONV and ASOS precipitation data. This effort has been necessitated since observers may "correct" or "augment" ASOS observations some of the time when the ASOS observations are judged not to be representative. Missing, suspect or modified observations were not included in most comparisons. The resulting data set allows an initial assessment of ASOS to CONV precipitation.

A comparison of total accumulated ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV for the spring and fall seasons is shown in Figure 5. Most precipitation fell as rain, and totals ranged from less than 5 inches at ALS, AMA and COS to more than 20 inches as ICT, OKC and TUL. Nine of the 13 commissioned sites reported less ASOS precipitation than CONV. Over the entire area, ASOS averaged $94 \%$ of CONV for the combined SeptemberNovember 1992 and March-May 19936 -month period. This is an improvement over the $92 \%$ observed during the pre-commissioning period.

Winter precipitation was analyzed separately. For the months of December through February ASOS precipitation across the region was less than $80 \%$ of CONV. An


Figure 5. ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV for commissioned ASOS stations for the fall (Sept-Nov 1992) and spring (Mar-May 1993) seasons combined. Days with missing or suspect ASOS obsevations were not included.


Figure 6. ASOS precipitation as a percent of CONV as a function of temperature for all storms Nov. 1992-Feb 1993 with > 0.19" CONV precipitation.
investigation of individual storm events (Figure 6) revealed that ASOS precipitation decreased dramatically with respect to CONV as temperatures decreased below freezing. Overall ASOS performance during significant snow events was only $54 \%$ of CONV. This is a serious problem for climatology, and the NWS is responding by planning several changes in the heated tipping bucket gage and by considering other possible technologies for measuring precipitation.

Heavy rain events were also examined separately. Daily precipitation totals were compared for all days which had at least one 6 -hour period with 0.40 in. CONV precipitation or greater. The results are shown in Figure 7.


Figure 7. CONV vs. ASOS daily precipitation for heavy rain events, Sept 1992-May 1993 from all 13 commissioned stations.

ASOS precipitation was less than CONV in 69 of the 103 cases and averaged $90 \%$ of CONV for all cases combined.

Finally, the frequency of daily precipitation was compared (Figure 8). The number of days with measurable precipitation has been slightly higher with ASOS than with CONV. The frequency of daily precipitation amounts in the range of 0.02 to 0.15 in . has been about $12 \%$ of all comparison days for both ASOS and CONV. However, ASOS has recorded fewer days with heavier amounts and many more days with 0.01 in . Many of these small events have been found to occur during clear weather. it is likely that dew collection added to moisture in the tipping bucket from a previous storm may produce these reports.


Figure 8. Precipitation frequency comparison using all daily values, Sept 1992-May 1993, for all 13 commissioned ASOS sites.

### 4.0 SUMMARY

A comparison of observations from commissioned ASOS sites with the observations from pre-ASOS instruments has been made for the period September 1992 through May 1993. ASOS has a consistent bias toward cooler temperatures and a noticeable variation with time and among the sites. The NWS has recognized these characteristics and has moved to have a modified instrument prepared which will be available in Fall 1993. ASOS dewpoint temperature observations have smaller biases than temperature and can be positive or negative. Relative humidity with ASOS is slightly higher. Initial analysis of ASOS precipitation shows the following traits relative to the pre-ASOS observations: a larger frequency of $0.01^{\prime \prime}$ precipitation events but fewer daily events greater than 0.25 ", accumulated fall and spring rainfall of approximately 6\% less, accumulated precipitation from snow events average about $50 \%$ less with even less with cold temperatures and wind, and heavier rain events have a reduction of 10\%. The ASOS precipitation catch in Spring 1993 seemed better than in Fall 1992 and better than precommissioning.
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[^0]:    Mn = Month; $d=$ systematic difference; $s=$ estimated standard deviation of the difference; $M=$ skewness; k = kurtosis; $\mathbf{C}=$ operational comparability.

