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ABSTRACT

Crop water use can be estimated from reference evapotranspiration, ETo, calculated from weather station data, and estimated crop coefficients, Kc. However, because Kc varies with crop growth rate, planting density, and management practices, generic Kc curves often don’t match actual crop water use. Recent studies have shown that basal crop coefficients, Kcb, are related to crop light interception or canopy cover; and that canopy cover can be estimated for a wide variety of crops from remotely sensed observations of the normalized difference vegetation index, NDVI. Combined, these relationships could provide good estimates of Kcb from satellite or aerial data for a wide variety of crops over large areas. When combined with ground based ETo measurements and general knowledge of irrigation methods, crop water use can be estimated for individual fields and for large regions. Because NDVI data are generally available only intermittently during the season, simple plant growth models can be used to interpolate canopy cover between vegetation index measurements, and to extrapolate crop canopy cover into the future. This system may improve estimates of crop water use compared to traditional FAO-56 methods and provides an alternative to remotely-sensed estimates of ET that use thermal data with surface energy balance calculations.

BACKGROUND


The weakest link in this weather based approach to predict crop water use and irrigation requirements is the difficulty in reliably estimating the crop coefficient. Crop coefficients are commonly estimated with a relationship based on days since planting, growing degree days, or some aspect of the crop growth stage (Allen et al. 1998, Snyder et al. 2007). A wide variety of irrigated crops are grown under a wide range of conditions, and dependable crop coefficients are
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not available for many of the crops and growing conditions. This is especially true for horticultural and other specialty crops that are increasingly important in irrigated areas. These crops are often not well studied and include widely varying varieties grown under a wide range of planting densities and cultural practices.

Crop water use is related to the interception of incoming solar radiation and the amount of transpiring leaf surface. Sunlit leaves transpire at a higher rate than shaded leaves. Both leaf area index (LAI) and crop light interception have been related to crop transpiration. Light interception, as represented either by the portion of the ground surface that is shaded or the crop canopy cover, is much easier to measure than LAI. Although light interception varies with the crop canopy structure and the sun angle, several studies have found that mid-day shading, or equivalently, canopy cover measured vertically, provides a good relative representation of crop transpiration (Johnson et al. 2004, Williams and Ayars 2005, Trout and Gartung 2006, Grattan et al. 1998).

Previous studies have shown that various spectral vegetation indices, calculated from visible and near-infrared reflectance data, are linearly related to the amount of photosynthetically active radiation absorbed by plant canopies (Asrar et al. 1984, Daughtry et al. 1992, Goward and Huemmrich 1992, Maas 2000). Related efforts have specifically addressed spectral estimation of crop coefficients in specific crop systems by ground-based and airborne data collection (Bausch, 1995; Hunsaker et al. 2005; Johnson and Scholasch 2005). Moran et al. (1997) describe the potential and limitations of using satellite imagery for crop management.

Functional relationships between remotely sensed vegetation indices and crop light interception, and light interception and basal crop coefficient, $K_{cb}$, allow efficient estimation of crop water use where reference $E_{To}$ is available. This could allow estimation of crop water use in near real time for individual fields on a regional scale. Such a process was proposed in the DEMETER project in southern Europe (Calera-Belmonte et al. 2003). In this paper, we present preliminary relationships between vegetation indices, light interception, and $K_{cb}$ developed from data collected in the San Joaquin Valley on horticultural crops, and propose a possible structure for an irrigation scheduling system based on remotely-sensed vegetation indices and $E_{To}$.

**VEGETATION INDEX vs. CANOPY COVER**

On July 1, 2005, and June 19-20, 2006, canopy cover, CC, of 12 high value crops (watermelon, cantaloupe, pepper, bean, tomato, lettuce, onion, garlic, cotton, pistachio, almond, grape) in various stages of growth was measured on 33 fields near Five Points on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley in California. Most fields were drip irrigated and essentially weed free with a dry soil surface. These fields were selected to represent a wide range of major SJV perennial and annual horticultural crops with widely varying canopy cover. Fields were selected that had uniform cropping patterns. Most fields were at least 200 m in the smallest dimension.
Canopy cover was measured with a TetraCam® ADC multispectral camera suspended from a frame directly above the crop and aimed vertically downward. The 1.3 megapixel resolution camera was designed and optimized for capture of red, green and near-infrared wavelengths of reflected light. The photos were analyzed with software (Pixelwrench and Briv32) provided by the camera manufacturer to determine the percentage of the photo area that contained live vegetation.

Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper images of the study area for July 1, 2005 and June 18, 2006 were acquired from the U.S. Geological Survey Landsat Project (http://landsat.usgs.gov/gallery/). On both days there were no clouds over the study area. The study-fields were identified from GPS field coordinates and confirmed with aerial photographs. Landsat digital counts (DC) in the red and near-infrared (NIR) channels were converted to surface reflectance (SR). The reflectance values were then used to calculate per-pixel the normalized difference vegetation index, NDVI (Tucker, 1979) as:

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{SR}_{\text{NIR}} - \text{SR}_{\text{red}}}{\text{SR}_{\text{NIR}} + \text{SR}_{\text{red}}} \tag{1}
\]

Landsat processing was performed with ERDAS Imagine 8.7 software (Leica Geosystems). Average NDVI values were calculated for a 7x7 pixel area (approximately 200 x 200 m) within each field. The coefficient of variation for the NDVI values for the 49 pixels was generally less than 10%.

\[y = 0.82x + 0.17\]
\[R^2 = 0.95\]

Figure 1. Relationship between Landsat 5 NDVI and Camera Canopy Cover, CC, and the linear regression line for the data represented by blue diamonds.

---
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Figure 1 shows the correlation between NDVI and CC. NDVI increased linearly with CC to about 0.8, but did not increase further with increasing CC. This finding agrees with past work showing the asymptotic behavior of NDVI at high vegetation biomass (e.g., Tucker, 1979). Thus, data with CC>0.8 were not included in the regression. One field of dark red lettuce had a very low NDVI (= 0.1) in comparison to CC and was excluded as an outlier. The NDVI:CC relationship presented here does not hold for plants with other than green leaves.

For the remaining 28 fields containing 12 different crops, NDVI correlated well with CC ($R^2=0.95$) (Fig. 1). The intercept value (0.17) represents the NDVI value for bare soil in the area. The soil adjusted vegetation index, SAVI (Huete 1988) was also calculated for the fields, but correlation with CC was no better than with NDVI. These results show that NDVI can be a good indicator of crop canopy cover for a wide range of crops with large differences in canopy structure and cover. The linear relationship is valid up to a CC of 0.8. For most crops, water use does not increase for canopy cover above 0.8 (Doorenbos and Pruitt, 1977; Snyder et al. 2007), so this limitation does not impact estimates of crop water use. Neale et al. (2005) remark that the onset of NDVI saturation corresponds to canopy effective full cover.

We also estimated CC for each field using measurements of canopy widths or crown diameters and estimates of percent shade within the canopy. Our estimates were consistent ($R^2 = 0.93$) but tended to be about 10% lower than that measured with the camera. This indicates that visual measurements can provide useful estimates when NDVI measurements are not available.

**CANOPY COVER vs. BASAL CROP COEFFICIENT**

The USDA-ARS Water Management Research Unit in Fresno, CA is using weighing lysimeters to develop crop coefficients for horticultural crops that are grown in the San Joaquin Valley. Past lysimeter research has shown that the basal crop coefficient for grape vines and fruit trees are closely related to mid-day light interception (Johnson et al., 2000, Williams and Ayars, 2005). Current research is determining the relationship between light interception and basal crop coefficient for several major annual SJV vegetable crops. The objective is to develop relationships between light interception, represented by canopy cover, and basal crop coefficient that can be used by growers of horticultural crops. Results from lettuce, bell pepper, and garlic crops were presented by Trout and Gartung (2006) and are summarized here.

Canopy cover was measured several times throughout the growing season by the same camera technique described above. The crop coefficient was calculated as the ratio of the daily crop water use from the lysimeter to $E_{To}$ (grass reference) measured by the CIMIS weather station #2 (CDWR 2006) located on the adjacent grass field. The crops were sub-surface drip irrigated and only data from days with a dry soil surface were used so that soil surface evaporation was very small and the calculated crop coefficient represented $K_{cb}$. Figure 2 shows the daily crop coefficient and measured canopy cover for the bell pepper crop. The early season $K_c$ spikes result from sprinkler irrigations under low plant cover and illustrate the effects of soil surface evaporation. The late $K_c$ decline results from termination of irrigation on day of year 226 and plant stress due to declining soil water content.
Figure 2. Daily crop coefficient, Kc, and canopy cover for a bell pepper crop grown on a weighing lysimeter on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley, CA in 2005. Peppers were transplanted on day of year (DOY) 115, five sprinkler irrigations were applied before DOY 140, and irrigation was terminated on DOY 226.

Figure 3 shows the relationship between Kcb and CC for the three crops. The lettuce and bell pepper crops, although structurally very different, followed the same linear relationship with an intercept of 0.14 and slope of 1.13 and a very high correlation coefficient. The garlic crop exhibited a higher intercept but smaller slope than the other two crops. The positive intercept is expected because with a sparse canopy during early growth, actual sunlight interception by the crop substantially exceeds vertical light interception and air movement within the canopy is high, resulting in a higher Kcb to CC ratio. As canopy cover increases, most light is intercepted by the top of the canopy and air movement within the canopy is reduced. Once the canopy approaches maximum cover (about 0.9 for these crops), the ratio should approach 1.0 to 1.2, depending on crop height and roughness (Allen et al., 1998). The garlic crop exhibited unexpectedly high Kcb values, possibly due to its upright but fairly dispersed canopy structure.

Figure 4 shows Kc vs. ground cover data presented by Grattan et al. (1998) for seven horticultural crops. These Kc values were determined from ETc measured with Bowen Ratio equipment, ETo from regional CIMIS stations, and ground cover estimated from visual estimates of shaded area (equivalent to CC). Data were collected when the ground surface appeared dry,
Figure 3. Relationships between basal crop coefficient, $K_{cb}$, and canopy cover for three crops grown on a weighing lysimeter on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley, CA. Regression equation is for lettuce and pepper data.

Figure 4. Relationships between crop coefficient, $K_c$, and canopy cover for 7 crops measured with Bowen Ratio equipment by Grattan et al. (1998). Lines are best fit linear relationships for each crop. Dashed line is lettuce/pepper relationship from Figure 3.
so the crop coefficient should closely approximate \( K_{cb} \). Although the scatter is large, the Grattan data tends to agree with the lysimeter data (dashed line represents our lettuce/pepper data) fairly well in the low CC range, but is lower than the lysimeter data in the high CC range. Note that the Grattan onion \( K_c \) values are higher than for most other crops at low CC (like the garlic in this study), but are not high at high CC values. The high bean \( K_c \) values at low CC is unexpected.

### ESTIMATION OF FIELD AND REGIONAL CROP WATER USE

The two above relationships can be used to estimate \( K_{cb} \) from remotely sensed reflectance information.

\[
CC = 1.22 \times NDVI - 0.21 \quad \text{(2) (from Fig 1)}
\]

\[
K_{cb} = 1.13 \times CC + 0.14 \quad \text{(3) (from Fig 3)}
\]

This process should be carried out in two steps rather than attempting to directly link \( K_{cb} \) to NDVI. The intermediate step allows interpolation and extrapolation of CC between and beyond NDVI measurements, ground truthing of CC estimates, and crop specific \( K_{cb}:CC \) relationships.

Imagery to calculate NDVI will only be available at intermittent times, depending on the source, cost, and weather. For example, Landsat photos are available on 16 day intervals. Curve fitting of CC values or simple crop simulation models can be used to fill in between and extend beyond measured values. For a crop that has been studied previously, a generic CC vs. growing degree day (or days since planting) relationship can be developed and then adjusted using NDVI measurements for the current crop. Many crop simulation models output information on plant growth and phenology that can be converted to CC. Measured NDVI estimates of CC can be used to calibrate the models for the current crop and improve model CC projections into the future. When NDVI measurement intervals are long, visual estimates of CC can be used in place of NDVI-based estimates.

The lysimeter measurements presented here (Fig. 3) indicate that the \( K_{cb}:CC \) relationships are highly linear, and may be similar for broad crop types. Current data are inadequate to confidently project \( K_{cb}:CC \) relationships for a wide range of crops. Collecting these basic data should be a priority. Lysimetry is the most accurate way to develop this relationship. Surface energy balance measurements can also be used to estimate crop ET (bowen ratio, eddy correlation, SEBAL) and \( K_{cb} \). Crop simulation models coupled with atmospheric energy balance relationships may be able to generate \( K_{cb}:CC \) relationships if the models have been adequately calibrated with field data. Allen et al (1998) in chapter 9 presents equations to adjust mid-season \( K_{cb} \) values based on partial ground cover:

\[
K_{cb}' = K_{cb} - 1 + (fc'/fc)^{0.5} \quad \text{(4) (from Allen et al. (1998), eq. 96)}
\]
where $K_{cb}$ and $f_c$ are the midseason basal crop coefficient and ground cover for a “pristine” dense crop and $K_{cb'}$ and $f_{c'}$ are the values for a crop with partial cover. Unfortunately, the authors do not present the $f_c$ values that are associated with their $K_{cb}$ values.

Daily values of $K_{cb}$ calculated from measured or interpolated CC values can be converted to $K_c$ values by adding the soil evaporation coefficient, $K_e$. Soil evaporation can be estimated from irrigation schedule and method, canopy cover, soil type, and ETo (Allen et al 1998, chap. 8). $K_c$ is then used with values for ETo from local weather stations, or interpolated ETo maps (Lehner et al. 2006) to estimate total water use for a field.

Information required to estimate crop water use/requirements includes:

1. Daily canopy cover from NDVI measurements and interpolation models
2. Daily ETo from weather stations
3. Soil type
4. Crop
5. Irrigation method and schedule

The first three items can be generated regionally from satellite or aerial images and ETo and soils databases. The last two can be provided by the farmer or from government or water district surveys. The first, second, and fourth items are required to estimate crop transpiration. The first, second, third, and fifth items are required to estimate soil evaporation, which becomes relatively less important as canopy cover increases. Farmer inputs of crop type, planting date, soil type, and irrigation method are common for irrigation scheduling programs.

When this method is used to generate regional estimates of crop water use, field-specific crop and irrigation method/schedule information will generally not be available. In this case, regional crop surveys may be used to assign the most appropriate $K_{cb}$:CC relationships, and regional irrigation methods/patterns used to estimate soil evaporation losses. Where crop information is altogether lacking, a generic $K_{cb}$:CC relationship (e.g., Fig 4) can be assumed.

Figure 5 shows an example of maps of a 200 square kilometer region of San Joaquin Valley fields depicting NDVI, CC, $K_{cb}$ and crop transpiration values for about 350 fields for July 1, 2005 based on a Landsat 5 image, Eqs. 2 and 3, and a daily ETo for the region on that day of 6 mm. Such aggregated information can be used by water suppliers to estimate water demand for the district or for individual canals.

Farmers could use such maps in a GIS framework to identify fields, verify crop canopy cover, and input and store crop and irrigation information for individual fields. The system could then estimate daily crop water use for the field up to the current day, project crop water demand based on historical ETo averages or weather forecasts, and produce maps and tables of cumulative crop water use for a chosen time period. This system would likely be more accurate than current methods, especially for crops grown under conditions other than those used to generate the $K_c$ profile. By virtue of integrative measurements offered by remote sensing, such a system could be more user friendly, and require fewer ground-based measurements, than most current scheduling programs.
Figure 5. Maps of (a) NDVI from a July 1, 2005 Landsat 5 image, (b) Canopy Cover converted from (a) with Eq. 2, (c) Kcb from Eq 3, and (d) Crop Transpiration for the day based on ETo = 6 mm from the regional CIMIS weather station.
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